TUSD
Magnet
Department
Annual
Report

June 30

2014

# TUSD Magnet Department Annual Report 2014

Ta	h	4	Λf	$C_{0}$	n	ten	16
- 1 2		16				ш	ш,

I.	<b>Snap Shot of Magnet Department Activities</b>	2
II.	Introduction	3
III.	Integration	5
IV.	Educational Quality	13
v.	African- American and Hispanic Family Engagement	29

#### **Attachments**

- A. Magnet Evaluation Rubric
- **B.** Magnet Improvement Plan Template
- C. Application data
- **D.** Marketing Events
- **E.** AIMS Comparisons
- F. ATI Scores by Ethnicity
- G. Site PD hours and Meetings
- H. Magnet Improvement Plans by school

### Snap Shot Review of Magnet Department For 2014-15

All Time Lines and Target Dates Were Met

- Supplemental Magnet Plan
- Comprehensive Magnet Plan
- Student Assignment Plan
- Plan for Oversubscribed Schools
- Recruitment and Marketing Schedule
- Boundary Review \*\*

#### 1. Critical Milestones Achieved

- Magnet Plan Version 7 Approved
- Developed Comprehensive Magnet Plan
- Conducted three year assessment
- Creation of on-line Training Modules
- Hiring Magnet Department (2 senior coordinators, marketing specialist)
- Designated magnet coordinators at most sites
- Stipend development for coordinators who are in classrooms
- Marketing and Recruitment Targets
- Completed Module for Recruitment and Marketing Training
- Development of protocols
  - o Monthly reports
  - o Walk-through protocols theme visibility'
  - o Walk-through protocols for classroom visibility
  - o Recruitment protocols
- Unique direct marketing of individual magnet schools
- Tiered focus for magnet school support (Tier 1,2,3)
  - o Theme
  - o Student Achievement
- Magnet unit integration created template and trained all coordinators
- Development of program standards
- Development of common pipeline standards
- Development of continuous improvement plans
- Development of immersion matrix
- Magnet department support of USP projects: Student Assignment, Oversubscribed Schools, Dual Language, Student Equity
- Developed on-line resources, learning opportunities, to provide resources to schools

## TUSD Magnet Department Annual Report 2014

- Leveraged change and compliance through participation in leadership teams for tier 2 and tier 3 magnet schools
- Provided at least 15 hours of professional development at all school sites
- Provided 42 hours of professional development for coordinators
- Conducted 148 school visitations
- Conducted 357 classroom visits
- Supported schools in writing 58 grants
- Budget expenditures within 12% of total distribution
- Reduced the number of after-the-fact events
- Conducted summer training for 138 teachers

### 2. Impact on Instruction/District Policies

- Priority schools (state rated) received professional development, specialized walk-throughs, and provided extra support
- Drafted JFB, JBRr,
- Increased academic intervention in struggling schools
- Increased capacity in theme development
- Increased capacity in Common Core understanding
- Schools have more focus on collaboration
- Magnet staff visibility in schools through regular site visitation

#### 3. Monitor and Reporting

- 3 year evaluation system
- Development of site magnet plans
- Development of improvement plan
- Development of monthly monitoring systems
- Quarterly meetings with schools in tier 3 intervention
- Completion of annual assessment and metric

#### 4. Community Outreach

- Conducted 9 community forums
- Conducted 14 community presentations
- Conducted 18 PTO/Site Council presentations
- Magnets Participated in Habitat for Humanity
- Participated in 37 community marketing events
- Secured 11 partnerships for individual magnet schools (Bookmans, Wells Fargo, Neurological Associates, El Rio, Bens Bells, Delectable, Museum of Art, Children's Science Museum, Sweetwater Wet Lands, Humane Society, CPES)

### 5. Magnet Grant

- Wrote for \$11 M for MSAP
- Completed year three evaluation of prior MSAP grant
- Filed and received a no cost extension
- Budget spent within 94% capacity
- Recognition from West Ed as one of the district for best compliance monitoring for the 2010 cohort.

#### Introduction

The TUSD Magnet Department developed a Magnet Standards Evaluation process that reflects the National Magnet Schools of America (MSA) standards which were rolled out at the MSA National Conference in May, 2014. (Attachment A: Magnet Evaluation Rubric) This report provides information on the progress of the three main goals of magnet schools as stated in the Unitary Status Plan (USP) through the lens of the magnet standards. The three goals are to enhance integration in all magnet schools, increase the quality educational opportunities for magnet students and increase engagement of African-American and Latino families. The magnet standards are divided into five pillars: Diversity, Innovative Curriculum, Academic Excellence, High Quality Instructional Systems, and Family and Community Partnerships. The Pillars used in this report reflect the first draft before the pillars were approved by the Governing Board in July, 2014. The information provided in this report reflects baseline data of the current status of magnet programs in each of these areas. The Magnet Plan of Action for 2014-2015 reflects the results of the 2013-2014 magnet review as well as the 2011 Magnet Study.

## **Goal One: Integration**

## Pillar 1: Diversity

## Magnet Standard 1.1A: Magnet schools will be integrated.

The application process for magnet schools was revamped for the 2014-2015 school year. All magnet programs wrote SMART goals stating they would increase the number of applications for targeted ethnicities. (Attachment B: Magnet Improvement Plan Template, Attachment C: Application Data)

Several changes have occurred in the past two years that directly impact these statistics. A new Performing Arts magnet program was added at Cragin Elementary and a new STEM magnet program was added at Mansfeld Middle School for the 2014-15 school year. As a result, both of these schools stopped accepting open enrollment applications, and began accepting magnet program applications.

In addition to these magnet program changes, the open enrollment/magnet programs at Roskruge K-8 were re-configured so that non-neighborhood students applying for grades K-5 are now considered magnet students rather than open enrollment students. As a result, like Cragin and Mansfeld, Roskruge stopped accepting open enrollment applications for grades K-5, and began accepting magnet program applications.

At the high school level, several magnet programs were either phased out or were reconceptualized for the 2014-15 school year. The Traditional and Aviation magnet programs at Catalina High School, and the College Preparatory magnet program at Pueblo High School were phased out, and no applications were accepted. The Palo Verde Engineering magnet program was re-conceptualized as a Science, Technology, Engineering, Arts, Math or "STEAM" magnet

program. To better differentiate this program from the Math and Science magnet program at Tucson High, the Tucson High program was re-conceptualized as a Natural Sciences magnet program.

The District opened McCorkle K-8 in the fall of the 2011-12 school year. During the first year of operation, the school offered instruction in grades K-6. Grades 7 and 8 were added sequentially during the 2012-13 and 2013-14 school years, as students promoted up each year. During the first three years of operation, hundreds of families applied for open enrollment at McCorkle at all grade levels. By 2013-14, the school was full at all grade levels, leaving little opportunity for open enrollment applications to be filled for the 2014-15 school year. As would be expected, the number of McCorkle applications tapered off between the 2013-14 and 2014-15 school years.

Brichta, Corbett, Lyons, Menlo Park, and Schumaker Elementary Schools, Carson, Hohokam, Maxwell, and Wakefield Middle Schools, Fort Lowell/Townsend K-8, and Howenstine Magnet High School were closed for the 2013-14 school year. Additionally, a new Morgan Maxwell K-8 was opened at the former Maxwell middle school site. A total of 3591 students were displaced by these closures. As a courtesy, the District extended the on-time application date for these students, and encouraged parents to select a magnet or open enrollment school if they were unhappy with the new neighborhood school to which their child was assigned. The resulting closure-generated applications artificially increased the number of open enrollment and magnet applications for the 2013-14 school year.

The three changes mentioned above make it difficult to simply compare the number of Open Enrollment and Magnet applications filed each year. To make better sense of the application trends regardless of these changes, several things were done. First, any 2013-14

applications filed by students who were displaced by a school closure were removed from the analysis. Second, since we are only part-way through June, the scope of the two-year comparison is limited to just those applications filed between the on-time application deadline and the end of May prior to the start of the academic year. Third, the two-year comparison has been disaggregated by level and program to highlight where changes have occurred.

As the multi-year summary in Tab 1 shows, the number of Open Enrollment and Magnet applications has fluctuated over the past five year. From the on-time application deadline until the end of May, the number of applications dropped by -4% between 2010-11 and 2011-12, increased by 6% for the next two years in a row, then increased by only 1% for the 2014-15 school year. If we were to stop there, it would appear that District efforts to increase school choice applications for the 2014-15 school year have been unsuccessful. But, the real story lies much deeper in the data.

As mentioned above there were program changes between 2013-14 and 2014-15 that were reflected on the School Choice application form. In Tab 2, program changes at Mansfeld, and Roskruge resulted in zero Open Enrollment applications for 2014-15, because the choice was not listed on the application form. This resulted in a loss of -107 Open Enrollment applications and a gain of +63 new Magnet applications for a combined loss of 44 choice applications at these two schools.

In Tab 3, similar net changes were caused by program modifications at the high school level. With the elimination of the Catalina and Pueblo programs, and the re-naming of the Palo Verde and Tucson High science-based programs on the application from, there is a loss of -397 applications under the old program names, and a gain of +406 applications under the new program names, for a net gain of 9 magnet applications.

It's important to point out, that the Tucson High program change significantly skews the high school numbers. All of the untouched magnet programs experienced growth. Relatively few applications were lost due to the elimination of the Catalina and Pueblo programs. And, the re-conceptualization of the Palo Verde program actually increased the number of magnet applications for the school by +14. In contrast, Tucson High experienced a net loss of -98 applications to its science magnet strand.

However, this loss of applications did not adversely impact the number of students selected via the lottery. Along with the program change, the Tucson High administration decided to reduce the number of science-strand students, and increase the number of Fine Artsstrand students in the 2014-15 school year. For 2013-14, the ninth grade lottery limits were 277 Fine Arts and 275 Math/Science. For 2014-15, the ninth grade lottery limits were 340 Fine Arts and 110 Natural Sciences.

So, given all of these changes, it's not that surprising that we observed only a 1% increase in the total number of school choice applications. However, it is surprising to see two specific trends in the application data. First, there was a rather significant decline in the number of Open Enrollment applications and a significant increase in the number of Magnet applications (see bottom of tab 1 – Multi-Year). Second, while on-time Magnet applications were relatively flat in comparison to the prior year, nearly twice as many Magnet applications were filed in January, February and April in comparison to the previous year (see orange highlighted cells in tab 1). This trend suggests that the school choice applicant pool shifted their preferences away from open enrollment choices towards magnet programs, and did so in the early spring, after the first on-time lottery was conducted.

In order to determine the data needed for Pillar I Diversity: Standard 1.2 (The ethnic composition needed to integrate the school during the magnet recruitment window met the USP definition of integration) the District compared projected enrollment plus applications accepted, to the 40<sup>th</sup> day of 2013-14. Some schools saw substantial progress toward integration. If schools made gains, they are moving closer to integration as defined by the USP. If school had net losses, they moved further away from integration. Looking at Kindergarten entry, the average percentage points moving closer to integration was 6.3%. Drachman saw the most percentage points moving toward integration (20%), and the entry grade is integrated. Holladay saw the least (-12%). Looking at entry level for middle schools, the average was a net loss (.8%). The school that saw the most gain was Mansfeld (7%). Two schools, Utterback and Safford, both had a net loss (6% each). High schools saw a gain of 6% with Palo Verde making the most gains (17%) and Tucson High making the least gains (3%).

