	Case 4:74-cv-00090-DCB D	Document 2381	Filed 11/25/19	Page 1 of 4		
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	P. Bruce Converse (#005868) Timothy W. Overton (#025669 DICKINSON WRIGHT PLI 1850 N. Central Avenue, Suite Phoenix, Arizona 85004-4568 <u>bconverse@dickinsonwright.con</u> <u>courtdocs@dickinsonwright.con</u> <u>courtdocs@dickinsonwright.con</u> <u>courtdocs@dickinsonwright.con</u> <u>courtdocs@dickinsonwright.con</u> <u>courtdocs@dickinsonwright.con</u> <u>courtdocs@dickinsonwright.con</u> <u>courtdocs@dickinsonwright.con</u> <u>courtdocs@dickinsonwright.con</u> <u>courtdocs@dickinsonwright.con</u> <u>courtdocs@dickinsonwright.con</u> <u>courtdocs@dickinsonwright.con</u> <u>courtdocs@dickinsonwright.con</u> <u>courtdocs@dickinsonwright.con</u> <u>courtdocs@dickinsonwright.con</u> <u>courtdocs@dickinsonwright.con</u> <u>courtdocs@dickinsonwright.con</u> <u>courtdocs@dickinsonwright.con</u> <u>courtdocs@dickinsonwright.con</u> <u>courtdocs@dickinsonwright.con</u> <u>courtdocs@dickinsonwright.con</u> <u>courtdocs@dickinsonwright.con</u> <u>courtdocs@dickinsonwright.con</u> <u>courtdocs@dickinsonwright.con</u> <u>courtdocs@dickinsonwright.con</u> <u>courtdocs@dickinsonwright.con</u> <u>courtdocs@dickinsonwright.con</u> <u>courtdocs@dickinsonwright.con</u> <u>courtdocs@dickinsonwright.con</u> <u>courtdocs@dickinsonwright.con</u> <u>courtdocs@dickinsonwright.con</u> <u>courtdocs@dickinsonwright.con</u> <u>courtdocs@dickinsonwright.con</u> <u>courtdocs@dickinsonwright.con</u> <u>courtdocs@dickinsonwright.con</u> <u>courtdocs@dickinsonwright.con</u> <u>courtdocs@dickinsonwright.con</u> <u>courtdocs@dickinsonwright.con</u> <u>courtdocs@dickinsonwright.con</u> <u>courtdocs@dickinsonwright.con</u> <u>courtdocs@dickinsonwright.con</u> <u>courtdocs@dickinsonwright.con</u> <u>courtdocs@dickinsonwright.con</u> <u>courtdocs@dickinsonwright.con</u> <u>courtdocs@dickinsonwright.con</u> <u>courtdocs@dickinsonwright.con</u> <u>courtdocs@dickinsonwright.con</u> <u>courtdocs@dickinsonwright.con</u> <u>courtdocs@dickinsonwright.con</u> <u>courtdocs@dickinsonwright.con</u> <u>courtdocs@dickinsonwright.con</u> <u>courtdocs@dickinsonwright.con</u> <u>courtdocs@dickinsonwright.con</u> <u>courtdocs@dickinsonwright.con</u> <u>courtdocs@dickinsonwright.con</u> <u>courtdocs@dickinsonwright.con</u> <u>courtdocs@dickinsonwright.con</u> <u>courtdocs@dickinsonwright.con</u> <u>courtdocs@dickinsonwright.con</u> <u>courtdocs@dickinsonw</u>	LC e 1400 com m om				
12	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT					
13	FOR	THE DISTRIC	T OF ARIZON	A		
14 15	Roy and Josie Fisher, et al.,	aintiffs,	4:74-cv-0090-D (Lead Cas			
16	V.	amurrs,	(Lead Cas			
17	Tucson Unified School Distric	ct No. 1, et al.,				
18		efendants.	4:74-cv-0204 T			
19	Maria Mendoza, et al., Pla v.	aintiffs,		ated Case)		
20	Tucson Unified School Distric	ct No. 1. et al				
21		efendants.				
22						
23	CLIDDI EMENITA I	NOTICE AND	ΔΕΡΟΩΤ ΟΕ	OMDI LANCE.		
24	SUPPLEMENTAL NOTICE AND REPORT OF COMPLIANCE: INTERNET ACCESS (2362)					
25						
26						

The Court directed the Special Master to file a notice and report of compliance for
the internet access issue. [ECF 2123, at 139, 151.] The District submitted a report to
enable him to file the appropriate notice; the District also filed this report with the Court.
[ECF 2263-1.]

