Ochoa Elementary School (Transition School)

Goal for Non-Academically Proficient Students:

*the District will revise goals for SY 2017-18 in the summer of 2017 once 2016-17 achievement data is available

All students will improve academic performance in MATH and ELA.

- 1. By May 2018, Ochoa students will increase proficiency on ELA AZMERIT by 15 percentage points, from 15% in 2015-2016 School Year to 30% in 2017-2018 School Years.
- 2. By May 2018 Ochoa students will increase proficiency on MATH AZMERIT by 14 percentage points, from 14% in 2015-2016 School Year to 28% in 2017-2018 School Year.

910(G) or non-910(G) FTE to support Achievement Goals and Site Specific Goals. The District will assign other support staff to this site (e.g. Teacher Mentors, Technology Liaisons, Master Teachers, Lead Teachers, School Community Liaison Lead, MTSS Lead, etc.):

- 0.2 FTE Transition Coordinator Lead (stipend or 6/5) (910G, 202106)
- 1.0 FTE Instructional Data and Intervention Coordinator (Data Coach) Specialist (910G, 202106)
- 1.0 FTE Reading Interventionist Specialist (910G, 202106)
- 1.0 FTE Math <u>Interventionist Specialist</u>(910G, 202106)
- <u>2.0 FTE</u> Instructional Specialist (910G, 106)
- 0.5 FTE Guidance Counselor (M&O)
- 0.75 FTE Teaching Assistant (Title I)
- 1.0 FTE School Community Liaison (Title I)

Ochoa Elementary School Transition Plan 2017-18 School Year

PURPOSE: To serve as road map for the transition following the removal of magnet status. Schools will identify goals, objectives, and strategies to support student achievement and to promote system effectiveness. The plan will ensure the focus of all stakeholders toward an aligned understanding of the implementation and progress of the transition. This plan addresses: academic achievement, family engagement, staffing, and other related issues.

This plan includes:

Section 1: School Data

Section 2: School Goals and Measureable Objectives

Section 3: Action Plan

Section 4: Immediate Actions

SECTION 1: SCHOOL DATA

School Summary:

Ochoa Community Magnet School is a Pre-Kindergarten through 5th grade school serving 222 students, whose staff and community has been inspired by the Reggio Philosophy. The school is located in South Tucson. The student population consists predominantly of Latino children with 194 or 87%, 17 Native American children equaling 8% as well as five (5) African American children at 2%. Ochoa also has three (3) White children at 1% and three (3) Multi-Racial children at 1% of the student population. Of the kindergarten through fifth grade children, 95% or 193 children qualify for free and reduced lunch, 31 children or 15% qualify for McKinney Vento services, 24 children or 12% receive Special Education services with another 10 or 5% awaiting evaluation for services. In addition, 45 children or 22% qualify for the English Language Development Program and another 21 children or 10% have reclassified from said program.

The Reggio Environment has been implemented successfully with welcoming learning spaces and engaging studio areas. However the Reggio Philosophy for learning has not integrated fully into the Tier I classroom instruction therefore has not impacted the achievement scores of the students.

Ochoa's recent test scores have shown that all subgroups score below district and state expectations. Ochoa needs to continue to develop strong Tier I strategies to support student achievement.

Teachers have begun to work in PLCs which allows for uniform lesson planning and focused instruction covering the grade level standards. In PLCs, teachers still need to analyze student data, create common formative assessments and design classroom instruction and needed interventions based on the data.

Inquiry Process

Task 1: Review Current Performance

- 1. In which grade level-content areas did the school score below district average?
 In all grades and in both ELA and MATH, Ochoa students scored below District and State averages.
- 2. What differences do you see in subgroup performance? Include grade level and content area.

K-3 DIBELS EOY 2015-2016SY

Grade	Intensive	Strategic	Core
K	5% (2 students)	15% (6students)	80% (33 students)
1 st	54% (15 students)	14% (4 students)	32% (9 students)
2 nd	66% (25 students)	16% (6 students)	18% (7 students)
3rd	42% (15 student)	14% (5 students)	44% (16 students)

K-3 DIBELS EOY 2015-2016 SY

- 33 **Kindergarten** students with 80% at CORE
- 6 **Kindergarten** students with 15% at STRATEGIC
- 2 **Kindergarten** students with 5% at INTENSIVE
- 28 1st Grade students with 32% at CORE
- 4 1st Grade students with 14% at STRATEGIC
- 15 1st Grade students with or 54% at INTENSIVE
- 7 2nd Grade students with 18% at CORE
- 6 2nd Grade students with 16% at STRATEGIC
- 25 2nd Grade students with 66% at INTENSIVE
- 16 3rd Grade students with 44% at CORE
- 5 3rd Grade students with 14% at STRATEGIC
- 15 3rd Grade students with 42% at INTENSIVE

Compared to this year's 2016-17 beginning DIBELS

Grade	Intensive	Strategic	Core
K	46% (12 students)	15% (5 students)	38% (10 students)
1 st	29% (9 students)	3% (1 students)	68% (21 students)
2 nd	44% (12 students)	4% (1 students)	52% (14 students)
3 rd	64% (18 student)	7% (2 students)	29% (8 students)

- 10 **Kindergarten** students with 38% at CORE
- 5 **Kindergarten** students with 15% at STRATEGIC
- 12 **Kindergarten** students with 46% at INTENSIVE
- 21 1st Grade students with 68% at CORE
- 1 **1**st **Grade** students with 3% at STRATEGIC
- 9 1st Grade students with or 29% at INTENSIVE
- 14 2nd Grade students with 52% at CORE
- 1 2nd Grade students with 4% at STRATEGIC
- 12 **2**nd **Grade** students with 44% at INTENSIVE
- 8 3rd Grade students with 29% at CORE
- 2 **3**rd **Grade** students with 7% at STRATEGIC
- 18 3rd Grade students with 64% at INTENSIVE

