## APPENDIX V – 68

## TUCSON UNIFIED Case 4:74-cv-00090-DCBLappace Asavision Departmen 09/01/17 Page 79 of 112 2016-2017 ALP MONITORING REPORT

SCHOOL:			PRINCIPAI					
<b>MONITORS:</b>		DATE:						
		RUBRIC						
	Indicators		2		1			0
Classroom Environment	Student work is visible. Environment is print-rich. Seating arrangement encourages interaction.	comforta conduciv	ronment is decidedly ble, attractive, and re to learning.	but lear	e environment i nevertheless su rning.	pports	signific learning	
Lesson Focus	The appropriate standards and performance objectives/indicators are posted and congruent to the lesson. Lesson plans comply with the TUSD-approved model for the class designation.	The lesson includes clearly stated objective/s, which is/are appropriately challenging and congruent to the lesson. Lesson plans comply with the identified program model (DL, ILLP, ELD or Mainstream).		The lesson includes poorly stated objective/s, which is/are somewhat challenging and somewhat congruent to the lesson. Lesson plans partially comply with the identified program model (DL, ILLP, ELD or Mainstream).		The objectives are not stated, the lesson involves an inappropriate degree of rigor, and/or the lesson does not comply with the identified program model.		
Language Use	Language use is deliberate, consistent and grammatically correct. Teacher language is comprehensible and balanced 50/50 with student language.	The teacher's speech is clear, well paced, grammatically correct and balanced with student speech; in DL class, language use is congruent with the DL Model.		tim gra poc spe lan	The teacher's speech at times is unclear, grammatically incorrect or poorly balanced with student speech; in DL class, language use is slightly congruent with DL Model.		The teacher's speech is often unclear, grammatically incorrect or overwhelms student speech; in DL class, language use is not congruent with DL Model.	
Instructional Strategies	Differentiated instruction and SIOP components, such as Building Background and Comprehensible Input, are evident. The teacher often checks for understanding.	strong co differenti SIOP con instruction	her demonstrates a mmand of iated instruction, mponents and ELL onal strategies. The fiten checks for nding.	nonstrates aThe teacher demonstrates to some effect a command of differentiated instruction, nts and ELLrategies. TheSIOP components and ELL instructional strategies. The		nstrates to mand of uction, and ELL gies. The	demons differen compor instruct	cher does not trate a command of tiated instruction, SIOF eents and ELL ional strategies. The does not check for anding.
Student Engagement	Active participation activities, strategic grouping and interactive lessons lead to full student engagement	All or most students are sactively and productively a engaged in the lesson while e		Sor acti eng	Some students appear to be actively and productively engaged in the lesson while observed.		Few students appear to be actively and productively engaged in the lesson while observed.	
Assessment Data	Electronic data entry, if applicable, is up to date and/or other appropriate alternate assessments are evident. In an ILLP classroom Attachment B is observable and aligned to Attachment A.	Data entries are largely up to date and show progress for all or nearly all students. And/or other alternative assessments are used to show progress. For ILLPs, assessments are evident and recommendations are noted.		Data entries are somewhat up to date or indicate many making limited progress. And/or limited alternative assessments are used to show student progress. For ILLPs, assessments are somewhat evident and recommendations are not appropriate.		Few checks or data entries are recorded, and/or the entries indicate no progress for all or nearly all students. And/or there is no evidence of alternative assessment in use to show progress. For ILLPs, assessments are not evident and recommendations are not noted.		
	Classroom L	esson	Language	In	structional	Stud	ent	Assessment

	Classroom Environment	Lesson Focus	Language Use	Instructional Strategies	Student Engagement	Assessment Data
Classroom I						
Classroom II						
Classroom III						
Classroom IV						
Classroom V						
Classroom VI						
SUBTOTALS:						

	TOTAL SCORE:		
TOTAL SCORE	100-80%:	79-60%:	59-0:%
CATEGORIES:	Meets Program Expectations	<b>Approaches Expectations</b>	Falls Far Below Expectations
LAD RECOMMENDATIONS:			
PRINCIPAL'S FOLLOW UP:			
			V - 68 n 1