## Pillar 1: Diversity

# Standard 1.1 B: Magnet programs will utilize all available tools for recruitment and marketing.

The TUSD Magnet Department worked in conjunction with other district departments coordinate eleven community marketing events during the 2013-2014 school year. Each magnet school developed a SMART goal addressing the number of marketing and recruitment events they would attend during the school year. All magnet schools met or exceeded their goal. All twenty three magnet schools participated in more than one district sponsored event and at least one other event organized by the site magnet coordinators. All magnet schools participated in the Celebration of Schools, some with representatives at two locations. All STEM schools

participated in the Sci-Tech Festival. Seven schools participated in the 4<sup>th</sup> Ave Street Fair. All elementary schools participated in the Festival of Books.

All magnet coordinators received training in marketing and recruitment strategies. All schools created presentations for use at target schools and community meetings and events. They also developed tour scripts for their site, FAQs for prospective families and trained site staff to conduct tours. The marketing specialist created brochures and magnets for each school. Posters to display in school offices and hallways were created for four schools. Four pull up banners were created for presentations for schools. Below is a table that represents the activities and events the Magnet Department sponsored to support recruitment and marketing:

Central Magnet Department	
MAGNET BRANDING	
Magnet Logo	Magnet Department
Magnet 4 Fold Brochure	Magnet Department
Magnet Card Brochures	All Schools
Magnet Banners	Magnet Department
Magnet Program Card	Magnet Department
Board Meeting Poster	Magnet Department
Magnet Week Bulletin Board	All Schools
Magnet Week Magnets	Magnet Department
Magnet Info Power Point	Magnet Department
EVENTS	
Tucson Meet Yourself	Magnet Department
Parent University	Magnet Department
4th Ave. Street Fair	Magnet Department
Sci-Tech Festival	Tully, Drachman, Booth Fickett, Mansfeld, PaloVerde, Pueblo,
	Tucson High
Multicultural Symposium	Magnet Department
Book Fair	Magnet Department,
Celebrate Schools	All Magnet Schools and Magnet Department
Celtic Festival	Magnet Department, Pueblo, Cholla, Tucson High, Utterback,
	Tully, Mansfeld, Bonillas, Robison, Safford
Middle/High School Info Event	Magnet Department, Middle, K-8 and High Schools

# TUSD Magnet Department Annual Report

2	^	4	A
4	U	1	4

Tucson Parade of Lights	Magnet Department
Beyond 2014	Magnet Department, Cragin, Holladay
Magnet Kinder Info Event	Magnet Department, Elementary and K-8 Schools, Early
	Learning Centers
MEDIA	
Bus Shelters	Ochoa, Robison, Safford
Radio Advertising	Magnet Program, Art Pipeline, IB Pipeline
Television Advertising	Carrillo, Davis, Drachman, Ochoa, Pueblo, Robison, Utterback
Theatre Advertising	Carrillo, Davis, Pueblo, Utterback
PRESENTATIONS	
42 Physicians Association	Magnet Program
Tucson Junior Women's League	Magnet Program
Wells Fargo Private Client Services	Magnet Program
Mormon Church Women's Group	Magnet Program
Tucson Neurological	Magnet Program
Jewish Community Center	Magnet Program
WEB	
District Announcements	Most Magnet Schools
Magnet Site on District Server	Magnet Program
MAILINGS	
Preschool	All Elementary and K-8 Schools
5th and 8th Graders	All K-8, Middle and High Schools
Magnet School Sites	
BRANDING	
Logos	Robison, Safford, Tully
Brochures	Ochoa, Robison, Safford
Magnets	Bonillas, Carrillo, Cholla, Cragin, Davis, Dodge, Holladay, Mansfeld, Ochoa, Palo Verde, Pueblo, Robison, Roskruge,
THEME VICIDII ITV	Safford, Tully
THEME VISIBILITY	
Posters	Bonillas, Carrillo, Robison, Safford, Tully
Bulletin Boards	Davis, Pueblo, Robison, Safford, Tully
Pull Up Banners	Carrillo, Davis, Pueblo, Roskruge
MEDIA	
Photography	Coloborate Colorado Marrost Info 5
Events	Celebrate Schools, Magnet Info Events, Sci Tech
Performances	Carrillo, Cragin, Holladay, Utterback
Presentations	PD's, Student Showcases, Awards Ceremonies
Slide Shows	Carrillo, Robison, Tully, Utterback

# TUSD Magnet Department Annual Report 2014

Historical Preservation	Utterback
Presentations	School Recruitment, Webmaster Training
WEB	
Site Development	Carrillo, Cholla, Davis, Holladay, Robison, Roskruge, Safford, Tully, Utterback
Webmaster Assistance	Carrillo, Cholla, Cragin, Davis, Holladay, Ochoa, Robison, Roskruge, Safford, Tully, Utterback
PRINT	
Parent Program Book	Robison, Safford
Advertising	Pens, Coloring Sheets, Stickers, Puzzles
Flags	Cholla, Robison

Below is a table that reflects the relationships the Magnet Department has made with other departments in order to support recruitment and marketing:

Department	Marketing Emphasis	Relationship/Link
Communications	District Level Marketing and Media Department	We had extensive interfaces with this department. We collaborated on event setups, their media department provided audio visual support for Magnet Events, the print shop printed the majority of our materials, the district web development team provided support for the Magnet website on the District Server and we provided support for the Magnet school sites on the EdWeb server.
School Community Services	Student Assignment	They supported Magnet Events by sending people to assist with enrollment on location. They were also responsible for the student lottery which is used to fill the Magnet Programs.
African American Student Services	Student Outreach and Intervention	We provided them with brochures to assist students in choosing a school or program. They provided us space at their events to recruit students for Magnet Programs.
Mexican American Student Services	Student Outreach and Intervention	We provided them with brochures to assist students in choosing a school or program
Asian Pacific Student Services Native American	Student Outreach and Intervention Student Outreach and	We provided them with brochures to assist students in choosing a school or program We provided them with brochures to assist
Student Services Language Acquisition	Intervention Translation	students in choosing a school or program  Provided translation of Magnet Materials

Career Technical Education	They partnered with us at the SCI Tech festival to provide HS tech programs at the event.
Science Resource	They provided special training and resources for
Center	our STEM schools including coordinating the SCI
	Tech Festival held at the Children's Museum.

## **Goal II: Educational Quality**

## Pillar 2: Innovative Curriculum

## Magnet Standard 2.3A: Magnet programs will have a clearly defined theme.

Each magnet program revised and/or refined their magnet themes during the 2013-2014 school year as defined in the Magnet Plan (October, 2013).

### **Bonillas Magnet Elementary School – Traditional Academics**

Bonillas developed a questionnaire for perspective parents and conducted two surveys to determine what aspects of the program parents feel are important. Parents support and appreciate the back-to-basics theme. Bonillas did not purchase new curriculum because of the curriculum being developed at the district level. The magnet coordinator participated in LTRs training (systematic teaching of reading) and teachers participated in 25 hours of professional development around instructional design. Teachers were introduced to two reading strategies (Café and Daily 5) to support the Open Court curriculum. Bonillas participated in all District initiated recruitment events.

The magnet coordinator recruited at pre schools and worked closely with classroom teachers to improve instructional quality.

## Booth-Fickett K-8 Magnet School – Math and Science

Booth-Fickett Math & Science Magnet School has a unique, rigorous, and innovative curriculum that explores a variety of engaging math and science challenges. Booth-Fickett is

now offering two advanced mathematics strands to prepare students for college starting in the 6<sup>th</sup> grade, culminating with students taking high school algebra as either 7<sup>th</sup> or 8<sup>th</sup> graders as well as high school integrated science. Booth-Fickett began to offer numerous supports for students who are challenged by Math, including leveled intervention classes and computer based programs that target student content deficiencies to get them up to grade level quickly and efficiently. Teachers worked together throughout the year in developing integrated lessons after receiving 15 hours of training in unit development and theme integration.

Booth-Fickett expanded extended day opportunities. Students participated in specialized elective classes and after school programs including Science Olympiad, MESA (Math, Engineering, Science, Achievement), Exploratory Technology, Computers, our Math and Science GATE program, and Environmental Engineering as part of the desert habitat.

• Borton Magnet Elementary School – Systems Thinking/Project-based Learning

Borton Magnet School focuses on Systems Thinking and Project-based Learning. Project-based Learning engages children in making connections and pursuing their questions in real world contexts. Systems Thinking helps children solve problems and make their thinking visible as they seek to understand how the world around them works. Through these approaches, children develop as readers, writers, mathematicians, and scientists, preparing them for a future yet unknown. This past year, Borton has worked to refine the scope and sequence of projects and documenting curriculum. Teachers were provided multiple opportunities to participate in training both during the school day and off contract.

**Carrillo: Communication Arts** 

Carrillo's theme was redefined in May, 2014. The magnet leadership team is currently researching this theme and will be planning trainings for key personnel. Key personnel were able to attend Magnet Schools of America conference in 2014.

#### Cholla High Magnet School – International Baccalaureate

The International Baccalaureate Diploma Programme is an internationally-recognized college-preparatory curriculum, offered at the junior and senior year. This programme is an opportunity to potentially earn college credits and scholarships for secondary education. To prepare for the Programme, freshman and sophomores are offered IB Prep/Honors courses designed to help with the Diploma Progamme. Cholla began to develop the Middle Years Programme (MYP) and reassess the materials needed for the Diploma Programme.

#### • Cholla High Magnet School – Law Related Studies/JROTC

The Law Related Studies Program covers different aspects of law enforcement, crime investigation, law related sciences and the law in court cases and the courtroom. Army JROTC, the only such program in TUSD, helps develop a student's leadership skills, camaraderie and work ethic. This program has seen a revival during the 2013-14 school year and has gained popularity for the 2014-15 school year.

#### Cragin Magnet Elementary –Performing Arts

Along with a rigorous academic program, Cragin offers dance, theatre, and music experiences to all students both as independent classes and as interdisciplinary subjects woven into core content areas: Language Arts, Math, Science, and Social Studies. Integration of the arts provides students with opportunities to excel and thrive as creative, flexible thinkers ready to meet the demands of the 21st century. Teachers received training in unit design and development. The leadership team traveled to several schools throughout Arizona to see

benchmark programs. Cragin staff participated in all recruitment events sponsored by the District.

### • Davis Bilingual Magnet Elementary – Spanish Immersion/Dual Language

Davis Bilingual Magnet School is a Dual-Language/Spanish Immersion program in which children are immersed in Spanish beginning in Kindergarten. In this program, students develop bi-literacy in both English and Spanish by 5<sup>th</sup> grade. The after-school program was expanded to offer music, sports, folklórico, and study hall. Davis is well- known for its outstanding Mariachi program and the Davis Aguilitas group performs all over Tucson and beyond. This school also has a strong focus on social justice and multiculturalism. Davis worked closely with the Magnet Department to increase the marketing and recruitment efforts. New materials were developed and Davis staff participated in all recruitment efforts sponsored by the District.

## • Dodge Traditional Magnet Middle School - Traditional Academics

Dodge Traditional Magnet Middle School was established for the purpose of providing a Traditional 5R education focusing on Reading, Writing, Arithmetic, Respect, and Responsibility. This includes rigorous academic performance, mandatory homework, active parent involvement, appropriate dress and behavior, and a high level of parent and student accountability. The triad of family, students, and school is documented in a signed contract to provide a variety of support that leads to student success.

#### • Drachman K-7 Magnet School – Montessori

At Drachman Montessori, the educational experience includes small group instruction and the use of specialized hands-on Montessori materials, which develop higher levels of learning at an earlier age.

Drachman teachers and staff "follow the child." Recognizing each child as a unique individual, work plans are developed according to their academic needs. Drachman Montessori students are trusted, supported and allowed to become readers, writers, mathematicians, scientists, artists and advocates for individual health and interpersonal peace.

