1 2 3 4 5	P. Bruce Converse (#005868) Timothy W. Overton (#025669) DICKINSON WRIGHT PLLC 1850 N. Central Avenue, Suite 1400 Phoenix, Arizona 85004-4568 bconverse@dickinsonwright.com toverton@dickinsonwright.com courtdocs@dickinsonwright.com Phone: (602) 285-5000 Fax: (844) 670-6009	
6	Robert S. Ross (#023430) Samuel E. Brown (#027474)	
7 8	TUCSON UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT LEGAL DEPARTMENT	
9	1010 East Tenth Street Tucson, Arizona 85719 Robert.Ross@tusd1.org	
10	Samuel.Brown@tusd1.org Phone: (520) 225-6040	
11	Attorneys for defendant Tucson Unified School District No. 1	
12	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
13	FOR THE DISTRICT OF ARIZONA	
14	Roy and Josie Fisher, et al., Plaintiffs,	4:74-cv-0090-DCB (Lead Case)
15	V.	(Lead Case)
16	Tucson Unified School District No. 1, et al.,	
17	Defendants.	
18	Maria Mendoza, et al., Plaintiffs,	4:74-cv-0204 TUC DCB (Consolidated Case)
19	v.	(
20	Tucson Unified School District No. 1, et al.,	
21	Defendants.	
22		
23	DISTRICT R TO MENDOZA PLAINTII	
24	TO NOTICE OF FILING E	LL ACTION PLAN (2261)
25		

The Court directed the District to prepare and file an ELL Action Plan for dropout prevention. [ECF 2123 at 140, 151.] The District filed its ELL Action Plan for dropout prevention on December 6, 2018. [ECF 2153-1.] In a subsequent order, the Court directed the District to revise the plan to include family engagement strategies and to identify the roles and responsibilities of the departments involved in the plan. [ECF 2213, at 11-12.] The District filed its revised plan on August 30, 2019. [ECF 2261-1.] The Court also directed the District to reconsider whether its ELL dropout and graduation rate goals were sufficiently ambitious, as part of its annual process of monitoring and review. The District did so, and revised its ELL graduation rate goals but determined to leave the dropout rate goals the same. The District reported on this process, as required by the Court, both in its Annual Report (ECF 2302-7, pp. 18-19 and in a Supplemental Notice of Compliance, filed October 1, 2019 (ECF 2310).

Despite this plain compliance, Mendoza Plaintiffs object. The Mendoza plaintiffs do not object to any issue raised by the Court in its April, 2019, order, but now raise new objections.

A. The District has Reviewed, and Revised, ELL Goals

Mendoza Plaintiffs claim the ELL plan sets out graduation rate goals and dropout prevention goals only through the 2017-18 school year. This is simply inaccurate. Page two of the plan (Doc. 2261-1 at 3) stated the **past**, **actual** four year graduation rates (not a goal) through the 2017-18 school year. The goal was stated for **future** years:

Graduation Rate Goal: graduate at least 50% of each ELL cohort.

[R-ELL] Graduation Rate Goal: graduate at least 75% of each ELL cohort.

Dropout Rate Goal: equal or to or lower than each group's non-ELL rate.

These were the goals that the Court directed the District to reconsider as to whether they were sufficiently ambitious.

The District's DPG Committee, as part of its annual monitoring and review process, and using state graduation and dropout data for ELL students that became available in August 2019, reviewed those goals in September, 2019, and has adjusted them. The District reported on the adjusted goals in its Annual Report (ECF 2302-7 at 18-19) and in the supplemental notice of compliance required by the Court (ECF 2310). Specifically, having met the goals for two years, the DPG Committee increased the SY2019-20 ELL graduation goal from 50 percent to 60 percent and increased the R-ELL graduation goal from 75 percent to 85 percent. The 2018-19 AA ELL dropout rate was 0.0% and the Hispanic ELL dropout rate was 0.1%. This was far better than state averages, and far lower than the corresponding non-ELL dropout rate. The DPG Committee determined that an ELL dropout goal that was equal to or better than the non-ELL dropout goal was sufficiently ambitious, considering that the goal was met (and equaled or was lower than the state dropout rate of 1.8 percent) in two of the past four years for African American ELLs and for three of the past four years for Hispanic ELLs.