AzMERIT 20	AzMERIT 2015-2016 2 year data/ethnicity breakdown (3rd Grade) ELA					
	Total # of	Total # of	Percent	Total # of	Total # of	Percent
	students	students	Mastery	students	students by	Mastery
	2015-2016	who		2014 – 2015	Ethnicity whom	
	35	mastered		36	mastered	
					2014-2015	
White	1	1	100%	1	0	0%
African	1	0	0%	1	0	0%
American						
Hispanic	24	5	21%	28	4	14%
Native	7	3	43%	5	2	40%
American						
Asian	0			0		
Multi-	2	0	0%	1	0	0%
Racial						

- 1 White student scored Proficient or Highly Proficient.
- 0 African American students scored Proficient or Highly Proficient.
- 5 Hispanic students scored Proficient or Highly Proficient.
- 3 Native American students scored Proficient or Highly Proficient.
- 0 African American students scored Proficient or Highly Proficient.
- 4 Hispanic students scored Proficient or Highly Proficient.
- 2 Native American students scored Proficient or Highly Proficient.

AzMERIT 2 y	AzMERIT 2 year data/ethnicity breakdown (4th Grade) ELA						
	Total # of	Total # of	Percent	Total # of	Total # of	Percent Mastery	
	students	students	Mastery	students	students		
	2015-2016	who		2014 – 2015	2014-2015		
	35	mastered		36			
White	0			0			
African	2	0	0%	0			
American							
Hispanic	24	3	13%	25	8	14%	
Native	7	2	29%	3	0	40%	
American							
Asian	0			0			
Multi-	2	1	50%	1	0	0%	
Racial							

On the 4th Grade AzMERIT 2016 ELA

- 0 White student scored Proficient or Highly Proficient.
- 0 African American students scored Proficient or Highly Proficient.
- 3 Hispanic students scored Proficient or Highly Proficient.
- 2 Native American students scored Proficient or Highly Proficient.
- 1 Multi-Racial student scored Proficient or Highly Proficient.

On the 4th Grade AzMERIT 2015 ELA:

- 0 White student scored Proficient or Highly Proficient.
- 0 African American students scored Proficient or Highly Proficient.
- 8 Hispanic students scored Proficient or Highly Proficient.
- 0 Native American students scored Proficient or Highly Proficient.
- 0 Multi-Racial students scored Proficient or Highly Proficient.

AzMERIT 2 y	AzMERIT 2 year data/ethnicity breakdown (5th Grade) ELA						
	Total # of	Total # of	Percent	Total # of	Total # of	Percent Mastery	
	students	students	Mastery	students	students		
	2015-2016	who		2014 – 2015	2014-2015		
	27	mastered		36			
White	0			0			
African	2	0	0%	0			
American							
Hispanic	20	0	0%	31	1	3%	
Native	4	0	0%	5	0	0%	
American							
Asian	0			1	0	0%	
Multi-	1	0	0%	0	0	0%	
Racial							

- 0 African American students scored Proficient or Highly Proficient.
- 0 Hispanic students scored Proficient or Highly Proficient.
- 0 Native American students scored Proficient or Highly Proficient.
- 0 Multi-Racial students scored Proficient or Highly Proficient.
- 0 African American students scored Proficient or Highly Proficient.
- 1 Hispanic student scored Proficient or Highly Proficient.
- 0 Native American students scored Proficient or Highly Proficient.
- 0 Multi- Racial students scored Proficient or Highly Proficient.

AzMERIT 2-y	AzMERIT 2-year data/ethnicity breakdown (3rd Grade) MATH					
	Total # of	Total # of	Percent	Total # of	Total # of	Percent Mastery
	students	students	Mastery	students	students who	
	2015-2016	who		2014 – 2015	mastered	
	35	mastered		36	2014-2015	
White	1	0	0%	1	1	100%
African	1	0	0%	1	0	0%
American						
Hispanic	24		29%	28	4	14%
Native	7	3	43%	5	2	40%
American						
Asian	0			0		
Multi-	2	0	0%	1	0	0%
Racial						

- 0 African American students scored Proficient or Highly Proficient.
- Hispanic students scored Proficient or Highly Proficient.
- 3 Native American students scored Proficient or Highly Proficient.
- 0 Multi-Racial students scored Proficient or Highly Proficient.
- 1White student scored Proficient or Highly Proficient.
- 0 African American students scored Proficient or Highly Proficient.
- 4 Hispanic students scored Proficient or Highly Proficient.
- 2 Native American students scored Proficient or Highly Proficient.
- 0 Multi-Racial students scored Proficient or Highly Proficient.

AzMERIT 2-y	AzMERIT 2-year data/ethnicity breakdown (4th Grade) MATH						
	Total # of	Total # of	Percent	Total # of	Total # of	Percent Mastery	
	students	students	Mastery	students	students		
	2015-2016	who		2014 – 2015	2014-2015		
	35	mastered		36			
White	0			0			
African	2	0	0%	0			
American							
Hispanic	24	2	8%	25	1	4%	
Native	7	1	14%	3	0	0%	
American							
Asian	0			0			
Multi-	2	0	0%	1	0	0%	
Racial							

- 0 African American students scored Proficient or Highly Proficient.
- 2 Hispanic students scored Proficient or Highly Proficient.
- 1 Native American student scored Proficient or Highly Proficient.
- 0 Multi-Racial students scored Proficient or Highly Proficient.