Drachman not only participated in all recruitment activities sponsored by the District, the coordinator and principal were actively recruiting throughout the city. The Magnet Department supported Drachman in the development and implementation of targeted intervention programs which lead to increased student achievement. Teachers participated in Montessori training opportunities throughout the year and during the summer.

#### • Holladay Magnet Elementary School – Fine and Performing Arts

Holladay Magnet Elementary integrates visual and performing arts and athletics into a theme-based curriculum. All students have access to a piano keyboard lab, a full art studio, and an indoor gym. Holladay offers a free afterschool program that continues the academic, art and athletic programs. Holladay also has a full computer lab, mobile computers with internet access, and computers in every classroom. Creativity thrives at this school as every grade level puts on Broadway-style musicals every year. For the last decade, Holladay has had a top-rated basketball and track teams have never placed less than 1<sup>st</sup>, 2<sup>nd</sup>, or 3<sup>rd</sup> at city-wide tournaments and meets. At the environmentally friendly campus, students are involved in gardening, landscaping, water harvesting, and recycling. Holladay will be revising their program for 2014-15 to include a full-time coordinator, a stronger visual arts program, and increased community participation.

#### Mansfeld STEM Magnet Middle School – STEM

Mansfeld is a STEM Middle School. That means, while all the state standards are taught, there is a specific focus on the ways that Science, Technology, Engineering, and Math connect to everything we do. Mansfeld has specifically focused on how using engineering skills can help students succeed in not only core classes, but our fine and visual arts program as well. Mansfeld students receive an integrated, project and problem based education focused on developing the critical thinking skills and creativity necessary to prepare them for the future. Teachers received 40 hours of professional development around the STEM theme and training for the development of integrated units. The school held four community events, all involving STEM projects for families.

#### Ochoa Community Magnet School – Reggio Emilia Inspired

At Ochoa Community Magnet School all children are seen as competent, full of potential and deserving of joyful learning experiences. Ochoa students develop the critical and creative thinking skills and the knowledge and dispositions needed to be intelligent, responsible and compassionate citizens of the world. Projects, experiences and research are supported by respectful, caring and lasting relationships. Families are invited to be part of the community of learners who are inspired by the world-renowned schools of Reggio Emilia, Italy. Ochoa staff participating in training through consultants, traveling to Portland to participate in the National Reggio Conference, and traveling to Albuquerque to participate in the Reggio Alliance.

#### Palo Verde High Magnet School – STEAM

Palo Verde's STEAM Magnet prepares students for college and career readiness by fostering imagination, innovation, collaboration, and originality. STEAM is an integrated model where science and technology are interpreted through engineering and the arts, all based in

mathematical elements. Students will graduate from Palo Verde's STEAM Magnet prepared to be pioneers in engineering, mathematics, science, and technology.

### • Pueblo Magnet High School – Communication Arts

The Pueblo community values the creative process and the creative product as well the student's individual creative "voice." Programs are devoted to the idea of interdependence of work in the arts with the broader worlds of ideas and action which will, ultimately, lead to a vibrant and responsible community that is accepting of all cultures. Pueblo made little progress in revising their program for 2013-14. New leadership will begin to research a Dual Language program.

#### • Robison Magnet Elementary School – International Baccalaureate

The International Baccalaureate Primary Years Programme helps develop the intellectual, personal, emotional and social skills to live, learn, and work in a rapidly globalizing world. IB focuses on the development of the whole child as an inquirer, both in the classroom and in the world outside. IB promotes a positive attitude to learning by encouraging students to ask challenging questions, to reflect critically, to develop research skills, and to learn how to learn. Robison teachers and principal participated in IB training. Teachers were given release time to develop and enhance their program of inquiry.

### • Roskruge Bilingual K-8 Magnet School – Dual Language

At Roskruge, all students learn how to excel academically and socially, maintain dual language proficiency, develop leadership qualities and acquire an appreciation for cultural diversity from early childhood to adolescences establishing a foundation for adulthood. The dual language model creates an additive educational environment, thus students acquire a second language while maintaining and developing their native language.

### • Safford K-8 Magnet School – International Baccalaureate

Safford K-8 offers 2 authorized International Baccalaureate (IB) programmes. The Primary Years Programme (PYP) starts in kinder and ends in 5th grade and the Middle Years Programme (MYP) starts in 6th grade and ends in 8th grade. The MYP continues into the 9th and 10th grade at the high school level. The IB programme ends with the Diploma Programme in grades 11 and 12. Safford students can finish their IB education at Cholla high school.

The IB is an international/global education that uses rigorous assessment, inquiry, and concept-based learning to prepare students for college and careers. Students learn to think about their thinking and develop a deep understanding of concepts that have been part of the world over time. The International Baccalaureate is world-renowned and will get your child ready for the demands and challenges of a career that is yet unknown to any of us.

Teachers participated in IB training and worked together to complete integrated units and revising the program of inquiry.

#### • Tucson High Magnet School – Natural Sciences

The Tucson High Science Magnet is dedicated to educating Tucson's next generation of scientists, health professionals and scientifically literate citizens. It is of particular interest to students seeking a profession that uses science or for those who seek a well-rounded high school education with a science focus.

In the Tucson High Science Magnet, students are exposed to a Physics-First curriculum, laboratory skills, scientific inquiry, a community approach to lifetime health and a deeper inspection into the scientific processes.

#### • Tucson High Magnet School – Fine and Performing Arts

The Tucson High Fine and Performing Arts Magnet program is unique in that students are able to take Fine Arts courses in a 4-year sequence without repetition of a course. These courses include AP classes, although all Fine Arts sequences in Music, Theater, Dance, Choir and Visual Art prepare students for college classes. Additionally, many Tucson High Fine Arts classes partner with the faculty of the University of Arizona and Pima College. Tucson High did not make the progress hoped for in developing integrated units.

### • Tully STEM Magnet Elementary – STEM

Tully STEM education provides students with an integrated curriculum emphasizing Science, Technology, Engineering and Math. Instruction is delivered in an inquiry-and project-based format. Tully's theme has been redefined as STEM. Teachers at Tully participated in 40 hours of professional development and developed one integrated unit. The school held two magnet events showcasing student work.

## • Utterback Magnet Middle School – Fine and Performing Arts

Through the integration of the arts into core subject areas and an interdisciplinary, project-based learning approach to instruction, students have many opportunities to develop skills in problem solving, collaboration, and innovation as they learn content in meaningful ways. Arts specialists provide expertise that allows students to become informed consumers of the arts, as well as producers and performers of art. The building itself is a showcase of the many talents of our students and we invite you to come take a tour or attend a performance so that you can experience arts and academics in action at Utterback.

Utterback teachers participated in 6 hours of professional development in theme integration. Utterback welcomed Cragin and Holladay students for tours and performances.

### • Tucson Unified School District Magnet Department

To support each of magnet sites, the Magnet Department worked with leadership teams to help refine and define magnet themes. The Magnet Department developed theme visibility walkthrough checklists for both sites and classrooms. Each instrument was calibrated by the Magnet Director, the two Senior Program Coordinators and the Marketing Specialist along with each site's magnet coordinator for consistency. Official site and classroom walkthroughs were conducted by the Director, Senior Program Coordinators or the Marketing Specialist at least quarterly. The checklists were shared with the site coordinators and administration and short term goals were determined for improvement. These checklists are currently being revised to better reflect the magnet standards and magnet evaluation.

#### Pillar 2: Innovative Curriculum

### Magnet Standard 2.4.A: Magnet programs will have a unique theme or pedagogy.

Until 2013-2014, TUSD magnet schools had no guidelines for developing thematically based programs. Some schools changed themes while others lost coursework and staff to maintain a viable theme. The central magnet department was formed in July of 2013. During the 2013-2014 school year, the central department created guidelines for programs that required the theme to be both continuous and contiguous. Research indicated that at least three hours of theme immersion per day at all grade levels is needed to be a successful magnet school. Leadership teams in magnet programs reviewed their current theme, revised the theme to become unique, and worked with teachers to develop theme-based curriculum. Some schools are still in the planning stages of developing this curriculum, while others are further along and have developed and implemented at least one theme-based unit per quarter.

Magnet programs completed SMART goals to address theme immersion. Since this was a new requirement, many schools had no documentation of theme immersion hours or thematic

units. While most schools are currently not immersed in the theme for a minimum of three hours per day at every grade level, all schools met their goal. Every school has created a thematic unit that was implemented in at least one grade level.

Booth-Fickett students are immersed in the theme at least three hours per day at all grade levels. The schedule was designed so that there is one hour of Science Olympiad at each grade level in addition to at least one hour of math and one hour of science.

Drachman's students are immersed in the Montessori theme for at least two hours per day at all grade levels. Teachers have been receiving training in Montessori methods and all classrooms now have the materials required to properly implement the theme.

Students at Robison are immersed in the IB theme fewer than two hours per day at all grade levels. Teachers at Robison received extensive training during the three years of the MSAP grant. Units of study were developed according to IB requirements.

Mansfeld, Dodge, Borton, Carrillo provided at fewer than two hours per day at all grade levels. Carrillo had revised their theme to museum studies and worked to develop theme, but will revise their theme again for the 2014-2015 school year. Mansfeld is in the planning stage of developing theme.

Ochoa, Tully and Palo Verde students were immersed in the theme for fewer than two hours per day in some grade levels. Ochoa had difficulty filling two kindergarten positions and there were subs in the classrooms for most of the school year. Tully is in the planning stages of developing a new theme. Palo Verde's coursework was not continuous or contiguous. Coursework is currently being revised.

#### Pillar 3: Academic Excellence

Magnet Standard 3.6.A: Magnet teachers and administration share a philosophy of teaching and learning aligned with the theme.

This standard proved to be challenging. Schools realized that even though all staff knew of the theme, not all staff used the same pedagogy. An example of this would be Ochoa and Reggio, and Bonillas and Traditional theme. No school scored a "5" for this indicator.

### Pillar 3: Academic Excellence

Magnet Standard 3.7.A: Magnet programs will eliminate disproportionality of student achievement by race.

**AIMS Results (Attachment E: AIMS Comparison)** 

### Reading

- 1. White students in magnet schools outperformed the district by 17% in reading.
- 2. African American students in magnet schools outperformed district average by 19.8% in reading.
- 3. Hispanic students in magnet schools did not perform as well as the district average by 3.2%.
  - A. The magnet department will target Borton, Davis, Holladay, Ochoa, Robison, Tully, Roskruge, Mansfeld, Utterback.
- 4. Native American students in magnet schools did not perform as well as the district average by 22.7%.
  - A. The Magnet Department will target Ochoa, Tully, Safford, Roskruge, Mansfeld, Utterback, Cholla, Pueblo
- 5. Asian students in magnet schools outperformed the district average by 3.6% in reading.
- 6. Overall in reading, magnet students did not do as well as district students.

#### Math

## TUSD Magnet Department Annual Report | 2014

- 7. White students in magnet schools did not perform as well as the district average in math by 16.9%.
  - A. Target Borton, Holladay, Robison, Roskruge, Utterback, Cholla, Pueblo
- 8. African American student in magnet schools outperformed the district average by 43.5% in math.
- 9. Hispanic students in magnet schools outperformed the district average by 3.9% in math.
- 10. Native American students in magnet schools scored 25.7% lower than the district average in math.
  - A. The Magnet Department will target Borton, Tully, Safford, Roskruge, Mansfeld, Pueblo
- 11. Asian students in magnet schools outperformed the district average by 18.2% in math.
- 12. Overall, magnet students outperformed the district by .4% in math.

