| 1  | TUCSON UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT LEGAL DEPARTMENT                         |
|----|---|
| 2  | 1010 E. TENTH STREET<br>TUCSON, AZ 85719                                |
| 3  | (520) 225-6040<br>Robert S. Ross (State Bar No. 023430)                 |
| 4  | Robert.Ross@tusd1.org   |
| 5  | Samuel E. Brown (State Bar No. 027474) Samuel.Brown@tusdl.org           |
| 6  | P. Bruce Converse (#005868)   |
| 7  | bconverse@dickinsonwright.com<br>Timothy W. Overton (#025669)           |
| 8  | toverton@dickinsonwright.com DICKINSON WRIGHT PLLC                      |
| 9  | 1850 N. Central Avenue, Suite 1400<br>Phoenix, Arizona 85004-4568       |
| 10 | courtdocs@dickinsonwright.com<br>Phone: (602) 285-5000                  |
| 11 | Fax: (844) 670-6009   |
| 12 | Attorneys for Tucson Unified School District No. 1                      |
|    | IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT                                     |
| 13 | FOR THE DISTRICT OF ARIZONA   |
| 14 | Roy and Josie Fisher, et al., 4:74-cv-00090-DCB                         |
| 15 | Plaintiffs, (Lead Case)   |
| 16 |   |
| 17 | Tucson Unified School District No. 1, et al.,                           |
| 18 | District No. 1, et al.,  Defendants.                                    |
| 19 | M : M 1 OV 74 204 THO DOD   |
| 20 | Maria Mendoza, et al. CV 74-204 TUC DCB Plaintiffs, (Consolidated Case) |
| 21 | V.  |
| 22 | Tucson Unified School   |
| 23 | District No. 1, et al.,   |
| 24 | Defendants.   |
| 25 | NOTICE AND REQUEST FOR APPROVAL   |
|    |   |
| 26 | PORTABLE AT BLOOM ELEMENTARY SCHOOL                                     |

Telephone: (520) 225-6040

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

The Tucson Unified School District, No. 1 ("TUSD" or the "District"), hereby submits this Notice and Request for Approval to add one double-wide portable (two classrooms) to Bloom Elementary School due primarily to increased enrollment, and anticipated continued increased enrollment, in the Two-Way Dual Language program.

The District has engaged the parties and Special Master in communications about the addition of the portable, including a draft Desegregation Impact Analysis (DIA). The Special Master, Mendoza Plaintiffs, and the Department of Justice have indicated no objection to the portable addition. The Fisher Plaintiffs have indicated that they object, asserting that the portable addition "does not fit the pillars of the integration plan." The District disagrees: Bloom was an integrated school in SY2019-20 for the first time since the adoption of the USP and it is initiating an advanced learning Two-Way Dual Language program. Providing additional classroom space to accommodate more students in an integrated school, more students in ALE, and expanded TWDL programs fit squarely within the pillars of the USP. In fact, it is difficult to imagine any purpose for additional classroom space that would be more aligned with the pillars of the District's integration plan.

The DIA reveals that Bloom is now an integrated school, and the addition of the portable will allow more students an opportunity to attend an integrated school. Exhibit 1, Desegregation Impact Analysis, Bloom K-5 School. The portable addition will not significantly affect surrounding schools or negatively impact the District's ability to fulfill its obligations under the USP. The portable addition will, in fact, positively impact TUSD's ability to fulfill its USP obligations. As outlined in the DIA, the proposal has two key objectives: 1) address anticipated enrollment growth over the next few years to accommodate the growth of Bloom's Two-Way Dual Language (TWDL) program; and 2) increase opportunities for more students to attend an integrated school.

In response to funding issues raised by the Fisher Plaintiffs, the District confirms that it will utilize Plant Funds, not 910g funds, for the portable addition.

1

2 3

4 5

6 7

8

9

10 11

12

Telephone: (520) 225-6040 13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

26

27 28

### Conclusion

The Special Master, the Mendoza Plaintiffs, and the Department of Justice have indicated no objection to the District's proposal that will facilitate TWDL expansion and provide more students opportunities to attend an integrated school. The proposal will not create negative impacts for TUSD's USP obligations and will, in fact, positively impact TUSD's obligations to improve integration and expand TWDL opportunities. For these reasons, as described above and in the attached DIA, the District respectfully requests approval.

Respectfully submitted on September 15, 2020.

#### TUCSON UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT LEGAL DEPARTMENT

s/ Samuel E. Brown

Robert S. Ross Samuel E. Brown

Attorneys for Tucson Unified School District No. 1

### STEPTOE & JOHNSON LLP

P. Bruce Converse Timothy W. Overton Attorneys for Tucson Unified School District No. 1

# 

Telephone: (520) 225-6040 

## **Certificate of Service**

ORIGINAL of the foregoing filed via the CM/ECF Electronic Notification System and transmittal of a Notice of Electronic Filing provided to all parties that have filed a notice of appearance in the District Court Case.

s/ Samuel E. Brown