On the 4th Grade AzMERIT 2015 Math:

- 0 White student scored Proficient or Highly Proficient.
- 0 African American students scored Proficient or Highly Proficient.
- 1 Hispanic student scored Proficient or Highly Proficient.
- 0 Native American students scored Proficient or Highly Proficient.
- 0 Multi-Racial students scored Proficient or Highly Proficient.

AzMERIT 2-year data/ethnicity breakdown (5th Grade) MATH						
	Total # of	Total # of	Percent	Total # of	Total # of	Percent Mastery
	students	students	Mastery	students	students	
	2015-2016	who		2014 – 2015	2014-2015	
	27	mastered		38		
White	0			0		
African	2	0	0%	0		
American						
Hispanic	20	0	0%	32	2	6%
Native	4	0	0%	5	1	20%
American						
Asian	0			1	0	0%
Multi-	1	0	0%	0		
Racial						

- 0 African American students scored Proficient or Highly Proficient.
- 0 Hispanic students scored Proficient or Highly Proficient.
- 0 Native American students scored Proficient or Highly Proficient.
- 0 Multi-Racial students scored Proficient or Highly Proficient.
- 0 African American students scored Proficient or Highly Proficient.
- 2 Hispanic students scored Proficient or Highly Proficient.
- 1 Native American student scored Proficient or Highly Proficient.
- 0 Multi-Racial students scored Proficient or Highly Proficient.

Benchmark Data:

School City year data/ethnicity breakdown (2nd Grade) MATH

	Total # of	Total # of	Percent	Total # of	Total # of	Percent Mastery
	students	students	Mastery	students	students	
	2016-2017	who		2015 – 2016	2015-2016	
	#30	mastered		# 36		
White	0			0		
AfAm	0			1	0	0%
Hispanic	27	5	18%	31	3	10%
NatAm	1	0	0%	4	0	0
Asian	0			0		
Multi-R	2	0	0%	0		

On the 2nd Grade School City Fall Math Benchmark 2016:

- 0 White student scored Proficient or Highly Proficient.
- 0 African American students scored Proficient or Highly Proficient.
- 5 Hispanic students scored Proficient or Highly Proficient.
- 0 Native American students scored Proficient or Highly Proficient.
- 0 Multi-Racial students scored Proficient or Highly Proficient.

On the 2nd Grade School City Spring Math Benchmark 2016:

- 0 White student scored Proficient or Highly Proficient.
- 0 African American students scored Proficient or Highly Proficient.
- 3 Hispanic students scored Proficient or Highly Proficient.
- 0 Native American students scored Proficient or Highly Proficient.
- 0 Multi-Racial students scored Proficient or Highly Proficient.

School City y	School City year data/ethnicity breakdown (3rd Grade) MATH						
	Total # of	Total # of	Percent	Total # of	Total # of	Percent Mastery	
	students	students	Mastery	students	students		
	2016-2017	who		2015 – 2016	2015-2016		
	# 30	mastered		# 33			
White	0			1	1	100%	
AfAm	2	0	0%	0	0		
Hispanic	26	4	16%	23	8	35%	
NatAm	2	1	50%	7	5	71%	
Asian	0			0			
Multi-R	0			2	0	0%	

On the 3rd Grade School City Fall Math Benchmark 2016:

- 0 White student scored Proficient or Highly Proficient.
- 0 African American students scored Proficient or Highly Proficient.
- 4 Hispanic students scored Proficient or Highly Proficient.
- 1 Native American student scored Proficient or Highly Proficient.
- 0 Multi-Racial students scored Proficient or Highly Proficient.

On the 3rd Grade School City Spring Math Benchmark 2015:

- 1 White student scored Proficient or Highly Proficient.
- 0 African American students scored Proficient or Highly Proficient.
- 8 Hispanic students scored Proficient or Highly Proficient.
- 5 Native American students scored Proficient or Highly Proficient.
- 0 Multi-Racial students scored Proficient or Highly Proficient.

School City y	School City year data/ethnicity breakdown (4th Grade) MATH						
	Total # of	Total # of	Percent	Total # of	Total # of	Percent Mastery	
	students	students	Mastery	students	students		
	2016-2017	who		2015 – 2016	2015-2016		
	# 32	mastered		# 35			
White	1	0	0%	0			
AfAm	1	0	0%	2	0	0%	
Hispanic	25	4	16%	24	2	8%	
NatAm	5	0	0%	7	2	29%	
Asian	0						
Multi-R	0			3	0	0%	

On the 4th Grade School City Fall Math Benchmark 2016:

- 0 White students scored Proficient or Highly Proficient.
- 0 African American students scored Proficient or Highly Proficient.
- 4 Hispanic students scored Proficient or Highly Proficient.
- 0 Native American students scored Proficient or Highly Proficient.
- 0 Multi-Racial students scored Proficient or Highly Proficient.

On the 4th Grade School City Spring Math Benchmark 2015:

- 0 White student scored Proficient or Highly Proficient.
- 0 African American students scored Proficient or Highly Proficient.
- 2 Hispanic students scored Proficient or Highly Proficient.
- 2 Native American students scored Proficient or Highly Proficient.
- 0 Multi-Racial students scored Proficient or Highly Proficient.

School City y	School City year data/ethnicity breakdown (5th Grade) MATH						
	Total # of	Total # of	Percent	Total # of	Total # of	Percent Mastery	
	students	students	Mastery	students	students		
	2016-2017	who		2015 – 2016	2015-2016		
	# 33	mastered		# 25			
White	0			0			
AfAm	1	0	0%	1	0	0%	
Hispanic	28	3	11%	20	0	0%	
NatAm	4	1	25%	3	0	0%	
Asian	0			0			
Multi-R	0			1	0	0%	

On the 5th Grade School City Fall Math Benchmark 2016:

- 0 White student scored Proficient or Highly Proficient.
- 0 African American students scored Proficient or Highly Proficient.
- 3 Hispanic students scored Proficient or Highly Proficient.
- 1 Native American student scored Proficient or Highly Proficient.
- 0 Multi-Racial students scored Proficient or Highly Proficient.