#### **ATI Results (Attachment F: ATI Scores by Ethnicity)**

ATI math and reading assessments were administered three times during the 2013-2014 school year. 59.6% of students district wide achieved mastery in math and 76.4% achieved mastery in reading. Eight magnet schools had levels of achievement above district mastery in math. Twelve schools had lower levels of mastery than the district including all four magnet high schools. Whites in twelve schools had higher than the district mastery, thirteen schools had African-American students achieve mastery above the district level, and nine schools had Hispanics achieve mastery above the district level.

74.% of district students achieved mastery in reading. Seven magnet schools had higher than the district mastery level including Tucson High. White students in eleven schools had higher than district mastery. African-American students in ten schools achieved mastery above the district. Hispanic students in fourteen schools achieved mastery above the district.

#### Pillar 3: Academic Excellence

Magnet Standard 3.7.B: Magnet schools provide interventions and accelerated opportunities both within the school day and during extended day programs.

## TUSD Magnet Department Annual Report

2014

Some schools were not able to provide extended day programs due to a lack of transportation. Other schools lacked the funding to pay teachers for extended day activities. The following schools provided interventions both within the school day and provided extended day programs.

TIER 2: Interventions Outside The Regular Classroom

Roskruge Borton Safford

Utterback Robison Dodge

TIER 3: The following schools provided interventions during extended day programs.

Holladay Mansfeld Pueblo Tully

The Magnet Department worked with 21<sup>st</sup> Century Programs to write ten grants for after school programs. Three schools were funded: Robison, Cragin, Safford. Out of twenty magnet schools, twelve schools have 21<sup>st</sup> Century funding.

Cholla Drachman Holladay Mansfeld Ochoa, PaloVerde, Pueblo, Roskruge, and Tucson High.

## Pillar 4: High Quality Instructional Systems

Magnet Standard 4.8.A: Magnet programs will have personnel that are key to the development and implementation of the magnet theme.

Each magnet program had a coordinator. While most schools had full time coordinators, Borton, Robison and Tucson High had part time coordinators. Holladay, Davis, and Carrillo

26

had coordinators who received stipends for the additional work as they were also full time teachers. Utterback did not have a full time coordinator in place until December, 2013.

Utterback did not have full time studio art, orchestra or band teachers until December, 2013. Ochoa did not have full time kindergarten teachers for much of the school year. Robison and Safford experienced a high teacher turnover and therefore had many new staff members that needed IB training.

## Pillar 4: High Quality Instructional Systems

Magnet Standard 4.8.B: Key personnel will receive 25 hours of PD in theme content annually. (Attachment G: Site PD Hours and Meetings)

All site coordinators were required to attend monthly meetings facilitated by the magnet department staff. A total of thirty two hours of training was provided. Topics included theme visibility, marketing and recruitment strategies, theme development and immersion, as well as curriculum development and teaching strategies.

The number of PD hours in theme varied greatly among schools. Many principals had already scheduled other district required trainings during their sit PD time for the year, so there was no time for magnet training. Sites received a total of one hundred fifty nine hours of PD in theme. Seven sites were able to delivery the required twenty five hours of PD.

## Pillar 4: High Quality Instructional Systems

Magnet Standard 4.8.C: Key personnel will receive 30 hours of PD in instructional pedagogy. (Attachment G: Site PD Hours and Meetings)

All magnet school key personnel received at least five hours of PD in instructional pedagogy. Magnet school personnel received a total of two hundred twenty seven hours of training in delivery. Eight schools were able to deliver the required thirty hours of training.

### Pillar 4: High Quality Instructional Systems

Magnet Standard 4.9.A: Magnet schools will develop a shared leadership model through magnet leadership teams and community teams. (Attachment G: Site PD Hours and Meetings)

Every magnet school was required to form a site leadership team. Not every school was able to meet this requirement fully. Every school had a team but some schools did not have community representatives on the team. It was requested that site teams meet at least once per month. Some schools did not meet this goal. Site leadership teams met for a total of ninety nine hours during the 2013-2014 school year.

## Pillar 4: High Quality Instructional Systems

Magnet Standard 4.10.A: The magnet improvement plan or sustainability plan integrates the magnet theme with rigor into the curriculum, quality first instruction, interventions and assessments improve student learning. (Attachment H: Individual Magnet Improvement Plans)

All magnet schools completed and submitted a Magnet Improvement Plan with the exception of Mansfeld and Cragin. Both of these schools were in the planning phase of developing magnet theme. The Magnet Improvement Plans were very beneficial in that the results of the needs assessment were addressed through the plan. Schools reviewed their plans once a semester and used data generated from the monthly reports to note progress or areas that needed additional attention. As a result, all schools improved from the Fall pre-review to the

final review done in May. The most improvement was in marketing and recruitment, and least improved was Family and Community Partnerships.

# **Goal Three: African-American and Hispanic Family Engagement**

Pillar 5: Family and Community Partnerships

Magnet Standard 5.11.A: The local community is actively involved in supporting the magnet school.

For school year 2012-13 magnet schools reported 18, 970 hours. Safford, Robison and Ochoa were MSAP grant recipients in which one of the goals was to increase parent involvement. During 2012-13 these three schools had the highest parent involvement with Safford having the most at 3135 hours.

During the 2013-14 school year, magnet coordinators worked closely with community liaisons to engage families in magnet school activities. Taking the lessons learned from the grant funded schools coordinators created multiple opportunities for families to be engaged. The total number of volunteer hours for 2014-15 was 35, 701. Holladay, who had a dedicated community representative, had the most at 4229 hours while Ochoa Robison, and Safford saw most decline totaling 6450 hours combined. Comparing 2012-13 volunteer hours with 2014-15 volunteer hours, magnet schools realized an increase of 16,732 hours, a 53% increase. The goal of increasing parent involvement by 10% was met.

For the 20145-15 school year, the Magnet Department will work with Magnet Coordinators to develop an instrument that will more accurately measure family and community involvement and will be able to disaggregate the data by ethnicity.

# TUSD Magnet Department Annual Report | 2014

Pillar 5: Family and Community Partnerships

Magnet Standard: 5.12.A: All magnet schools will have a measureable family engagement and communication component in the improvement plan. (Attachment H: Individual Magnet Improvement Plans)

Each magnet school developed a SMART goal for family and community engagement activities as part of their Magnet Improvement Plan. Each school reached their goal of hosting one family/community event per quarter. The magnet department did not have a reporting requirement or instrument for attendance at these events. The events were reported on monthly reports, but attendance numbers were not documented. Pillar 5 will be a focus for the magnet department and the sites for 2014-15.

Drachman, Holladay and Safford received training in the Action Parent Teacher Team (APTT), a research-based systematic parent engagement program developed by West Ed. All three schools reported an increase in parent engagement from March to May, 2014.

National	District	Standard	5	4	3	2	1	0
Standard	Standard	Indicator						
Standard 1.1	1.1 Magnet	The ethnic	The ethnic	The ethnic	The ethnic	The ethnic	The ethnic	The ethnic
Student	schools will be	composition of	composition of	composition of	composition of	composition of	composition of	composition of
Recruitment	integrated.	the magnet	the magnet	the magnet	the magnet	the magnet	the magnet	the magnet
and Selection		school on the	school on the	school on the	school on the	school on the	school on the	school on the
		40 <sup>th</sup> day when	40 <sup>th</sup> day meets	40 <sup>th</sup> day meets	40 <sup>th</sup> day does			
		comparing	the definition	at least one	not meet the	not meet the	not meet the	not meet the
		identical grade	of integration	component of	definition of	definition of	definition of	definition of
		configurations.	using both	the definition	integration.	integration.	integration.	integration. At
			measures: No	of integration:	However, at	However, at	However, at	least one
			group exceeds	Either no one	least one	least one	least one	ethnic group is
			70% of the	group more	ethnic group is	ethnic group is	ethnic group is	more than 5%
			school's	than 70% of	within 3% of	within 4% of	within 5% of	points from
			enrollment,	the total school	meeting at	meeting at	meeting at	meeting at
			AND no group	population	least one of the			
			is more than 15	OR	measures.	measures.	measures.	measures.
			percentage	15%				
			points within	percentage				
			the district	points of the				
			average.	district				
				average.				

National	District	Standard	5	4	3	2	1	0
Standard	Standard	Indicator						
Standard 1.2	1.2	The ethnic	The ethnic	The ethnic	The ethnic	The ethnic	The ethnic	The ethnic
Student	Magnet	composition of	composition needed	composition	composition of	composition	composition	composition
Recruitment	schools will	applications	to integrate the	needed to	applications	needed to	needed to	needed to
and Selection	receive the	received.	school during the	integrate did	received for	integrate the	integrate the	integrate the
	number of		magnet recruitment	NOT meet the	the entry grade	school did NOT	school did NOT	school during
	ethnic		window met the	USP definition	did NOT meet	meet the USP	meet the USP	the magnet
	applications to		USP definition of	of integration.	integration but	definition of	definition of	recruitment
	support		integration: No	The program	progress was	integration.	integration.	window did
	integration.		group exceeds 70%	met 1 out of 2	made. Progress	However, the	The number of	NOT meet the
			of the school's	requirements:	is defined as	number of	applications	USP definition
			enrollment, AND no	no group	the increase in	applications	received	of integration
			group is more than	exceeds 70% of	the number of	received	increased, but	AND no
			15 percentage	the school's	applications	increased	the ethnic	progress was
			points within the	enrollment, OR	received	compared to	composition of	made when
			district average.	no group is	compared to	last year but	the	comparing
			OR	more than 15	the prior year	did not	applications	accepted
			The ethnic	percentage	AND the ethnic	contribute to	would have	applications
			composition needed	points within	composition of	integration.	increased racial	AND the
			to integrate the	the district	those		concentration.	number of
			school did not meet	average.	applications			applications
			the USP definition of	_	shows progress			received did
			integration.		when			not increase
			However, accepted		comparing the			compared to
			applications for the		ethnic			last year
			entry grade(s) meet		distribution to			,
			the definition of		the current			
			integration.		year 40 <sup>th</sup> day.			
					,			

National	District	Standard	5	4	3	2	1	0
Standard	Standard	Indicator						
	1.3	Magnet schools	Magnet	Magnet	Magnet	Magnet	Magnet	Magnet
	Magnet	participate in	program	program	program	program	program	program
	programs will	recruitment-	personnel	personnel	personnel	personnel	personnel	personnel
	utilize all	marketing	participated in	participated in				
	available tools	opportunities.	ALL	at least 75% of	at least 50% of	at least 25% of	less than 25%	0 district wide
	for recruitment		recruitment-	recruitment/	recruitment/	recruitment/	of recruitment/	or school
	and marketing		marketing	marketing	marketing	marketing	marketing	initiated
			opportunities	opportunities	opportunities	opportunities	opportunities	marketing/
			offered directly	recruitment				
			to the program	opportunities				
			by the district	by the district	by the district	by the district	by the district.	before
			AND conducted	AND conducted	AND conducted	AND did not		December 1.
			at least three	at least two	at least one	conduct any		
			documented	documented	documented	marketing/		
			marketing-	marketing/	marketing/	recruitment		
			recruitment	recruitment	recruitment	effort before		
			efforts before	effort before	effort before	December 1		
			December 1.	December 1.	December 1.			