B. The Status of Efforts to Strengthen Sheltered Content Classes

1. The Process to Monitor Successes and Failures of the Program

The District has and will continue to implement processes for monitoring, observing, and adjusting sheltered content classes. The process includes the use of the Sheltered Instruction Observation Protocol (SIOP) in conjunction with the Danielson teacher evaluation model, and training for the SIOP process. The SIOP component of the process is already described in the plan:

Administrators at select sites will receive training on strategies to look for while observing sheltered content classes (using the SIOP Protocol, and making connections with the Danielson Model) so principals know specifics to look for when observing these classes (crosswalk of Danielson and SIOP). (Doc. 2261-1 at 6).

At the time the plan was written, the District planned to target select sites. Now, the District is targeting *all* sites that implement sheltered content classes, rather than "select sites" – going further than the plans developed last year. The Language Acquisition staff has completed its surveys to identify all existing sheltered content classes and completed interviews with sheltered content math teachers to examine the best ways to evaluate student enrollment and progress within sheltered classes. Based on the surveys and teacher input on best practices, staff began developing formal processes to identify whether or not ELL students were demonstrating mastery of certain concepts taught within the sheltered content class. In developing the processes, Language Acquisition staff proposed and is now implementing three strategies to be used to better evaluate program performance in SY2019-20:

- Create a Specific "Sheltered Designation" in Synergy. In the past, school counselors scheduled ELL students into sheltered content classes but not all ELL students were being systemically placed in sheltered classes. Language Acquisition staff worked with the Technology Services department to create a unique "sheltered designation" to improve monitoring of student placement and track sheltered class enrollment.
 - Monitor Student Success in Mastering Content. It is difficult to monitor ELL student success in these classes because students come with varied levels of English language and content proficiency. In addition, students may become

reclassified as English proficient while still enrolled in a sheltered content class. Sheltered content teachers use SIOP strategies, including alternative assessments such as student oral assessments, student demonstrations of content mastery, oral presentations, graphic organizers, and other alternative assessment types.

• Monitor Grades. In the past, Language Acquisition monitored whether students were passing or failing. Now, staff monitors grades of individual semester grades of sheltered content students to ensure student progress: if groups of students have lower grades (Ds or low Cs) it may be an indication that students are not mastering the content, and Language Acquisition contacts the school, investigates, and provides additional support if needed.

2. <u>Expanding Sheltered Content Classes</u>

The District had been offering sheltered content math classes and, in SY2018-19, offered a few social studies and science sheltered content sections (seven in total). In SY2019-20, the District has expanded social studies and science to eleven sheltered sections.

Conclusion

The District respectfully submits that it has complied with the Court's orders, and has met the USP requirements for dropout prevention and ELL students as shown by the record herein, including its annual reports and its prior assessment of compliance.¹

¹ Compliance with USP requirements for ELL students and dropout prevention is addressed in the record at the following specific locations incorporated herein by reference: ECF 2057-1, pp. 242-262 and appendices cited therein; ECF 2124-1, pp. 79-82 and appendices cited therein; ECF 2075-5, pp. 39-72, 290-311 and documents cited therein.

Accordingly, the District requests that the Court grant unitary status in this area of District operations (USP § V.E.1.b.i).² 2 Dated this 7th day of October, 2019. 3 4 Respectfully submitted, 5 /s/P. Bruce Converse P. Bruce Converse 6 Timothy W. Overton 7 **DICKINSON WRIGHT, PLLC** 1850 N. Central Avenue, Suite 1400 8 Phoenix, Arizona 85004-4568 Attorneys for Tucson Unified School 9 District No. 1 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 ² The District submits this notice filing without waiver of its position that there is no basis in fact or law for continued federal court supervision of the District in this or any 23 other area, including the requirement of preparing the attached plan, given the findings of Judge Frey in 1978, subsequent rulings of this Court, and the record herein. The 24 District recognizes that the Court has overruled these objections, but wishes to make

clear that they are preserved for appeal.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE I hereby certify that on the 7th day of October, 2019, I electronically transmitted the foregoing document to the Clerk's Office using the CM/ECF System for filing and transmittal of a Notice of Electronic filing to all CM/ECF registrants. /s/ P. Bruce Converse