On the 5th Grade School City Spring Math Benchmark 2015,

- 0 White students scored Proficient or Highly Proficient.
- 0 African American students scored Proficient or Highly Proficient.
- 0 Hispanic students scored Proficient or Highly Proficient.
- 0 Native American students scored Proficient or Highly Proficient.
- 0 Multi-Racial students scored Proficient or Highly Proficient.

School City year data/ethnicity breakdown (2nd Grade) ELA						
	Total # of	Total # of	Percent	Total # of	Total # of	Percent Mastery
	students	students	Mastery	students	students	
	2016-2017	who		2015 – 2016	2015-2016	
	#25	mastered		#		
White	0					
AfAm	0					
Hispanic	22	6	28%			
NatAm	1	0	0%			
Asian	0					
Multi-R	2	0	0%			

On the 2nd Grade School City Fall Math Benchmark 2016:

- 0 White students scored Proficient or Highly Proficient.
- 0 African American students scored Proficient or Highly Proficient.
- 6 Hispanic students scored Proficient or Highly Proficient.
- 0 Native American students scored Proficient or Highly Proficient.
- 0 Multi-Racial students scored Proficient or Highly Proficient.

^{*}In the 2015-2016 SY this assessment was not given.

School City year data/ethnicity breakdown (3rd Grade) ELA						
	Total # of	Total # of	Percent	Total # of	Total # of	Percent Mastery
	students	students	Mastery	students	students	
	2016-2017	who		2015 – 2016	2015-2016	
	# 22	mastered		#35		
White	0			1	1	100%
AfAm	2	0	0%	1	0	0%
Hispanic	18	3	17%	24	5	21%
NatAm	2	0	0%	7	3	43%
Asian	0			0		
Multi-R	0			2	0	0%

On the 3rd Grade School City Fall ELA Benchmark 2016:

- 0 White student scored Proficient or Highly Proficient.
- 0 African American students scored Proficient or Highly Proficient.
- 3 Hispanic students scored Proficient or Highly Proficient.
- 0 Native American students scored Proficient or Highly Proficient.
- 0 Multi-Racial students scored Proficient or Highly Proficient.

On the 3rd Grade School City Spring ELA Benchmark 2015,

- 1 White student scored Proficient or Highly Proficient.
- 0 African American students scored Proficient or Highly Proficient.
- 5 Hispanic students scored Proficient or Highly Proficient.
- 3 Native American students scored Proficient or Highly Proficient.
- 0 Multi-Racial students scored Proficient or Highly Proficient.

School City year data/ethnicity breakdown (4th Grade) ELA						
	Total # of	Total # of	Percent	Total # of	Total # of	Percent Mastery
	students	students	Mastery	students	students	
	2016-2017	who		2015 – 2016	2015-2016	
	# 24	mastered		#35		
White	1	0	0%	0		
AfAm	1	0	0%	2	0	0%
Hispanic	17	3	18%	24	3	13%
NatAm	5	0	0%	7	2	29%
Asian	0			0		
Multi-R	0			2	1	50%

On the 4th Grade School City Fall ELA Benchmark 2016:

- 0 White student scored Proficient or Highly Proficient.
- 0 African American students scored Proficient or Highly Proficient.
- 3 Hispanic students scored Proficient or Highly Proficient.
- 0 Native American students scored Proficient or Highly Proficient.
- 0 Multi-Racial students scored Proficient or Highly Proficient.
- 1 White student scored Proficient or Highly Proficient.
- 0 African American students scored Proficient or Highly Proficient.
- 3 Hispanic students scored Proficient or Highly Proficient.
- 2 Native American students scored Proficient or Highly Proficient.
- 1 Multi-Racial student scored Proficient or Highly Proficient.

School City year data/ethnicity breakdown (5th Grade) ELA						
	Total # of	Total # of	Percent	Total # of	Total # of	Percent Mastery
	students	students	Mastery	students	students	
	2016-2017	who		2015 – 2016	2015-2016	
	# 25	mastered		#27		
White	0			0		
AfAm	1	0	0%	2	0	0%
Hispanic	20	3	15%	20	0	0%
NatAm	4	1	25%	4	0	0%
Asian	0			0		
Multi-R	0			1	0	0%

On the 5th Grade School City Fall ELA Benchmark 2016:

- 0 White student scored Proficient or Highly Proficient.
- 0 African American students scored Proficient or Highly Proficient.
- 3 Hispanic students scored Proficient or Highly Proficient.
- 1 Native American student scored Proficient or Highly Proficient.
- 0 Multi-Racial students scored Proficient or Highly Proficient.

On the 5th Grade School City Spring ELA Benchmark 2015

- 0 White student scored Proficient or Highly Proficient.
- 0 African American students scored Proficient or Highly Proficient.
- 0 Hispanic students scored Proficient or Highly Proficient.
- 0 Native American students scored Proficient or Highly Proficient.
- 0 Multi-Racial students scored Proficient or Highly Proficient.
- 3. Which student subgroups need the most assistance? Include grade level and content area. Hispanic, Native American, African-American and Multi-racial subgroups need the most assistance in 3rd, 4th and 5th grade in ELA and MATH. Our Hispanic, Native American and African-American are the largest subgroups. At Ochoa.