National	District	Standard	5	4	3	2	1	0
Standard	Standard	Indicator						
Standard 1.4	1.4 Magnet	Enrollment in	Enrollment for	Enrollment for	One or more	One or more	Enrollment for	Enrollment for
Diversity and	schools will	the entry grade	the reporting	the reporting	grade levels do	grade levels do	the reporting	the reporting
Equity	have a diverse	levels and the	year in the	year in the	NOT meet the	NOT meet the	year, in the	year, in the
	student	retention of	entry grade	entry grade	USP definition	USP definition	entry grade	entry grade
	population.	students in	levels (K,6,9) in	levels (K,6,9)	of integration	of integration.	levels (K,6,9)	levels (K,6,9)
		other grades.	a magnet	met the	AND	Enrollment for	AND each	AND each
			program AND	requirements		the reporting	grade level in	grade level in
			each grade	of integration.	Enrollment for	year in the	the program do	the program
			level in the	However,	the reporting	entry grade	NOT meet the	did NOT meet
			program meet	those grade	year in the	levels (K,6,9) is	USP definition	the USP
			the USP	levels that	entry grade	within 4 to 5	of integration.	definition of
			definition of	were	levels (K,6,9) is	percentage	The	integration.
			integration	integrated the	within 1 to 3	points of	applications	The
			AND all grade	prior year did	percentage	meeting the	accepted did	applications
			levels were	not maintain	points of	definition of an	not impact	accepted
			able to retain	integration.	meeting the	integrated	integration.	increased racial
			integration.		definition of an	school.		concentration.
					integrated			
					school.			

# Tucson Unified School District Magnet Standards: PILLAR 2 INNOVATIVE CURRICULUM

National	District	Standard	5	4	3	2	1	0
Standard	Standard	Indicator						
Standard 2.5 Environment	2.5 Magnet programs will have a clearly defined theme.	Evidence of magnet theme.	Magnet theme is evident in 100% of the Essential Components of the Magnet Site Observation Checklist during ALL site walkthroughs conducted throughout the year.	Magnet theme is evident in 100% of the Essential Components of the Magnet Site Observation Checklist during ALL site walkthroughs conducted throughout the year.	Magnet theme is evident in at least 80% of the Essential Components of the Magnet Site Observation Checklist during MOST site walkthroughs conducted throughout the year.	Magnet theme is evident in at least 50% of the Essential Components of the Magnet Site Observation Check list when comparing the first walkthrough to the last walkthrough.	Magnet program showed little or no improvement in theme visibility as evidenced by the Essential Components of the Magnet Site Observation Checklist when comparing the first walkthrough to the last walkthrough.	Magnet program showed no evidence of theme visibility as evidenced by the Magnet Site Observation Checklist
Standard 2.6 Theme Fidelity	2.6 Magnet Programs will have a unique theme or pedagogy	Evidence of magnet theme immersion.	Magnet students are immersed in theme related curriculum at least 3 hours per school day in all grade levels of the program.	Magnet students are immersed in theme related curriculum less than 3 but more than 2 hours per school day in all grade levels of the program.	Magnet students are immersed in theme related curriculum less than 2 hours per school day in all grade levels of the program.	Magnet students are immersed in theme related curriculum less than 2 hours per school day in some grade levels of the program.	Magnet students are only immersed in theme related curriculum during extracurricular activities.	Magnet students are not immersed in theme related curriculum.

# Tucson Unified School District Magnet Standards: PILLAR 3 ACADEMIC EXCELLENCE

National	District Standard	Standard	5	4	3	2	1	0
Standard		Indicator						
Standard 3.7	3.7	Comparing	Magnet	Magnet	Magnet	Magnet	Magnet	Magnet
Student	Students in	total school	students	students	students	students	students	students
Achievement	magnet schools	achievement	outperformed	outperformed	performed at	performed at	performed	performed
	outperform	data to the	students not	students not	least as well as	least as well as	below students	below students
	students who do	district	attending a	attending a	students not	students not	not attending a	not attending a
	not attend	average of an	magnet school	magnet school	attending a	attending a	magnet school	magnet school
	magnet schools	identical grade	in reading and	in reading and	magnet school	magnet school	in reading <u>OR</u>	in reading <b>AND</b>
	in reading and	configuration	math when	math when	in reading <b>AND</b>	in reading <u>OR</u>	math when	math when
	math when	in reading and	comparing	comparing	math when	math when	comparing	comparing
	comparing similar	math	total school	total school	comparing	comparing	total school	total school
	sub-groups.		achievement	achievement	total school	total school	achievement	achievement
			data to the	data to the	achievement	achievement	data to the	data to the
			district average	district average	data to the	data to the	district average	district average
			of an identical	of an identical	district average	district average	of an identical	of an identical
			grade	grade	of an identical	of an identical	grade	grade
			configuration	configuration	grade	grade	configuration.	configuration.
			in reading and	in reading <b>OR</b>	configuration.	configuration.		
			math.	math.				
	3.8	Student	Students in ALL	Student	More than one	At least one	Student	Student
	Magnet programs	achievement in	ethnic	achievement in	ethnic category	ethnic category	achievement in	achievement in
	will eliminate	all ethnic	categories	all ethnic	demonstrated	demonstrated	at least one of	any one ethnic
	disproportionality	categories	have shown	categories	growth when	growth, but it	the ethnic	group has
	of student	exceeded the	increases in	demonstrated	compared to	was below the	groups	shown
	achievement by	district	student	growth on	district scores.	district	remained	backward
	race.	average	achievement in	assessments		average.	stagnant when	growth on
		comparing	reading and	that mirror the		J	comparing	assessments
		ethnic	math on	district average			grade levels	compared by
		categories and	district/state	growth.			year to year.	grade levels
		grade levels.	assessments at	0 :			, ,	year to year.
		0 : :- :- :	ALL grade					, ,
			levels.					

# Tucson Unified School District Magnet Standards: PILLAR 3 ACADEMIC EXCELLENCE

National	District	Standard	5	4	3	2	1	0
Standard	Standard	Indicator						
	3.9	Evidence of	The magnet	Evidence exists	Some teachers	The program	Some teachers	The program
	Magnet	interventions	curriculum	that there is a	provide	provides	provide	does not
	schools will	and extended	allows for	systematic	support for	evidence that it	support but it	provide
	offer	day programs.	accelerated	process within	students within	is developing a	is not within	instructional
	interventions		opportunities;	the program to	the theme, but	systematic	the theme and	support to
	and		tiered levels of	support	there is no	approach to	there is no	enable all
	accelerated		interventions	individualized	systematic	individualize	systematic	students to be
	opportunities		related to the	learning within	process to	learning within	process in	academically
	both within the		theme; exists	the theme for	provide	the theme.	place.	successful.
	school day and		for ALL	all students	accelerated			
	during		students;	including	learning			
	extended day		offered during	accelerated	opportunities			
	programs.		the school day	opportunities	and/or tiered			
			AND before	and tiered	interventions.			
			and/or after	interventions.				
			school; AND					
			100% of					
			eligible					
			students					
			participate.					

### Tucson Unified School District Magnet Standards: PILLAR 4 HIGH QUALITY INSTRUCTIONAL SYSTEMS

National	District	Standard	5	4	3	2	1	0
Standard	Standard	Indicator						
Standard 4.10	4.10	Evidence of	25 hours of	25 hours of	Fewer than 25	Fewer than 25	The magnet	There is no
	Magnet	Professional	documented	documented	hours of	hours were	program has	evidence of
	program key	Development	professional	professional	documented	offered and	evidence of	theme training
	personnel will	in Theme	development in	development in	professional	less that 09%	planning for	opportunities
	receive 25		theme were	theme were	development in	of the magnet	future training	provided.
	hours of		offered AND at	offered but	theme were	teachers and	in their unique	
	professional		least 90% of	fewer than	offered, and at	administrators	theme areas.	
	development		magnet	90% of magnet	least 90% of	participated.		
	outside the		program	program	the magnet			
	district		teachers and	teachers and	program			
	requirement		administrators	administrators	teachers and			
	for		participated in	participated in	administrators			
	Wednesdays in		ALL 25 hours of	ALL 25 hours of	participated			
	theme content		training.	training.				
	annually.							

# Tucson Unified School District Magnet Standards: PILLAR 4 HIGH QUALITY INSTRUCTIONAL SYSTEMS

National	District	Standard Indicator	5	4	3	2	1	0
Standard	Standard							
	4. 11	Evidence of	30 hours of	30 hours of	Fewer than -30	Fewer than 30	The magnet	There is no
	Magnet	professional	professional	professional	hours of	hours were	program has	evidence of
	program key	development in	development in	development	documented	offered and	evidence of	instructional
	personnel will	instructional	instructional	in instructional	professional	less than 90%	planning for	pedagogy
	receive 30	Pedagogy,	pedagogy were	pedagogy	development	of the magnet	future	training
	hours of	Teacher/Administrator	offered AND at	were offered but fewer than	were offered, and at least	teachers and administrators	training in instructional	opportunities provided.
	professional	participation	least 90% of	90%_of	90% of the	participated.	pedagogy.	provided.
	development		teachers and	teachers and	magnet			
	outside the		administrators	administrators	program			

district	participated in	participated.	teachers and		
requirement	ALL 30 hours of		administrators		
for	training.		participated.		
Wednesdays					
in					
instructional					
pedagogy					
annually.					

# Tucson Unified School District Magnet Standards: PILLAR 4 HIGH QUALITY INSTRUCTIONAL SYSTEMS

National	District	Standard	5	4	3	2	1	0
Standards	Standards	Indicator						
Standard 4.12	4.12	Magnet	The magnet	The magnet	There is a	There is a	There is a	There is no
Effective	The Magnet	Improvement	program	program	magnet plan.	magnet plan	magnet plan.	evidence of a
Organization	Improvement	Plan or Site	completes a	completes a	Data is	but there is no	There is	magnet plan
and Systemic	Plan or	Strategic Plan	documented	documented	analyzed but	evidence of	evidence that	for the site.
Improvement	Sustainability		magnet plan	magnet plan	not used for	data being	the school is	
	Plan integrates		annually	annually and	decision-	used to drive	developing a	
	the magnet		demonstrating	there is	making and	decision-	process for	
	theme with		that all strategic	evidence that	growth.	making and	analyzing data	
	rigor into the		planning efforts	data is	Magnet reports	growth.	and how to use	
	curriculum,		integrate the	analyzed and	document	Monthly	this data to	
	quality first		magnet theme to	information is	continuous	reports are late	drive decision-	
	instruction,		improve student	put into	growth but are	and missing	making and	
	interventions,		learning and there	practice to	late or	information.	growth.	
	and		is evidence that	improve	inconsistent.		Monthly	
	assessments,		the program uses	student			reports are not	
	to improve		a continuous	learning.			submitted.	
	student		improvement	Monthly				
	learning.		model	reports are				
			incorporating the	timely and				
			use of data to	used to				
			drive decisions	document				

	related to	continuous		
	improving	growth.		
	instructional			
	practice and			
	student learning.			
	Monthly reports			
	are timely and			
	document			
	continuous			
	improvement and			
	growth.			

# Tucson Unified School District Magnet Standards: PILLAR 5 FAMILY AND COMMUNITY PARTNERSHIPS

National	District	Standard	5	4	3	2	1	0
Standard	Standard	Indicator						
Standard 5.13	5.13	Number of	The magnet	The magnet	The magnet	The magnet	The program	There is no
Community	The community	participants	program has a	program has a	program	program is	has lost	evidence of
Engagement	is actively	and community	documented	documented	maintains or	developing a	community	connections
and	involved in	calendar	community	community	has at least 1	plan to	partnerships or	with the
Partnerships	supporting the		event calendar	event calendar	connection to	establish	has not	community.
	magnet school.		AND evidence	AND evidence	organizations	community	developed any	
			of 4 or more	of at least 3	that support	relationships to	new	
			connections to	connections	the magnet	support the	community	
			multiple	with the	theme or	magnet theme.	relationships	
			organizations	community,	connections	There are no	over the past	
			that are	which is	are newly	letters of	year.	
			ongoing AND	involved with	established	support		
			have been in	its operations	AND are	documenting		
			place over time	and supports	developing a	the		
			AND are	theme related	plan to	partnership.		
			relevant to the	activities. All	increase			
			magnet theme.	partnerships	connections.			
			All partnerships	are	Not all			
			are	documented	partnerships			
			documented	through a	have a			

	through a	letter of	documented		
	letter of	support on file	letter of		
	support on file	in magnet	support on file.		
	in magnet	coordinators			
	coordinators	office.			
	office.				

