1	P. Bruce Converse (#005868)	
2	bconverse@dickinsonwright.com Timothy W. Overton (#025669)	
	toverton@dickinsonwright.com	
3	DICKINSON WRIGHT PLLC 1850 N. Central Avenue, Suite 1400	
4	Phoenix, Arizona 85004-4568	
5	courtdocs@dickinsonwright.com Phone: (602) 285-5000	
6	Fax: (844) 670-6009	
	Robert S. Ross (#023430)	
7	Robert.Ross@tusd1.org Samuel E. Brown (#027474)	
8	Samuel.Brown@tusd1.org	
9	TUCSON UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT LEGAL DEPARTMENT	
1.0	1010 East Tenth Street	
10	Tucson, Arizona 85719 Phone: (520) 225-6040	
11	Attorneys for defendant	
12	Tucson Unified School District No. 1	
13	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
	FOR THE DISTRICT OF ARIZONA	
14	Roy and Josie Fisher, et al.,	4:74-cv-0090-DCB
15	Plaintiffs,	(Lead Case)
16	V.	
17	Tucson Unified School District No. 1, et al.,	
	Defendants.	
18	Maria Mendoza, et al.,	4:74-cv-0204 TUC DCB
19	Plaintiffs,	(Consolidated Case)
20	V.	
	Tucson Unified School District No. 1, et al.,	
21	Defendants.	
22		
23	SECOND SUPPLEMENTAL NOTICE AND REPORT OF COMPLIANCE:	
24	INCLUSIVE SCHOOL ENVIRONMENTS AND CULTURES OF CIVILITY	
	(Orders 2123, 22	217, and 2273)
25		
26		

A. Studies.

The Special Master recommended (ECF 2096 at 55) and the Court ordered (ECF 2123 at 123-124) the District to assess the levels of inclusiveness and civility experienced by students at the District. The District did so, collaborating with the Special Master on the design of the study, and reported the results to the Court and parties in its initial Notice and Report of Compliance on December 6, 2018. [ECF 2156-1.] The study reported high levels of inclusivity among students, and no appreciable differences in those levels by race or ethnic group. Based on the study, the Special Master concluded that "inclusiveness and bullying are not serious problems in TUSD." [ECF 2195, p.3.]. As noted by the Court, no objection was raised regarding the study or its conclusions. [ECF 2217 at 14.]

The District believed that the high levels of inclusivity and civility reported, and the fact that the results did not differ materially by race or ethnicity, were the best evidence of the effectiveness of the strategies employed by the District to foster inclusiveness and civility, and obviated the current need for a study of the effectiveness of those strategies. [ECF 2156.] The Court disagreed, and ordered the District to undertake a study of the effectiveness of the current strategies, and determine if there were other strategies that should be employed. [ECF 2217 at 14.]

Accordingly, the District conducted a study of the effectiveness of the current strategies, and identified other strategies that could be employed if the high levels of inclusiveness experienced by the District began to drop. This second study was again designed in collaboration with the Special Master. In particular, District staff met with the Special Master to discuss the approach to the study when he visited the District in May, 2019. The District then subsequently provided a draft of the completed study for

his review in late May and June, 2019. The Special Master provided helpful comments and suggestions, which the District incorporated into the final version of the study. The 2 study was filed on July 1, 2019, as an exhibit to the District's supplemental notice of 3 compliance. [ECF 2232 and 2232-1.] 4 The study concluded that the strategies currently utilized by the District are 5 generally recognized as effective and best practices, used in one form or another by other 6 districts which have also reported positive results from use of these strategies. The study 7 also identified additional practices that could be employed. The District believed that this 8 second study came as close to meeting the purpose of the Court's order as possible, and, 9 from the Special Master's comments during the collaboration, the District believed that 10 he was satisfied with this second study. Indeed, in his subsequent Report and 11 Recommendation (ECF 2254), Special Master noted that 12 13

"It would be extraordinarily difficult to conduct the study mandated by the Court for the following reasons:

- 1. In most schools in the District, three or four of the strategies are being implemented simultaneously. It is, therefore, difficult to determine the relative weight of each of the practices.
- 2. The practices each school uses are determined by the problems that need to be addressed.
- 3. Individual teachers will implement these practices in different ways so in order to understand their effects it would be necessary to record variations in teacher behaviors.

It would, however, be possible – though not easy – to study the effects of pilot interventions such as the District's experiment with restorative processes as instruction.

[ECF 2254, pp.2-3.]

The Court subsequently ordered the District to conduct a "study of the effects of the pilot intervention program using restorative processes as instruction and identify

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

1 2

positive and negative outliers among schools to determine whether there are common practices being implemented in either regard." [ECF 2273, p. 18.]

