

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF ARIZONA**

Roy and Josie Fisher, et al.,
Plaintiffs
and
United States of America,
Plaintiff-Intervenor,
v.
Tucson Unified School District, et al.,
Defendants,
and
Sidney L. Sutton, et al.,
Defendants-Intervenors,

No. CV-74-00090-TUC-DCB
(Lead Case)

Maria Mendoza, et al.,
Plaintiffs,
and
United States of America,
Plaintiff-Intervenor,
v.
Tucson Unified School District, et al.
Defendants.

No. CV-74-0204-TUC-DCB
(Consolidated Case)

ORDER

Response to Objections to R&R (Motion): GRANTED.

1 On June 18, 2020, the District's Supplemental Petition for Unitary Status (Doc.
2 2406) was fully briefed. On June 24, 2020, the Special Master filed a Response explaining
3 that there are issues addressed by the Plaintiffs in their Objections, which he did not address
4 or did not address fully in his Report and Recommendation (R&R), including alternative
5 measures suggested by the Plaintiffs as being required under the Unitary Status Plan (USP).
6 He would also like an opportunity to correct misunderstandings or misstatements made by
7 the Plaintiffs as to certain recommendations he made in the R&R. The Court finds that this
8 further briefing, or Reply, from the Special Master would be helpful to the Court.

9 **Accordingly,**

10 **IT IS ORDERED** that the Response treated as a Motion for Leave to File a Reply
11 to Objections to the R&R Re: Supplemental Petition for Unitary Status (Doc. 2484) is
12 GRANTED to be filed by: July 6, 2020.

13 Dated this 25th day of June, 2020.

14
15
16
17 

18 Honorable David C. Bury
19 United States District Judge
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28