# Tucson Unified School District Magnet Standards: PILLAR 5 FAMILY AND COMMUNITY PARTNERSHIPS

National	District	Standard	5	4	3	2	1	0
Standard	Standard	Indicator						
Standard 5.14	5.14	Evidence of	Families can	The magnet	The program has	Documentation	The program	There is no
Family	All magnet	frequent	contact teachers	program has a	evidence that it has	of	has minimal	evidence of
Engagement	schools will	contact with	and the principal	documented	a systematic	communication	documentation	communication
and	have a	families;	via phone, email	systematic	approach to	with parents	of	with families
Communication	measurable	mailers,	and the website;	approach and	communicate with	and the	communication	through web,
	family	newsletters,	AND have easy	Evidence of	families and are	availability of	with parents	phone, email,
	engagement	web-site,	access to curricular	communicating	planning new ways	curricular	and there is no	or family
	and	parent access	documents (web-	with parents and	to increase family	materials are	evidence of	engagement
	communication	to curricular	site, hand-book,	families,	engagement	present, but	offering	opportunities.
	component in	documents,	course guides).	specifically	opportunities and	may not be	opportunities	
	the	student	The school	targeting African	increase	systematic.	for family	
	improvement	showcases.	regularly	American, Latino	attendance	Curricular	engagement.	
	plan that	Number of	showcases student	and ELL families;	specifically	materials are	OR Family	
	includes	Latino, African	learning related to	AND have evidence	targeting African	not available.	participation	
	specific	American and	the magnet theme	that curricular	American, Latino,	Opportunities	decreases as	
	outreach to	ELL families	for families and the	materials are	and ELL families;	for family	documented	
	African	participating	community in	available; AND	AND evidence that	participation	by the number	
	American,	increase each	which almost all	evidence of	data is used to	increases or	of families	
	Latino and ELL	year until 90%	families are invited	offering	inform families of	maintains each	participating	
	families.	of the families	specifically	opportunities for	student progress;	year as		
		are	targeting African	family engagement	AND have evidence	documented		
		participating;	American, Latino	at least once per	that curricular	by the number		
		Communicatio	and ELL families.	quarter; AND	materials are	of families		
		n is provided	AND evidence that	evidence that data	available.	participating.		
		in the	data is used to	is used to inform	Opportunities for	Evidence of		
		languages	inform families of	families of student	family engagement	using data to		
		represented	student progress;	progress; AND	have been offered	inform families		
		by the school	AND family	family participation	at least one a	of student		
		population.	participation	increases each year	semester; AND	progress may		

	increases each year	as documented by	family participation	not be evident.	
	as documented by	the number of	increases each year		
	the number of	families	as documented.		
	families	participating.			
	participating.				

2013-2014

# **MAGNET IMPROVEMENT PLAN**



SCHOOL NAME:	MAGNET THEME:
Please write a summary of your plan (what	would someone expect to see during a visit to your site)?
MAGNET LEADERSHIP TEAM MEMBERS	NAME
Principal	
Magnet Coordinator	
Magnet Director	Victoria Callison
Magnet Senior Program Coordinator	Laurie Westfall
Magnet Senior Program Coordinator	Adelle McNiece
Marketing Specialist	Sally Jacunski
MAGN	ET LEADERSHIP TEAM MEETINGS
How many days a month does your Magnet Leadership Team meet?	
Please provide dates/times when your	
Magnet Leadership Team meets?	
(ex: Tuesdays @ 1:00 pm)	

TUSD

#### **Complete the Magnet Review Summary.**

With data and information available to you, analyze the needs of your school. The goal is for the school's magnet leadership team to carefully analyze and interpret all data in order to accurately and completely assess the needs of your school. The knowledge gained during this investigative and analytical phase will be the basis for identifying the greatest priorities on which to develop your school's magnet goals.

Only areas marked "NO" need to be addressed in your Magnet Improvement Plan.

#### **Magnet Review Summary**

Strategy		YES	NO
#			
1	Does your current enrollment meet the definition of integration?		
1	Is your magnet program attracting students to support integration and diversity at your school?		
1	Is your magnet program retaining students to support integration and diversity at your school?		
2	Is the curriculum at this school: documented?		
	paced?		
	assessed?	-	
	reflected?		
	adjusted?		
2	Is the curriculum at this school unique?		
2	Is the methodology (pedagogy) implemented at this school unique?		
2	Do students experience theme immersion for a minimum of three hours per day?		
2	Is there theme integration in the curriculum?		
2	Is there theme congruency in the curriculum?		
3	Is there a certification or recognition for teachers who have completed magnet theme related training?		
3	Does our professional development support the magnet content or a specialized delivery of instruction?		
3	Does the magnet have key personnel to ensure that the magnet is implemented with fidelity?		
3	Does your magnet have an organized leadership structure that involves all stakeholders so the magnet theme is held with absolute fidelity and is not diluted by supplemental programs?		
1	Does your magnet have a recruitment plan that includes community partnerships?		
1	Does your magnet have a marketing plan that includes the collection and review of indicators for success?		
3	Has this school had a stable staff for the past four years?		
3	Have staff been successful at delivering quality instruction?		
3	Have students in all ethnic categories shown increases in student achievement?		
3	Does your Title I Plan support or supplement you magnet theme?		

Last Updated 6/25/2014 11:02:09 AM

Magnet Improvement Plan (Upload to ALEAT Page 2 of 7)

# Section 2: Developing your School's Magnet Improvement Plan Guiding Question: How are we going to get to where we want to be?

#### **MAGNET GOAL: INTEGRATION**

**USP Description:** The Magnet School Plan shall, at a minimum, set forth a process and schedule to... identify goals to further the integration of each magnet school which shall be used to assess the effectiveness of efforts to enhance integration at the school. **[III.E.3.xi]** 

#### **Magnet Strategy 1: ENROLLMENT**

[See MAGNET REVIEW: ENROLLMENT (Section 1), RECRUITMENT AND MARKETING (Section 6)]

#### **USP Description:**

The District shall continue to implement magnet school/program as a strategy for assigning students to schools and to provide students w/opportunity to attend an integrated school. [II.E.1]

The District...shall recruit a racially and ethnically diverse student body ...to ensure that the schools are integrated to the greatest extent practicable. [II.E.2]

An integrated school is any school in which no racial or ethnic group varies from the district average for that grade level (Elementary School, Middle School, K-8, High School) by more than +/-15 percentage points, and in which no single racial or ethnic group exceeds 70% of the school's enrollment. [II.B.2]

#### **SMART Goal:**

Methods	Person (s)	Action Steps	Begin	End
to support strategy	Accountable	to achieve SMART Goal (add more if needed)	Date	Date
		1.		
Recruitment		2.		
		3.		
		1.		
Marketing		2.		
		3.		
		1.		
Retention		2.		
		3.		

Last Updated 6/25/2014 11:02:09 AM

Magnet Improvement Plan (Upload to ALEAT Page 3 of 7)

#### **Magnet Strategy 2: THEME DEVELOPMENT**

[SEE MAGNET REVIEW: CURRICULUM/ASSESSMENT (Section 2)]

#### **USP Description:**

In creating the Plan, the District shall... improve existing magnet schools and programs that are not promoting integration [III.E.3.ii]

**SMART Goal:** 

Methods to support strategy	Person (s) Accountable	Action Steps to achieve SMART Goal (add more if needed)	Begin Date	End Date
Theme-Based Professional Development (Research)		1. 2. 3.		
Theme Visibility Development		1. 2. 3.		
Theme Integration with Common Core Curriculum (Planning Phase)		1. 2. 3.		
Scope and Sequence (Planning Phase)		1. 2. 3.		
Unit Development, Including Assessments (Planning Phase)		1. 2. 3.		

Last Updated 6/25/2014 11:02:09 AM

Magnet Improvement Plan (Upload to ALEAT Page 4 of 7)

#### **Magnet Strategy 3: KEY PERSONNEL**

[SEE MAGNET REVIEW: PROFESSIONAL DEVELOPMENT (Section 3), KEY PERSONNEL (Section 4), LEADERSHIP (Section 5), STABLE AND SUCCESSFUL STAFF (Section 7)]

#### **USP Description:**

In creating the Plan, the District shall...ensure that administrators and certificated staff in magnet schools and programs have the expertise and training necessary to ensure successful implementation of the magnet. [III.E.3.vi]

The Magnet School Plan shall, at a minimum, set forth a process and schedule to... provide necessary training and resources to magnet school and program administrators and certificated staff; [III.E.3.ix]

#### SMART Goal:

Methods to support strategy	Person (s) Accountable	Action Steps to achieve SMART Goal (add more if needed)	Begin Date	End Date
		1.		
		2.		
		3.		
		1.		
		2.		
		3.		
		1.		
		2.		
		3.		
		1.		
		2.		
		3.		

Last Updated 6/25/2014 11:02:09 AM

Magnet Improvement Plan (Upload to ALEAT Page 5 of 7)

#### **Magnet Strategy 4: FAMILY ENGAGEMENT**

#### **USP Description:**

The Magnet School Plan shall, at a minimum, set forth a process and schedule to...include strategies to specifically engage African American and Latino families, including the families of English language learner ("ELL") students; [III.E.3.x]

#### **SMART Goal:**

Methods	Person (s)	Action Steps	Begin	End
to support strategy	Accountable	to achieve SMART Goal	Date	Date
		(add more if needed)		
		1.		
		2.		
		3.		
		1.		
		2.		
		3.		
		1.		
		2.		
		3.		
		1.		
		2.		
		3.		

Last Updated 6/25/2014 11:02:09 AM

Magnet Improvement Plan (Upload to ALEAT Page 6 of 7)

					St	andaı	rd Re	porti	ing		USP Reporting						
Year	Grade	Limit	Group	White/Anglo	African American	Hispanic	Native American	Asian American	Multi-racial	Total	White/Anglo	African American	Hispanic	Native American	Asian American	Multi-racial	Total
2011	0	55	1) Total On Time Apps Received	5	2	43	1	0	1	52	5	3	43	1	0	0	52
2011	0	55	2) Total On Time Apps Placed	3	2	37	1	0	1	44	3	3	37	1	0	0	44
2011	0	55	3) Total On Time Apps Enrolled	2	2	34	1	0	0	39	2	2	34	1	0	0	39
2011	1	7	1) Total On Time Apps Received	1	0	2	1	0	0	4	1	0	2	1	0	0	4
2011	1	7	2) Total On Time Apps Placed	1	0	2	1	0	0	4	1	0	2	1	0	0	4
2011	1	7	3) Total On Time Apps Enrolled	0	0	1	1	0	0	2	0	0	1	1	0	0	2
2011	2	5	1) Total On Time Apps Received	1	0	3	0	0	0	4	1	0	3	0	0	0	4
2011	2	5	2) Total On Time Apps Placed	1	0	2	0	0	0	3	1	0	2	0	0	0	3
2011	2	5	3) Total On Time Apps Enrolled	1	0	1	0	0	0	2	1	0	1	0	0	0	2
2011	3	8	1) Total On Time Apps Received	0	0	4	0	0	0	4	0	0	4	0	0	0	4
2011	3	8	2) Total On Time Apps Placed	0	0	3	0	0	0	3	0	0	3	0	0	0	3
2011	3	8	3) Total On Time Apps Enrolled	0	0	2	0	0	0	2	0	0	2	0	0	0	2
2011	4	6	1) Total On Time Apps Received	0	0	3	0	0	0	3	0	0	3	0	0	0	3
2011	4	6	2) Total On Time Apps Placed	0	0	3	0	0	0	3	0	0	3	0	0	0	3
2011	4	6	3) Total On Time Apps Enrolled	0	0	2	0	0	0	2	0	0	2	0	0	0	2
2011	5	10	1) Total On Time Apps Received	0	0	2	0	0	0	2	0	0	2	0	0	0	2
2011	5	10	2) Total On Time Apps Placed	0	0	2	0	0	0	2	0	0	2	0	0	0	2
2011	5	10	3) Total On Time Apps Enrolled														
2011	Total	91	1) Total On Time Apps Received	7	2	57	2	0	1	69	7	3	57	2	0	0	69
2011	Total	91	2) Total On Time Apps Placed	5	2	49	2	0	1	59	5	3	49	2	0	0	59
2011	Total	91	3) Total On Time Apps Enrolled	3	2	40	2	0	0	47	3	2	40	2	0	0	47