The Court subsequently ordered the District to file a revised TCI with a category
for internet access. [ECF 2362.] Accordingly, the District has revised the TCI to add a
component for internet access. As with other components, schools are rated from 1 to 5
points. Under the District's scoring for this component, a school is scored by comparing
the average utilization as a percentage of capacity for each school against the table below.

Average Utilization as a	Score
Percent of Capacity	
0.00% - 50.00%	5.00
50.01% - 60.00%	4.00
60.01% – 70.00%	3.00
70.01% - 80.00%	2.00
80.01% – 90.00%	1.00
90.01% - 100.00%	0.00

April 5, 2019 was the representative day selected for the 2018-19 School year (based on
general assessment that the period of highest observed use is during AzMERIT testing).
The component has a weight of 10% of the overall TCI. All other aspects of the TCI
remain the same. A copy of the revised TCI for the 18-19 school year, based on the data
previously filed (2263-1), is attached as Exhibit A.

Respectfully, the District did not previously revise the TCI because it did not believe that there was any prior agreement with the parties to revise the TCI, or any order to revise the TCI, before the Court's order seven days ago (ECF 2362), if, as is the case, there is no disparity in internet access, and will not be any for the foreseeable future, given the current overdesign in capacity. The District believed that the obligation to revise the TCI arose only if the study or measurement of internet access disclosed a disparity that

25

10

11

12

13

14

26

1	needed correction. The District apologizes, as the District does intend to comply with the						
2	Court's orders, whether or not it agrees with them.						
3	The District respectfully submits that it has complied with the Court's orders						
4	regarding internet access, and has met the requirements of USP § IX.B, as shown by the						
5	record herein, including its annual reports and its prior assessment of compliance. ¹						
6	Accordingly, the District requests that the Court grant unitary status in this area of District						
7	operations (§ IX.B). ²						
8	Dated this 25 th day of November, 2019.						
9	Respectfully submitted,						
10							
11	<u>/s/ P. Bruce Converse</u> P. Bruce Converse						
	Timothy W. Overton						
12	DICKINSON WRIGHT, PLLC 1850 N. Central Avenue, Suite 1400						
13	Phoenix, Arizona 85004-4568						
14	Attorneys for Tucson Unified School District No. 1						
15							
16							
17							
18							
19							
20							
20	¹ Compliance with USP requirements for technology conditions is addressed in the record at the following specific locations incorporated herein by reference: ECF 2057-1,						
21	pp. 401-408 and appendices cited therein; ECF 2124-1, pp. 151-152 and appendices						
	cited therein; ECF 2075-9, pp. 10-22 and documents cited therein. ² The District submits this notice filing without waiver of its position that there is no						
23	basis in fact or law for continued federal court supervision of the District in this or any						
24	other area, given the findings of Judge Frey in 1978, subsequent rulings of this Court, and the record herein. The District recognizes that the Court has overruled these objections, but wishes to make clear that they are preserved for appeal.						
25							
26	3						

	Case 4:74-cv-00090-DCB Document 2381 Filed 11/25/19 Page 4 of 4						
1	CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE						
2	I hereby certify that on the 25 th day of November, 2019, I electronically transmitted						
3	the attached foregoing document to the Clerk's Office using the CM/ECF System for filing						
4	and transmittal of a Notice of Electronic filing to all CM/ECF registrants.						
5							
6	/s/ P. Bruce Converse						
7							
8							
9							
10							
11							
12							
13							
14							
15							
16							
17							
18							
19 20							
20 21							
21							
22							
23							
25							
26	4						
	T T						