4. Does performance (achievement/growth) differ across content areas? Is there one content area in which performance is weaker? ELA appears slightly stronger (1%) than MATH across grade levels according to AzMERIT 2015-16.

Task 2: Identify Performance Trends

1. How is performance changing during the school year? (benchmark measures)

The end-of year DIBELS data is very similar to the beginning of the year data other than a slight drop from Kinder to 1^{st} grade. With the exception of 2^{nd} grade there was growth in MATH School City Benchmark data from end of the year to beginning of the year in grades 3, 4 and 5.

2. What are the trends in performance over time? (annual indicators)

Since 2015, the trends in performance over time indicate all our students' AZ Merit scores continue to drop in both Math and ELA.

Task 3: Prioritize Concerns

1. What are the most significant weaknesses in performance? List three to four identified needs. (performance challenges)

Based on AzMERIT 15-16SY, 0% of 5th grade students scored proficient in Math or ELA.

Based on AzMERIT 15-16SY, 11% of 4th grade students scored proficient in Math.

Based on AzMERIT 15-16SY, 20% of 4th grade students scored proficient in ELA.

SECTION 2: SCHOOL GOALS AND MEASUREABLE OBJECTIVES

Goal 1	Measurable Objectives
Goal for Non-Academically Proficient Students:	By May 2018, Ochoa students will increase proficiency on ELA AZMERIT by 15 percentage points, from 15% in
All students will improve academic performance in MATH and ELA.	2015-2016 School Year to 30% in 2017-2018 School Years.
	By May 2018 Ochoa students will increase proficiency on MATH AzMERIT by 14 percentage points, from 14% in 2015-2016 School Year to 28% in 2017-2018 School Year.

SECTION 3: ACTION PLAN

This section includes a general description, action steps, and progress indicators for the following strategic focus areas:

- 1. Strengthen Instruction for All Students (ELA and Math)
- 2. Interventions and Supplemental Services (ELA and Math)
- 3. High Functioning Professional Learning Communities
- 4. Family and Community Engagement

Strategic Focus Area #1: STRENGTHEN INSTRUCTION FOR ALL STUDENTS (Math & ELA)					
School's Priorities: Strengthen Tier I Instruction through us researched based programs.	egies and	School Leader Responsible:			
Desired Outcome: Students will show higher achievement	ent scores on AzM	IERIT as			
well as TUSD Benchmarks			Principal &		
			Instructional Leaders		
Action Steps (Strategic Focus Area #1) STRENGTHEN INST	Action Steps (Strategic Focus Area #1) STRENGTHEN INSTRUCTION FOR ALL STUDENTS (Math & ELA)				
	Resources Needed /				
	Person Completing	Timeline	Source Source		
	Action		Source		
1. Use of common daily lesson plan template. Ensure	Teachers, PLC	August,	Common Lesson Plan		
teachers' lesson plans include engagement strategies,	Teams,	2017 –	Template, District		
questioning strategies, differentiation, Daily Five,	Principal,	May,	Curriculum (3.0),		
Balanced Literacy and how students will receive	Transition	2018	Essential Elements of		
immediate and authentic feedback.	coordinator		Instruction (EEI),		
2. Implementation of Imagine Learning and Big Brainz	Teachers,	August,	Purchase of Big		
Technology Programs	Transition	2017 –	Brainz and Imagine		
	coordinator	May,	Learning		
		2018			

	Instructional Leaders will provide teachers with written and oral feedback through walk-throughs and classroom observations using the AD HOC element section of My Learning Plan. My Learning Plan would track classroom visits to achieve inter-rater reliability amongst all administrators and instructional specialists performing walk- through visits. Information gathered from walk-throughs will be analyzed to address instructional trends challenges and weaknesses with regard to implementation of TUSD curriculum 3.0, PLC fidelity, and the usage of highly effective instructional strategies in real time, as well as the posting of objectives.	Principal, District Administrators, Data Coach, Instructional Math and Reading Specialists, Transition coordinator	August 2017 – May, 2018	My Learning Plan Technology, Tablets
5.	Identify exemplary teachers to provide PD and resources for other teachers	Principal, Instructional Specialists, District Administrators, Transition coordinator	August 2017 – May 2018	Resources
6.	Ensure clear learning goals and strategies for success are developed for each lesson in math and reading and communicated to students throughout the teaching of the lesson	Teachers, PLC Teams, Principal, Transition coordinator	August, 2017 – May, 2018	TUSD Math Department Presentation
7.	Implementation of Balanced Literacy and Daily 5 in all classrooms for ELA.	Teachers, PLC Teams, Reading Consultants, Reading Specialist,	August, 2017 – May, 2018	Purchase of Daily Five book

8.	Ongoing professional development on Tier I instructional	Principal, Transition coordinator Reading and	August,	Consultants, TUSD
	strategies focusing on modeling, scaffolding instruction, questioning strategies, student engagement strategies and cooperative learning structures.	Math Consultants, Principal, Math and Reading Instructional Specialists, Transition coordinator	2017 and May, 2018	Curriculum Departments
9.	Ongoing professional development on Balanced Literacy, Imagine Learning, Big Brainz and Daily 5 Literacy Structure in the context of a Balanced Literacy Model.	Reading and Math Consultants, Principal, Math and Reading Instructional Specialists, Transition coordinator	August, 2017 and May, 2018	Consultants, TUSD Curriculum Departments
10	OUSE of common formative assessments every two weeks in all classrooms	Teachers, PLC Teams, Reading/Math Instructional Specialists, Principal, Transition coordinator	August, 2017 – May, 2018	Common Formative Assessments