					St	andaı	rd Re	porti	ing		USP Reporting						
Year	Grade	Limit	Group	White/Anglo	African American	Hispanic	Native American	Asian American	Multi-racial	Total	White/Anglo	African American	Hispanic	Native American	Asian American	Multi-racial	Total
2012	0	47	1) Total On Time Apps Received	5	0	32	0	0	0	37	5	0	30	0	0	2	37
2012	0	47	2) Total On Time Apps Placed	5	0	32	0	0	0	37	5	0	30	0	0	2	37
2012	0	47	3) Total On Time Apps Enrolled	5	0	28	0	0	0	33	5	0	27	0	0	1	33
2012	1	2	1) Total On Time Apps Received	1	0	5	0	0	0	6	1	0	5	0	0	0	6
2012	1	2	2) Total On Time Apps Placed	1	0	2	0	0	0	3	1	0	2	0	0	0	3
2012	1	2	3) Total On Time Apps Enrolled	0	0	2	0	0	0	2	0	0	2	0	0	0	2
2012	2	3	1) Total On Time Apps Received	1	0	2	0	0	0	3	1	0	2	0	0	0	3
2012	2	3	2) Total On Time Apps Placed	1	0	2	0	0	0	3	1	0	2	0	0	0	3
2012	2	3	3) Total On Time Apps Enrolled	1	0	1	0	0	0	2	1	0	1	0	0	0	2
2012	3	7	1) Total On Time Apps Received	0	0	4	0	0	0	4	0	0	4	0	0	0	4
2012	3	7	2) Total On Time Apps Placed	0	0	3	0	0	0	3	0	0	3	0	0	0	3
2012	3	7	3) Total On Time Apps Enrolled	0	0	3	0	0	0	3	0	0	3	0	0	0	3
2012	4	10	1) Total On Time Apps Received	0	0	4	0	0	0	4	0	0	4	0	0	0	4
2012	4	10	2) Total On Time Apps Placed	0	0	3	0	0	0	3	0	0	3	0	0	0	3
2012	4	10	3) Total On Time Apps Enrolled	0	0	3	0	0	0	3	0	0	3	0	0	0	3
2012	5		1) Total On Time Apps Received														
2012	5		2) Total On Time Apps Placed														
2012	5		3) Total On Time Apps Enrolled														
2012	Total	69	1) Total On Time Apps Received	7	0	47	0	0	0	54	7	0	45	0	0	2	54
2012	Total	69	2) Total On Time Apps Placed	7	0	42	0	0	0	49	7	0	40	0	0	2	49
2012	Total	69	3) Total On Time Apps Enrolled	6	0	37	0	0	0	43	6	0	36	0	0	1	43

					St	andaı	rd Re	porti	ing		USP Reporting						
Year	Grade	Limit	Group	White/Anglo	African American	Hispanic	Native American	Asian American	Multi-racial	Total	White/Anglo	African American	Hispanic	Native American	Asian American	Multi-racial	Total
2013	0	41	1) Total On Time Apps Received	3	1	33	1	0	1	39	3	3	30	1	0	2	39
2013	0	41	2) Total On Time Apps Placed	3	1	33	1	0	1	39	3	3	30	1	0	2	39
2013	0	41	3) Total On Time Apps Enrolled	2	1	23	1	0	1	28	2	3	22	1	0	0	28
2013	1	6	1) Total On Time Apps Received	1	0	6	0	1	0	8	1	0	4	0	1	2	8
2013	1	6	2) Total On Time Apps Placed	1	0	3	0	1	0	5	1	0	3	0	1	0	5
2013	1	6	3) Total On Time Apps Enrolled	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	1
2013	2	11	1) Total On Time Apps Received	0	1	4	0	0	0	5	0	1	4	0	0	0	5
2013	2	11	2) Total On Time Apps Placed	0	0	4	0	0	0	4	0	0	4	0	0	0	4
2013	2	11	3) Total On Time Apps Enrolled	0	0	2	0	0	0	2	0	0	2	0	0	0	2
2013	4	2	1) Total On Time Apps Received	0	0	2	0	0	0	2	0	0	2	0	0	0	2
2013	4	2	2) Total On Time Apps Placed														
2013	4	2	3) Total On Time Apps Enrolled														
2013	5	4	1) Total On Time Apps Received	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	1
2013	5	4	2) Total On Time Apps Placed	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	1
2013	5	4	3) Total On Time Apps Enrolled														
2013	Total	64	1) Total On Time Apps Received	4	2	46	1	1	1	55	4	4	41	1	1	4	55
2013	Total	62	2) Total On Time Apps Placed	4	1	41	1	1	1	49	4	3	38	1	1	2	49
2013	Total	58	3) Total On Time Apps Enrolled	2	1	26	1	0	1	31	2	3	25	1	0	0	31

					Sta	andar	d Re	port	ing		USP Reporting						
Year	Grade	Limit	Group	White/Anglo	African American	Hispanic	Native American	Asian American	Multi-racial	Total	White/Anglo	African American	Hispanic	Native American	Asian American	Multi-racial	Total
2014	0	46	1) Total On Time Apps Received	11	1	72	0	0	2	86	11	2	69	0	0	4	86
2014	0	46	2) Total On Time Apps Placed	9	1	39	0	0	0	49	9	2	37	0	0	1	49
2014	0	46	3) Total On Time Apps Enrolled	7	1	34	0	0	0	42	7	2	32	0	0	1	42
2014	1	4	1) Total On Time Apps Received	0	0	14	0	0	0	14	0	0	13	0	0	1	14
2014	1	4	2) Total On Time Apps Placed	0	0	6	0	0	0	6	0	0	6	0	0	0	6
2014	1	4	3) Total On Time Apps Enrolled	0	0	3	0	0	0	3	0	0	3	0	0	0	3
2014	2	13	1) Total On Time Apps Received	0	0	9	1	0	0	10	0	0	7	1	0	2	10
2014	2	13	2) Total On Time Apps Placed	0	0	9	1	0	0	10	0	0	7	1	0	2	10
2014	2	13	3) Total On Time Apps Enrolled	0	0	5	0	0	0	5	0	0	3	0	0	2	5
2014	3	12	1) Total On Time Apps Received	2	0	13	0	0	0	15	2	0	13	0	0	0	15
2014	3	12	2) Total On Time Apps Placed	2	0	12	0	0	0	14	2	0	12	0	0	0	14
2014	3	12	3) Total On Time Apps Enrolled	1	0	9	0	0	0	10	1	0	9	0	0	0	10
2014	4	9	1) Total On Time Apps Received	0	0	6	1	0	0	7	0	0	6	1	0	0	7
2014	4		2) Total On Time Apps Placed	0	0	6	1	0	0	7	0	0	6	1	0	0	7
2014	4	9	3) Total On Time Apps Enrolled	0	0	5	0	0	0	5	0	0	5	0	0	0	5
2014	5	3	1) Total On Time Apps Received	0	0	5	0	0	0	5	0	0	5	0	0	0	5
2014	5	3	2) Total On Time Apps Placed	0	0	5	0	0	0	5	0	0	5	0	0	0	5
2014	5	3	3) Total On Time Apps Enrolled	0	0	2	0	0	0	2	0	0	2	0	0	0	2
2014	Total	87	1) Total On Time Apps Received	13	1	119	2	0	2	137	13	2	113	2	0	7	137
2014	Total	87	2) Total On Time Apps Placed	11	1	77	2	0	0	91	11	2	73	2	0	3	91
2014	Total	87	3) Total On Time Apps Enrolled	8	1	58	0	0	0	67	8	2	54	0	0	3	67

					St	andaı	d Re	porti	ing		USP Reporting						
Year	Grade	Limit	Group	White/Anglo	African American	Hispanic	Native American	Asian American	Multi-racial	Total	White/Anglo	African American	Hispanic	Native American	Asian American	Multi-racial	Total
2015	0	32	1) Total On Time Apps Received	11	0	46	0	0	1	58	11	0	43	0	0	4	58
2015	0	32	2) Total On Time Apps Placed	9	0	22	0	0	1	32	9	0	21	0	0	2	32
2015	0	32	3) Total On Time Apps Enrolled	9	0	22	0	0	1	32	9	0	21	0	0	2	32
2015	1	6	1) Total On Time Apps Received	2	0	4	0	0	0	6	2	0	4	0	0	0	6
2015	1	6	2) Total On Time Apps Placed	2	0	2	0	0	0	4	2	0	2	0	0	0	4
2015	1	6	3) Total On Time Apps Enrolled	2	0	2	0	0	0	4	2	0	2	0	0	0	4
2015	3	4	1) Total On Time Apps Received	1	0	1	0	0	0	2	1	0	1	0	0	0	2
2015	3	4	2) Total On Time Apps Placed	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
2015	3	4	3) Total On Time Apps Enrolled	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
2015	5	2	1) Total On Time Apps Received	0	0	1	1	0	0	2	0	0	1	1	0	0	2
2015	5	2	2) Total On Time Apps Placed	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	1
2015	5	2	3) Total On Time Apps Enrolled	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	1
2015	Total	44	1) Total On Time Apps Received	14	0	52	1	0	1	68	14	0	49	1	0	4	68
2015	Total	44	2) Total On Time Apps Placed	12	0	24	1	0	1	38	12	0	23	1	0	2	38
2015	Total	44	3) Total On Time Apps Enrolled	12	0	24	1	0	1	38	12	0	23	1	0	2	38

#### **EVENTS**

Parent University Magnet Department
4th Ave. Street Fair Magnet Department

Tully, Drachman, Booth

Fickett, Mansfeld, PaloVerde,

Sci-Tech Festival Pueblo, Tucson High
Multicultural Symposium Magnet Department
Book Fair Magnet Department,

All Magnet Schools and

Celebrate Schools Magnet Department

Magnet Department, Pueblo,

Cholla, Tucson High,

Utterback, Tully, Mansfeld,

Celtic Festival Bonillas, Robison, Safford

Magnet Department, Middle,

Middle/High School Info Event K-8 and High Schools Tucson Parade of Lights Magnet Department

Magnet Department, Cragin,

Beyond 2014 Holladay

Magnet Department,

Elementary and K-8 Schools,

Magnet Kinder Info Event Early Learning Centers

			Reading	
	White	African Am	Hispanic	Native American
Bonillas	22.6	-4.2	1.3	0
Borton	1.6	10	-4.8	2.5
Carrillo	16.7		0.4	
Cragin	-6.3	3.2	5.1	
Davis	5.4		-0.4	
Drachman		-4	12.4	0
Holladay	-4.3	5.1	-10.3	
Ochoa			-6.1	-16.4
Robison	-7.5	-33.3	-12.5	
Tully	6.1	41.4	-3.4	-40
Magnet Elementary Total	34.3	18.2	-18.3	-53.9
Magnet Elementary Average	4.9	2.6	-2.0	-13.5
Caffaul K O	10.1	0.4	4.2	2.0
Safford K-8 Booth-Fickett	19.1	-8.4	1.3	- <b>3.9</b>
	0.7	-1.3	0.9	16.7
Roskruge	0	-1.8	-0.6	-13.7
Magnet K-8 Total	19.8	-11.5	1.6	-0.9
Magnet K-8 Average	6.6	-3.8	0.5	-0.3
Mansfeld	5.8	6.2	-0.8	-0.7
Utterback	-8	11.4	-3.4	-3.3
Magnet Middle Total	-2.2	17.6	-4.2	-4
Magnet Middle Average	-1.1	8.8	-2.1	-2
Cholla	1	1.5	3.7	-5.6
Palo Verde	-8.1	14.2	0.1	
Pueblo	-2.1	8.3	7.7	-10.5
Tucson High	5	15.7	1.4	7.5
Magnet High Total	-4.2	39.7	12.9	-8.6
magnet High Average	-1.05		3.2	-2.9
magnet right Average	-1.03	9.9	3.2	-2.3
Magnet Total	47.7	64	-8	-67.4
Magnet Average	15.9		-2.7	-22.5
District Average	-1.1	1.5	0.5	0.2
Difference	17.0		-3.2	-22.7
			3.2	

**Findings: READING** 

<sup>1.</sup> White students in magnet schools outperformed the district by 17% in reading.

