	Case 4:74-cv-00090-DCB	Document 2263	Filed 08/30/19	Page 1 of 4	
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	P. Bruce Converse (#005868 Timothy W. Overton (#02560 DICKINSON WRIGHT PI 1850 N. Central Avenue, Sui Phoenix, Arizona 85004-456 bconverse@dickinsonwright. toverton@dickinsonwright.co courtdocs@dickinsonwright. Phone: (602) 285-5000 Fax: (844) 670-6009 Robert S. Ross (#023430) Samuel E. Brown (#027474) TUCSON UNIFIED SCHO LEGAL DEPARTMENT 1010 East Tenth Street Tucson, Arizona 85719 <u>Robert.Ross@tusd1.org</u> Samuel.Brown@tusd1.org) 69) L LC te 1400 8 . <u>com</u> <u>om</u> com			
11	Phone: (520) 225-6040 Attorneys for defendant Tucson Unified School Distri	ict No. 1			
12	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT				
13	FOR THE DISTRICT OF ARIZONA				
14 15	Roy and Josie Fisher, et al.,	1	4:74-cv-0090-E		
15	v.	Plaintiffs,	(Lead Cas		
17	Tucson Unified School Distr	ict No. 1, et al.,			
18	E Maria Mendoza, et al.,	Defendants.	4:74-cv-0204 T	UC DCB	
19		Plaintiffs,		ated Case)	
20	Tucson Unified School Distr	ict No. 1, et al.,			
21	E E	Defendants.			
22					
23	NOTICE AND REPORT OF COMPLIANCE:				
24	INTERNET ACCESS				
25					
26					

The Court directed the Special Master to file a notice and report of compliance for the internet access issue. [ECF 2123, at 139, 151.] The District submitted the report attached as Exhibit A to the Special Master, to enable him to file the appropriate notice.

4 The report indicates that the design and installation specifications for equipment 5 in all district schools is the same, and the entire system is overdesigned such that peak usage for every school in the district, measured at the busiest time of the school year, is 6 far below the capacity of the school's equipment and the school's connection to the 7 District internet hub. The District measured speeds of internet access and coverage for 8 every school in the District, over two successive years. There is no disparity in District 9 equipment among schools (every school has the same equipment, all of which has far 10 greater capacity than needed for even the largest school in the District), and no disparity 11 in speed of the connection: capacity of each school's connection to the District internet 12 hub is exactly the same, and the actual data usage on the network at every school is far 13 less than the capacity of the connections between the school and the main District internet 14 hub. Accordingly, there is no need to add an internet access category to the TCI, as every 15 school would score the same in that category.

The District respectfully submits that it has complied with the Court's orders
regarding internet access, and has met the requirements of USP § IX.B, as shown by the
record herein, including its annual reports and its prior assessment of compliance.¹
Accordingly, the District requests that the Court grant unitary status in this area of District
operations (§ IX.B).²

21

1

2

3

26

 ¹ Compliance with USP requirements for technology conditions is addressed in the record at the following specific locations incorporated herein by reference: ECF 2057-1, pp. 401-408 and appendices cited therein; ECF 2124-1, pp. 151-152 and appendices cited therein; ECF 2075-9, pp. 10-22 and documents cited therein.

 ²⁴
 ² The District submits this notice filing without waiver of its position that there is no basis in fact or law for continued federal court supervision of the District in this or any other area, given the findings of Judge Frey in 1978, subsequent rulings of this Court,

	Case 4:74-cv-00090-DCB Document 2263 Filed	08/30/19 Page 3 of 4			
1 2 3	Dated this 30 th day of August, 2019.	ectfully submitted,			
4	<u>/s/P.1</u>	Bruce Converse			
5	; P. Bri Timo	ace Converse thy W. Overton			
6	1850	KINSON WRIGHT, PLLC N. Central Avenue, Suite 1400 nix, Arizona 85004-4568			
7	Attor	neys for Tucson Unified School			
8		<i>CT NO. 1</i>			
9					
10					
11					
12					
13					
14					
15					
16					
17					
18					
19 20					
20					
21					
22 22					
23 24					
24 25	and the record herein. The District recognizes that the Court has overruled these objections, but wishes to make clear that they are preserved for appeal.				
23 26					
20	3				

	Case 4:74-cv-00090-DCB Document 2263 Filed 08/30/19 Page 4 of 4					
1	CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE					
2	I hereby certify that on the 30 th day of August, 2019, I electronically transmitted					
3	the attached foregoing document to the Clerk's Office using the CM/ECF System for filing					
4	and transmittal of a Notice of Electronic filing to all CM/ECF registrants.					
5						
6	<u>/s/ P. Bruce Converse</u>					
7						
8						
9						
10						
11						
12						
13						
14						
15						
16						
17						
18						
19						
20						
21						
22						
23						
24						
25 26						
20	4					