11.Implementation of Story Workshop in all classrooms for teaching writing	Teachers, Reading Instructional Specialists, Transition coordinator	August, 2017 – May, 2018	Story Workshop materials
12. Stories that Soar in all classrooms for teaching writing	Teachers, Reading Instructional Specialists, Transition coordinator	August, 2017 – May, 2018	Stories that Soar Program
13. The Transition coordinator, in conjunction with the principal and the transition team, will oversee the implementation and monitoring of the transition plan. The Transition coordinator will support the work of Tier 1 instruction and Professional Learning Committees by working directly with teachers and staff.	Transition Coordinator / Principal	2017-18 School Year	1.0 FTE (for the 2017-18 school year only, this is a one-year position)

Progress I	Progress Indicators (Strategic Focus Area #1) STRENGTHEN INSTRUCTION FOR ALL STUDENTS (Math &				
ELA)					
Indicator	Evidence to Determine Progress	Position	Potential Adjustments		
Date	Toward Achieving Desired Outcome	Responsible			
6/2018	AzMERIT results in Math and ELA	Principal, Data			
		Coach,			
		Instructional			
		Specialists			
August,	TUSD Benchmark results in Math and ELA	Principal, Data			
2017-		Coach and			
May,		Instructional			
2018		Specialists			
August,	Next Step Guided Reading Assessment (NSGRA)	Teachers,			
2017 -	Results	Principal, Data			
May,		Coach			
2018					
August,	DIBELS Results	Teachers, Data			
2017 -		Coach,			
May,		Principal			
2018		•			

Strategic Focus Area #2 INTERVENTION AND SUPPLEMEN	Strategic Focus Area #2 INTERVENTION AND SUPPLEMENTAL SERVICES (Math & ELA)				
School's Priorities: Strengthen Tier II Strategies and Interver Desired Outcome: Students will show higher achievement so TUSD Benchmarks. In addition, there will be a reduction in M7 interventions. Action Steps (Strategic Focus Area #2) INTERVENTION AN	School Leader Responsible: Principal and Instructional Leaders ES (Math & ELA)				
	Person Completing Action	Timeline	Resources Needed / Source		
1. Teachers will use small group instruction in Math and Big Brainz which focuses on math procedural fluency and automaticity. A schedule will be developed to use available technology (lab and COWS) for implementation of Big Brainz for Tier II and Tier III interventions.	Teachers, Math Instructional Specialists, Math Consultants, Transition coordinator	August, 2017 – May, 2018	Big Brainz Technology, Math Consultants, Instructional Math Specialists, COWS		
2. Teachers will use Guided Reading small group instruction and Imagine Learning to support ELA. Tier II and Tier III student will meet daily for guided reading lessons.	Teachers, Instructional Reading Specialists, Reading Consultants, Transition coordinator	August, 2017 – May 2018	Reading Consultants, Instructional Reading Specialists, Imagine Learning Technology		

Case 4:74-cv-00090-DCB Document 2311-2 Filed 10/01/19 Page 28 of 42

3. PD – Guided Reading and Small Group Instruction,	Teachers,	August,	TUSD Curriculum
Imagine Learning Reports	Instructional	2017 -	Depts., TUSD
	Specialists	May, 2018	Assessment Dept.,
	and		Instructional
	Consultants,		Specialists, Reading
	Transition		Consultants
	coordinator		
4. PD - Big Brainz	Teachers,	August	TUSD Curriculum
	Math	2017 -	Departments and Math
	Instructional	May 2018	Consultants
	Specialist,		
	Transition		
	coordinator		

Progress Indicators (Strategic Focus Area #2) INTERVENTION AND SUPPLEMENTAL SERVICES (Math &						
ELA)						
Indicator	Evidence to Determine Progress	Position	Potential Adjustments			
Date	Toward Achieving Desired Outcome	Responsible				
June,	AzMERIT results in Math and ELA	Principal,				
2018		Data Coach,				
		Instructional				
		Specialists				
August,	Benchmark results in Math and ELA	Principal,				
2017 -		Data Coach,				
May,		Instructional				
2018		Specialists				
August,	NSGRA Results	Principal				
2017 –		Data Coach,				
May,		Instructional				
2018		Specialists				
August,	DIBELS results	Principal,				
2017 -		Data Coach				
May,		and				
2018		Instructional				
		Specialists				

Strategic Focus Area #3 HIGH FUNCTIONING PROFESSIONAL LEARNING COMMUNITIES					
School's Priorities: PLCs embedded in the school day once a well Desired Outcome: Teachers will engage in the PLC process we creation of common formative assessments and needed intervel. Action Steps (Strategic Focus Area #3) HIGH FUNCTIONING	School Leader Responsible: Principal and Instructional Leaders GCOMMUNITIES				
	Person Completing Action	Timeline	Resources Needed / Source		
1. Solution Tree will provide professional development on Professional Learning Communities at Work. This professional development will provide practical knowledge based on the three big ideas that drive a PLC (Ensuring that Student Learn, A culture of Collaboration, and A Focus on Results).	District Provided PD offered to all Ochoa faculty.	Summer 2017 – Make-up session Fall 2017.	District Funds/ Solution Tree Vendor to provide PD.		
2. Develop SMART (Specific, Measurable, Achievable, Realistic, and Time Bond) goals for all subject/grade levels.	Teachers, PLCs	August, 2017 – May, 2018			
3. PLCs will clarify the essential learning (unpacking standards) for each unit of instruction as determined by the district's curriculum scope and sequence.	Teachers, PLCs	August, 2017 – May, 2018	TUSD Curriculum and Scope and Sequence		
4. Use data from walk-throughs and lesson plans to determine alignment to curriculum and implementation.	Principal, Instructional Specialists and Data Coach,	August, 2017 – May, 2018	Walk-through Feedback		

