1	P. Bruce Converse (#005868)	
	bconverse@dickinsonwright.com	
2	Timothy W. Overton (#025669)	
3	toverton@dickinsonwright.com DICKINSON WRIGHT PLLC	
5	1850 N. Central Avenue, Suite 1400	
4	Phoenix, Arizona 85004-4568	
	courtdocs@dickinsonwright.com	
5	Phone: (602) 285-5000	
6	Fax: (844) 670-6009	
O	Robert S. Ross (#023430)	
7	Robert.Ross@tusd1.org	
	Samuel E. Brown (#027474)	
8	Samuel.Brown@tusd1.org	
9	TUCSON UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT LEGAL DEPARTMENT	
9	1010 East Tenth Street	
10	Tucson, Arizona 85719	
	Phone: (520) 225-6040	
11	Attorneys for defendant	
12	Tucson Unified School District No. 1	
12	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
13		
	FOR THE DISTRICT OF ARIZONA	
14	Day and Issis Eislan et al	1.74 av 0000 DCD
15	Roy and Josie Fisher, et al., Plaintiffs,	4:74-cv-0090-DCB (Lead Case)
	V.	(Lead Case)
16		
1.7	Tucson Unified School District No. 1, et al.,	
17	Defendants.	
18	Defendants.	
	Maria Mendoza, et al.,	4:74-cv-0204 TUC DCB
19	Plaintiffs,	(Consolidated Case)
20	v.	
20	Tucson Unified School District No. 1, et al.,	
21	ll deson Chined School District No. 1, et al.,	
	Defendants.	
22		1
23		
۷٥	NOTICE AND REPORT ON S	
24	RE GUIDE FOR 9	10G FUNDING

Œ RE GUIDE FOR 910G FUNDING (Order 2349)

25

26

By order dated September 10, 2019, the Court directed the District to "identify District programs that are cross-over services benefitting the Plaintiff classes as well as the general student population," and "propose the appropriate ratio" for funding those programs as between 910G funds and other District funds. [ECF 2272, p. 19.]

On September 30, 2019, the District filed its identification of District programs that are cross-over services, and proposed ratios for funding those programs between 910G funds and other District funds, subject to and without waiving its stated objections. [ECF 2297 and 2297-2.]

The Mendoza Plaintiffs objected (ECF 2331), and the Special Master filed an R&R regarding the issue in which he recommended that the Court "direct the Special Master and the budget expert to identify a set of principles based on the agreements that have been worked out by the parties to the extent possible. These principles should be submitted to the parties . . . so that they can be applied to the 2020-21 District budget as it relates to implementing the USP." [ECF 2337, p. 2.]

The Court adopted this recommendation and directed the District to file a Notice of Compliance regarding a guide for 910G funding for future budgets by December 1, 2019. [ECF 2349, p, 10-11.] The District had not received the guiding principles from the Special Master by December 1, 2019, and thus requested an extension of time to file its Notice of Compliance through December 20, 2019. [ECF 2385.] The Court granted the request. [ECF 2396.]

The guiding principles were circulated to the parties by the Special Master's budget expert on December 15, 2019. A copy of those guiding principles is attached hereto as Exhibit A. During the budget conference held in the afternoon on December 16, 2019, counsel for the Fisher and Mendoza plaintiffs declined to comment on or

endorse the guiding principles, asserting that they needed more time to review and consider them. By subsequent e-mail, counsel for the District requested any comments or concerns about the guiding principles by close of business on Thursday, December 19, to allow the District to report to the Court today, as ordered. The Mendoza Plaintiffs provided comments on Thursday evening. A copy of those comments is attached as Exhibit B. The District did not receive additional comments.

The District renews its objections to any process for determining the scope of 910G funding, to the extent that this approach imposes limits on funding other than as set forth in A.R.S. § 15-910G. [ECF 2297.] The District is not willing to agree to the Mendoza Plaintiffs' proposed additions to the guidelines. The District also asserts that "supplanting," as that term is used in a budgeting context, does not relate to, or depend on, which students receive the <u>benefit</u> of a program, but rather is based on whether one funding source is used to replace another funding source previously used for the same purpose and at the same level.

Nonetheless, the District is willing to work within the outlines of the Special Master's proposed guidelines for its budget for the next budget year (SY2020-21), subject to and without waiving its objections. As a practical matter, the District believes that funding for only a very few programs this next budget year (SY2020-21) is likely to be an issue under the guidelines, in the sense that the District's proposed funding would be affected by application of the guidelines. The District also believes that, as contemplated by the Special Master's proposed guidelines, those few instances can be resolved by specific negotiations based on the individual circumstances of the programs involved. The District thus suggests that the parties attempt to resolve issues related to items in the

proposed SY2020-21 budget once that specific budget is proposed, and avoid what may be a largely theoretical dispute leading only to an advisory decision. Dated this 20th day of December, 2019. Respectfully submitted, /s/ P. Bruce Converse P. Bruce Converse Timothy W. Overton **DICKINSON WRIGHT, PLLC** 1850 N. Central Avenue, Suite 1400 Phoenix, Arizona 85004-4568 Attorneys for Tucson Unified School District No. 1

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on the 20th day of December, 2019, I electronically transmitted the foregoing document to the Clerk's Office using the CM/ECF System for filing and transmittal of a Notice of Electronic filing to all CM/ECF registrants.

/s/ P. Bruce Converse