The District has once again collaborated with the Special Master on the design and implementation of this third study. The Special Master has reviewed the results of the study, offered suggestions which were adopted by the District, and has approved of the study. A copy of the study is attached as Exhibit A. The District believes that this combined effort, through three studies, in an area in which all parties concede that original study shows that the District does not have a material problem, more than meets the purpose of the order and the requirements of the law.

B. <u>Identification of Strategies to Be Used in the Future.</u>

The Court also directed the District to "collaborate with the Special Master to identify strategies to be used in the future at schools that need improvement." The second study by District (ECF 2232-1), designed and conducted in collaboration with the Special Master, addressed the issue of identification of strategies to be used in the future in Part B. As noted above, District staff met with the Special Master to discuss the approach to this study when he visited the District during the week of May 6, 2019. The District then subsequently provided a draft of the completed study for his review. The Special Master provided helpful comments and suggestions on the draft of the study, which the District incorporated into the final version of the study. The study identified a broad type of strategies that could be employed in the future in the event that schools need improvement: the use of an actual student curriculum in social emotional learning. There are many options of such a curriculum within this broad discipline from which to select should the need arise. For the convenience of the Court, a copy of that study is attached as Exhibit B.

C. <u>Professional Learning Plan.</u>

Although the Court's initial order reviewing the notice of compliance in April, 2019 (ECF 2217) did not mention the professional learning plan for inclusiveness and cultures of civility filed with the District's initial Notice of Compliance last December (ECF 2156-2), the Court's most recent order directed the District to "collaborate with the Special Master to develop a professional learning plan for preparing District staff to implement the District's program to create and maintain school environments of inclusiveness and civility." [ECF 2273, p. 18.]

The District has undertaken this collaboration with the Special Master. Although the Court directed the District to prepare both an inclusivity/civility professional learning plan, and a discipline professional learning plan, in reality the discipline plan completely overlaps the inclusivity/civility plan: all of the training to create and maintain inclusive school environments is included within, and a subset of, the training the District provides to reduce the incidence of discipline by preventive means.¹

Thus, although the District prepared and filed a separate Professional Learning Plan for Inclusivity and Cultures of Civility on December 6, 2018, as ordered, it continued to work on the inclusivity professional plan as it worked on the broader overall discipline professional learning plan through the spring and summer of 2019. The District presented its ideas to the Special Master in a meeting in early May, 2019, at which the Special Master provided suggestions and shared perspectives. The District took these comments and suggestions back and worked further on the combined plan, and ultimately

¹ Discipline training involves other elements in addition to those related to inclusiveness and civility: the Code of Conduct, discipline procedure and other training on dealing with incidents once they have happened. But the strategies for reduction of discipline through prevention of incidents include the all of strategies used to create and maintain inclusive school environments and civility.

provided a draft of a combined plan to the Special Master. The Special Master reviewed the draft combined plan, and once again provided helpful suggestions and comments. The District incorporated those suggestions in the final Combined Discipline/Inclusivity Professional Learning plan, which was filed as part of the Notice of Completion regarding discipline, on August 30, 2019 (ECF2266-2). A copy of the final Combined Discipline/Inclusivity Professional Learning plan is also attached hereto as Exhibit C.

The plan includes a narrative explanation of the theory and approach to professional learning in these areas, chart showing discipline/inclusiveness professional learning conducted in SY2018-19, as well as a chart showing discipline/inclusiveness professional learning planned for SY2019-20.

D. <u>Youth Uprising</u>.

The District wishes to assure the Court and the Special Master that it will not use or engage "Youth UpRising." The District is not even sure where the Special Master and the Court got the idea that the District had used, worked with or even considered Youth UpRising. Based on a review of its website, Youth UpRising appears to be a youth club that serves as a "neighborhood hub program" in East Oakland, California, whose mission is to "to transform East Oakland into a healthy and economically robust community," providing a range of services to that community. It appears to be limited to Alameda County, California.

Conclusion

For the foregoing reasons, the District respectfully submits that it has complied with the Court's orders, and requests that the Court grant partial unitary status in this area of District operations (USP § V.F).²

² The District submits this notice and report without waiver of its objections that there is no basis in fact or law for continued federal court supervision of the District in this or any

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE I hereby certify that on the 10th day of October, 2019, I electronically transmitted the attached foregoing document to the Clerk's Office using the CM/ECF System for filing and transmittal of a Notice of Electronic filing to all CM/ECF registrants. /s/ P. Bruce Converse