		Transition coordinator		
5.	Develop CFA calendars for every grade level	Principal, Instructional Specialist and Data Coach, Transition coordinator	August 2017	School City, TUSD Scope and Sequence
6.	Provide professional development for teachers on formatives (checks for understanding, formative and common formative assessments.	Principal, Instructional Specialists, and Data Coach, Transition coordinator	August, 2017 – May, 2018	
7.	Instructional leaders monitor the curriculum, lesson planning, and formative assessment development.	Principal, Instructional Specialists and Data Coach, Transition coordinator	August, 2017 – May, 2018	
8.	Utilize formative assessment data to monitor student progress, adjust instruction, develop instructional strategies, plan new lessons and identify students who need additional time and support for learning.	Teachers, PLC	August, 2017 – May, 2018	Formative Assessment Data
9.	Utilize district benchmark assessment data to identify students that require additional instruction or Tier 2 support and to plan for re-teaching.	Teachers, PLC	August, 2017 – May, 2018	Benchmark Assessment Data

10.Instructional leaders monitor the analysis of benchmark data and the implementation of action plans that address student learning needs.	Principal, Instructional Specialists, Data Coach, Transition coordinator	August, 2017 – May, 2018	
11.Provide PD for Long-Term Substitutes in PBIS, PLCs, and TUSD Disciplinary Policy	Principal, TUSD Departments, Transition coordinator	1 st quarter 2017	TUSD Departmental Professional Developments, Ochoa

	Progress Indicators (Strategic Focus Area #3) HIGH FUNCTIONING PROFESSIONAL LEARNING COMMUNITIES					
Indicator Date	Evidence to Determine Progress Toward Achieving Desired Outcome	Position Responsible	Potential Adjustments			
Summer 2017	Attendance Sheets for Solution Tree Professional Development on Professional Learning Communities.	Admin.				
August 2017	CFA calendar for every grade level completed	Principal, Instructional Specialist, Data Coach				
August 2017 - ongoing	Teacher lesson plans show alignment of instruction to curriculum standards and CFA Calendar	Principal, PLCs, Instruc Specialists,				
August, 2017	Professional Development Calendar	Principal, Data Coach, Instruct Specialists,				

		PLCs	
August,	School PLC Agenda	Principal,	
2017-	and Minutes (PLC	PLCs,	
ongoing	Guide p.33)	Instruct	
		Specialists	
August,	Analyzing Student	PLCs, Instruc	
2017 -	Work (PLC Guide	Specialists,	
ongoing	p.43)	Data Coach	
	Students scheduled	Teachers,	
	for Tier 2	Data Coach	
	intervention		

Strategic Focus Area #4: Family and Community Engagement					
School's Priorities: To increase opportunities for input from as well as the necessity for effective communication and access Desired Outcome: To develop parent, family, and community	School Leader Responsible:				
direct correlation with academic achievement and school imp			Principal		
Action Steps (Strategic Focus Area #4): Family and Comm	unity Engagemer	nt			
	Resources Needed / Source				
1. Maintain School Community Liaison (Classified) to plan, implement, and oversee all family and community engagement activities.	Principal	By August 2017	Funding to pay for 1.0 FTESpace for Liaison		
2. Coordinate at least three family and community events that utilize resources and services for families, students, and the school with colleges and universities, businesses,	Liaison	By end of Quarter 1, 2, and 3	Family Engagement Added Duty for		

3.	Participate in community events, including but not limited to; Healthy South Tucson Coalition, John Valenzuela Youth Center, Southern Arizona Optimist Club, Casa Maria, Southside Presbyterian Church	Community Representative, Principal	August 2017 - May 2018	certified staff members as needed Family Engagement Supplies as needed Liaison Principal
4.	Coordinate with Family and Community Engagement (FACE) Department at least quarterly; request and receive support as needed.	Liaison, Principal, and Transition Coordinator	By end of each Quarter	• FACE point-of-contact
5.	Actively and regularly involve Site Council in review of Transition Plan implementation.	Liaison, Site Council	Monthly	Site Council point- of-contact
6.	Include families as participants in school decisions, governance, and advocacy through Site Council and other school committees.	Principal, Liaison	Monthly	• Principal
7.	Communicate with families and community about school programs and student academic achievement using reliable and effective methods (e.g.: monthly newsletter, updated website, list-serve, Cafecitos, and clearly defined systems that allows for home-school communication).	Principal, Liaison, Teachers, Parents	August 2017- May 2018	WebmasterOffice Manager
8.	Coordinate resources to support students and families with basic needs.	Community Representative	August 2017 - May 2018	PDTUSD Family Engagement Department

9. Coordinate resources for furthering educational opportunities for parents (Math and Literacy Workshops and Community Educational Opportunities).	Community Representative, Instructional Specialist, Principal	August 2017 - May 2018	 Family Resource Centers as well as County and City PD TUSD Family Engagement Department Family Resource Centers as well as County and City
10.Strategize attendance dilemmas, family check-ins, home visits.	Community Rep,Attendance Technician, Data Coach, Principal	August 2017 - May 2018	Synergy RecordsTUSD protocol and resources

Progress Indicators (Strategic Focus Area #4): Family and Community Engagement						
Indicator	Ev	vidence to Determine Progress	Position	Potential Adjustments		
Date	To	oward Achieving Desired Outcome	Responsible			
By Sept	•	Position Control for Liaison	Principal,			
2017			Office			
			Manager			
By the	•	Advertisements/Fliers documenting three	Liaison			
end of		family and community events				
Quarters	•	Sign-in sheets documenting attendance during				
1, 2, and 3		three family and community events				
August-	•	Fliers documenting community events	Liaison			
May 2018	•	Event participation log, maintained by Liaison				