<sup>2.</sup> African American students in magnet schools outperformed district average by 19.8% in reading.

- 3. Hispanic students in magnet schools did not perform as well as the district average by 3.2%.
  - A. Target Borton, Davis, Holladay, Ochoa, Robison, Tully, Roskruge, Mansfeld, Utterback
- 4. Native American students in magnet schools did not perform as well as the district average by 22.7%.
  - A. Target Ochoa, Tully, Safford, Roskruge, Mansfeld, Utterback, Cholla, Pueblo
- 5. Asian students in magnet schools outperformed the district average by 3.6% in reading.
- 6. Overall in reading, magnet students did not do as well as district students.

Findings: MATH

- 7. White students in magnet schools did not perform as well as the district average in math by 16.9%.
  - A. Target Borton, Holladay, Robison, Roskruge, Utterback, Cholla, Pueblo
- 8. African American student in magnet schools ourperformed the district average by 43.5% in math.
- 9. Hispanic students in magnet schools outperformed the district average by 3.9% in math.
- **10.** Native American students in magnet schools scored **25.7**% lower than the district average in mat A. Target Borton, Tully, Safford, Roskruge, Mansfeld, Pueblo
- 11. Asian students in magnet schools outperformed the district average by 18.2% in math.
- 12. Overall, magnet students outperformed the district by .4% in math.

TIER 3- STUDENT INTERVENTIONS

TIER 2-STUDENT INTERVENTIONS

Roskruge Holladay
Utterback Mansfeld
Borton Pueblo
Robison Tully

Safford

USP II.K.1.f

# USP II.K.1.f

#### Math

				•••				
Asian						Native American	Asian	TOTAL
	0	5.8	19	16.7	5.2			8.2
	0	-4	-3.8	-6.7	-13.2	-17.5		-12.2
		0.4	16.7	0.9				0.3
		1.2	-19.2	0.5	5.3			-2.1
		0.4	-1.8		9			7.1
		8.2		32.5	31.5	8.9		29.1
		-10.4	-20.2	17.9	-4.4			-7.7
	-5.9				6.4	2.7		6.7
		-12.1	-12.5	33.3	-19.2			-18.4
		-1.6	2.4	1.4	-7.8	-26.7		-9.9
	-5.9	-12.1	-19.4	96.5	12.8	-32.6		1.1
	-5.9	-1.5	-2.8	12.1	1.6	-8.2		0.1
				-				
		2	4.5	-6.4	-5.6	-15.3		-6.1
	7.3	0.6	7.5	-7.7	-0.7	16.7	13.3	0.9
		-1.3	-5.6	5.5	-1.3	-1.8		-1.3
	7.3	1.3	6.4	-8.6	-7.6	-0.4	13.3	-6.5
	7.3	0.4				-0.1	13.3	-2.2
<u> </u>								
	5.6	0	10.2	-4.7	2.5	-19.3	22.2	2.2
		-2.8	-23			2.7		-7.4
	5.6	-2.8	-12.8	-5.1	-4.8	-16.6	22.2	-5.2
	5.6	-1.4	-6.4			-8.3	22.2	-2.6
		2.4	-8.7	7.4	0.5	1.5		0.1
		-1.7	2.5					3.3
		6.6	-29.8		6.7	-26.8		4
		3.1	8.2		1.9	0.6	18.2	3.8
	0	10.4	-27.8	51.7	11.7	-24.7	18.2	11.2
	0	2.6			2.9	-8.2	4.55	2.8
	7	-3.2	-53.6	134.5	12.1	-74.3	53.7	0.6
	2.3	-1.1	-17.9			-24.8		0.2
	-1.3	0	-1.0			0.9	-0.3	-0.2
	3.6	-1.1		43.5		-25.7	18.2	0.4
	-	_		- 10				

th.

		Quarter 1			Quarter
Ethnicity	N Tested	Average % Score	% Achieving Mastery	N Tested	Average % Score
White	836	53.60%	77.90%	548	54.70%
African					
American	197	40.20%	55.80%	192	45.30%
Hispanic/ Latino	1775	44.60%	67.10%	1541	49.20%
Native					
American	95	42.20%	62.10%	77	44.10%
Asian					
American	83	52.00%	72.30%	63	47.10%
Multi					
Racial	113	48.80%	69.90%	79	51.40%
Total	3099	47.10%	69.40%	2500	50.00%

#### **BONILLAS**

		Quarter 1	•		Quarter :
			%		
		<b>Average</b>	Achieving		Average
Ethnicity	N Tested	% Score	Mastery	N Tested	% Score
White	8	49.70%	50.00%	9	58.30%
African					
American	1	27.50%	0.00%	1	55.00%
Hispanic/					
Latino	56	37.40%	17.90%	54	51.00%
Asian					
American	1	45.00%	0.00%	1	55.00%
Multi					
Racial	1	42.50%	0.00%	1	42.50%
Total	67	38.90%	20.90%	66	52.00%

#### **CHOLLA**

		Quarter 1				Quarter
			%			
		Average	Achieving			Average
Ethnicity	N Tested	% Score	Mastery		N Tested	% Score
White	25	45.90%	72.00%		21	49.00%

African					
American	18	36.70%	50.00%	16	39.80%
Hispanic/					
Latino	309	40.40%	57.60%	249	44.20%
Native					
American	26	41.40%	53.80%	23	39.00%
Asian					
American	2	56.00%	100.00%	2	59.20%
Multi					
Racial	7	30.00%	28.60%	6	43.50%
Total	387	40.50%	57.60%	317	44.00%

#### **PVHS**

		Quarter 1			Quarter
			%		
		<b>Average</b>	Achieving		Average
Ethnicity	N Tested	% Score	Mastery	N Tested	% Score
White	61	46.30%	73.80%	56	53.40%
African					
American	32	39.40%	59.40%	31	46.20%
Hispanic/					
Latino	79	41.50%	67.10%	76	45.50%
Native					
American	5	35.20%	40.00%	3	53.10%
Multi					
Racial	9	37.10%	55.60%	10	44.40%
Total	186	42.30%	66.70%	176	48.20%

#### **PUEBLO**

		Quarter 1			Quarter
Ethnicity	N Tested	Average % Score	% Achieving Mastery	N Tested	Average % Score
White	18	47.10%	83.30%	13	47.30%
African American	7	46.00%	71.40%	5	49.00%
Hispanic/ Latino	312	46.00%	73.40%	266	49.10%
Native American	18	39.60%	61.10%	14	37.80%
Asian American	1	36.00%	100.00%	1	34.70%
Multi	2	21700/	44 <b>7</b> 00/	າ	2F 7U0/

Racial	J	J4./U/0	00.7070	۷	33.7070
Total	359	45.60%	73.30%	301	48.40%

**THS** 

2		Quarter	3	Mastery Change
%			%	
Achieving		Average	Achieving	
Mastery	N Tested	% Score	Mastery	
77.70%	3	44.70%	66.70%	-11.20%
67.20%	2	40.00%	100.00%	44.20%
68.80%	7	44.30%	71.40%	4.30%
(4.000)		22 222/	50.000/	
61.00%	2	38.00%	50.00%	-12.10%
// 700/	1	24.000/	0.0007	70.000/
66.70%	1	34.00%	0.00%	-72.30%
72.2007	1	20.000/	0.0007	60.004
72.20%	1	30.00%	0.00%	-69.90%
70.40%	16	41.50%	62.50%	-6.90%

2		Quarter :	3	
%			%	
Achieving		Average	Achieving	
Mastery	N Tested	% Score	Mastery	Mastery Change
55.60%	8	71.30%	100.00%	50.00%
100.00%	1	32.50%	0.00%	0.00%
57.40%	52	57.80%	75.00%	57.10%
100.00%	1	57.50%	100.00%	100.00%
0.00%	1	55.00%	100.00%	100.00%
57.60%	63	59.00%	77.80%	56.90%

2	Quarter 3			
%	%			
Achieving		Average	Achieving	
Mastery	N Tested	% Score	Mastery	
66.70%	0			

68.80%	0	 
57.40%	0	 
43.50%	0	 
100.00%	0	 
66.70%	0	 
58.00%	0	 

2		Quarter :	3
%		_	%
<b>Achieving</b>		Average	Achieving
Mastery	N Tested	% Score	Mastery
78.60%	2	37.00%	50.00%
77.40%	1	38.00%	100.00%
63.20%	1	40.00%	100.00%
100.00%	0		
60.00%	1	30.00%	0.00%
71.00%	5	36.40%	60.00%

2		Quarter 3		
% Achieving Mastery	N Tested	Average % Score	% Achieving Mastery	
84.60%	0			
80.00%	0			
68.80%	3	46.70%	66.70%	
50.00%	2	38.00%	50.00%	
0.00%	1	34.00%	0.00%	
EU UU0/	Λ			

-6.70% -11.10%

-23.80%

40.60%

32.90%

-55.60% -6.70%

-100.00%

JU.UU /0	U		
68.40%	6	41.70%	50.00%



# TUSD MAGNET SCHOOLS PD/LEADERSHIP HOURS

School/ Organization	Theme Hours (25)	Delivery Hours (30)	Leadership Meetings (#)
Bonillas Traditional Academic Elementary School	11	15	7
Booth-Fickett K-8 Math/Science Magnet School	25	30	7
Borton Magnet Elementary	25	30	7
Carrillo Museum Magnet Elementary		23	5
Cholla High Magnet School	12	22	0
Cragin Magnet Elementary		14	7
Davis Bilingual Magnet Elementary	25	30	8
Dodge Traditional Magnet Middle School	25	30	2
Drachman K-8	25	30	6
Holladay Elementary	5	22	5
Mansfeld STEM Magnet Middle School		9	3
Ochoa Community Magnet School	25	30	4
Palo Verde High Magnet School	0	30	0
Pueblo Magnet High School	15	7	2
Robison Magnet Elementary School		13	1
Roskruge Bilingual K-8 Magnet School	15	30	3
Safford K-8 Magnet School		23	1
Tucson High Magnet School		9	0
Tully STEM Magnet Elementary		24	16
Utterback Magnet Middle School	16	12	9
Total	159	227	99