May 2018	Log: Maintained by Liaison, documenting communication with FACE representative	Liaison
May 2018	Site Council agendas documenting transition plan progress	Site Council Secretary, Liaison
May 2018	 Sign in sheets documenting Site Council (and other school committee) participation Agendas documenting items relevant to family and community engagement 	Liaison
May 2018	Samples of communication with family and community	Liaison, Webmaster, Office Manager
April 2018	Letters of support from family, community, and partners (updated annually)	Liaison, Transition Coordinator
May 2018	• Log of referrals for parents / families needing assistance	Liaison
	 List of educational opportunities made available to parents Sign-in sheets for each class 	Liaison Instructor
August 2017- May 2018	Attendance data and trend analysis	Liaison Transition Coordinator Principal

SECTION 4: IMMEDIATE ACTIONS

Teacher Vacancies

To ensure efforts to staff the school with enough permanent and experienced teachers, the District will take the following steps:

- 1. Principals will have priority access to potential candidates at magnet-only job fairs
- 2. Principals will have priority access to potential candidates at all school job fairs
- 3. The District will offer a one-time financial incentive to recruit potential candidates to fill existing (or projected) vacancies

Other Related Issues

The chart below describes other immediate actions the school will initiate between the spring of the 2016-17 School Year and the fall of the 2017-18 School Year.

	Action	Person Responsible	Timeline
1	Inform teachers, staff, parents and the community of the Transition	Principal,	July 2017
	Plan that is designed to increase student achievement.	Community	
		Representative,	
2	Continue to build Professional Learning Communities (PLCs) in	Principal,	August 2017
	order to create a culture of continuous learning and working	Instructional	
	collaboratively to unpack the highly leveraged standards, developing	Specialist, PLC	
	common formative assessments, and using data to support	Teachers	
	instruction.		
3	Assemblies, Professional Developments and Cafecitos to recognize	Principal	August 2017
	child, community and/or staff accomplishments.		
4	Recruiting accurate configuration for Kindergarten.	Principal	August 2017
6	Provide PD for Long-Term Substitutes in PBIS, PLCs, and TUSD	District	October 2017
	Disciplinary Policy		

Ochoa Transition Plan Budget				
Description	Deseg Amount	Purpose	Reference Strategy #	Source of Funding
1.0 FTE – Instructional Data and Intervention Specialist	\$42,800	Analyze data; communicate with faculty and staff; identify at-risk students; train teachers and administrators on data collection and analysis; monitor student progress in Tier II and III interventions; coordinate with leadership/transition team	1, 2 and 3	Deseg
1.0 FTE - Reading Interventionist) Specialist)	\$42,800	Support the Balanced Literacy program, Guided Reading and Daily Five implementation	1, 2, 3, 4 and 5	Deseg
1.0 FTEMath} Inerventionist Specialist }	\$42,800	Support the teaching of high quality, engaging math curriculum and assist with math interventions	1, 2, 3, 4 and 5	Deseg
.2 FTE Transition Coordinator	\$8,560	Support transition Plan Initiatives	1, 2, 3, 4 and 5	Deseg
2.0 2.0-FTE Instructional Specialist Teachers	\$36,000	Ochoa will hire two certified specialist teacher in order to provide a master schedule which builds in weekly PLC time for staff. This will allow staff to address achievement discrepancies by designing instructional strategies which are strategic, systematic, and timely.		Deseg
Professional Development Materials	\$5,000	Support ELA, Math and Family Engagement	1, 2 and 5	Deseg

Added Duty	\$10,000	Professional Development for Teachers	1,2,3, 4 and 5	Deseg
		and Long Term-Substitutes		
Benefits	34,240 <u>\$43,240</u>			
Total:-5.2 FTE	\$186,200			
	<u>\$231,200</u>			

Components of the Title I Budget that Specifically Support the Ochoa Transition Plan				
Description	Other Amount	Purpose	Reference Strategy #	Source of Funding
0.5 FTE Counselor	\$21,400	Support the 194 children qualifying for free and reduced lunch, of those, 31 receiving McKinney Vento services. Teach lessons in the classrooms and assist with the implementation of the embedded PLCs one hour a week during the school day.	1, 2, 4 and 5	Title I
1.0 FTE School Community Liaison	\$34,000	Support Family and Community Engagement	1,3, and 5	Title I
0.75 Teaching Assistant	\$15,000	Teacher Assistants will provide classroom teacher with additional support. TAs allow time for teachers to work with struggling students and those students who attribute to the achievement gap by monitoring and guiding students that are not receiving specialized instruction. They can also provide teacher developed enrichment activities that enhance the targeted standards. Teacher Assistants will provide teachers the opportunity to provide targeted Tier II interventions during ELA and math instruction.		Title I
Benefits	\$17,600			
Total: 2.25 FTE	\$88,000			

Ochoa Deseg, Other				
Imagine Learning	\$20,000	Support ELA interventions.	1, 2 ,3 and 4	Deseg
Literacy				Central
Imagine Learning	\$5,000	Support MATH interventions.	1, 2, 3 and 4	Deseg
Big Brainz				Central
Fees, Taxes	\$2,025			Deseg
				Central
Total:	\$27,025			

Ochoa			
Budget	FTE	Amount	
Deseg Transition	<u>5.2 FTE</u>	<u>\$231,200</u>	
Title I	2.25 FTE	<u>\$88,000</u>	
Deseg, Other		<u>\$27,025</u>	
Total	7.45 FTE	<u>\$346,225</u>	