	Case 4:74-cv-00090-DCB Document 2232	Filed 07/01/19	Page 1 of 4
1	P. Bruce Converse (#005868) bconverse@dickinsonwright.com		
2	Timothy W. Overton (#025669)		
3	toverton@dickinsonwright.com DICKINSON WRIGHT PLLC		
_	1850 N. Central Avenue, Suite 1400		
4	Phoenix, Arizona 85004-4568 courtdocs@dickinsonwright.com		
5	Phone: (602) 285-5000 Fax: (844) 670-6009		
6	Pohort S. Poss $(#023430)$		
7	Robert S. Ross (#023430) Robert.Ross@tusd1.org		
8	Samuel E. Brown (#027474) Samuel.Brown@tusd1.org		
0	TUCSON UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT		
9	LEGAL DEPARTMENT 1010 East Tenth Street		
10	Tucson, Arizona 85719 Phone: (520) 225-6040		
11	Attorneys for defendant		
12	Tucson Unified School District No. 1		
13	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
14	FOR THE DISTRICT OF ARIZONA		
	Roy and Josie Fisher, et al.,	4:74-cv-0090-D	ЮCB
		(T 1 C	
15	Plaintiffs,	(Lead Cas	se)
15 16	V.	(Lead Cas	se)
16		(Lead Cas	se)
16 17	V.	(Lead Cas	se)
16	v. Tucson Unified School District No. 1, et al., Defendants.		
16 17	v. Tucson Unified School District No. 1, et al.,	4:74-cv-0204 T	
16 17 18 19	v. Tucson Unified School District No. 1, et al., Defendants. Maria Mendoza, et al.,	4:74-cv-0204 T	UC DCB
16 17 18 19 20	v. Tucson Unified School District No. 1, et al., Defendants. Maria Mendoza, et al., Plaintiffs,	4:74-cv-0204 T	UC DCB
16 17 18 19	v. Tucson Unified School District No. 1, et al., Defendants. Maria Mendoza, et al., Plaintiffs, v. Tucson Unified School District No. 1, et al.,	4:74-cv-0204 T	UC DCB
16 17 18 19 20	v. Tucson Unified School District No. 1, et al., Defendants. Maria Mendoza, et al., v.	4:74-cv-0204 T	UC DCB
16 17 18 19 20 21	v. Tucson Unified School District No. 1, et al., Defendants. Maria Mendoza, et al., v. Tucson Unified School District No. 1, et al., Defendants. SUPPLEMENTAL NOTICE AND	4:74-cv-0204 T (Consolid REPORT OF (UC DCB ated Case)
 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 	v. Tucson Unified School District No. 1, et al., Defendants. Maria Mendoza, et al., Plaintiffs, v. Tucson Unified School District No. 1, et al., Defendants.	4:74-cv-0204 T (Consolid REPORT OF (OSTERING IN	UC DCB ated Case)
 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 	v. Tucson Unified School District No. 1, et al., Defendants. Maria Mendoza, et al., v. Tucson Unified School District No. 1, et al., Defendants. SUPPLEMENTAL NOTICE AND STUDY OF STRATEGIES FOR F	4:74-cv-0204 T (Consolid REPORT OF (OSTERING IN	UC DCB ated Case)
 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 	v. Tucson Unified School District No. 1, et al., Defendants. Maria Mendoza, et al., v. Tucson Unified School District No. 1, et al., Defendants. SUPPLEMENTAL NOTICE AND STUDY OF STRATEGIES FOR F	4:74-cv-0204 T (Consolid REPORT OF (OSTERING IN	UC DCB ated Case)
 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 	v. Tucson Unified School District No. 1, et al., Defendants. Maria Mendoza, et al., v. Tucson Unified School District No. 1, et al., Defendants. SUPPLEMENTAL NOTICE AND STUDY OF STRATEGIES FOR F	4:74-cv-0204 T (Consolid REPORT OF (OSTERING IN	UC DCB ated Case)
 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 	v. Tucson Unified School District No. 1, et al., Defendants. Maria Mendoza, et al., v. Tucson Unified School District No. 1, et al., Defendants. SUPPLEMENTAL NOTICE AND STUDY OF STRATEGIES FOR F	4:74-cv-0204 T (Consolid REPORT OF (OSTERING IN	UC DCB ated Case)

The Special Master recommended (ECF 2096 at 55) and the Court ordered (ECF 2123 at 123-124) the District to assess the levels of inclusiveness and civility experienced 3 by students at the District. The District did so, collaborating with the Special Master on 4 the design of the study, and reported the results to the Court and parties in its filing on 5 December 6, 2018. [ECF 2156-1.] As noted by the Court, no objection was raised 6 regarding the study or its conclusions. [ECF 2217 at 14.]

The District believed that the high levels of inclusivity and civility reported, and the fact that the results did not differ materially by race or ethnicity, were the best evidence of the effectiveness of the strategies employed by the District to foster inclusiveness and civility, and obviated the current need for a study of the effectiveness of those strategies. [ECF 2156.] The Court disagreed, and ordered the District to undertake a study of the effectiveness of the current strategies, and determine if there were other strategies that should be employed. [ECF 2217 at 14.]

Accordingly, the District has now conducted such a study, a copy of which is attached as Exhibit A, again in collaboration with the Special Master. In particular, District staff met with the Special Master to discuss the approach to the study when he visited the District during the week of May 6, 2019, and then subsequently provided a draft of the study for his review. The Special Master provided helpful comments and suggestions, most of which the District has now incorporated into the final version of the study.

The study concludes that the strategies currently utilized by the District are

generally recognized as effective and best practices, used in one form or another by other

districts which have also reported positive results from use of these strategies. The

District will continue to build on the success achieved so far, and has identified one

21 22

7

23

24

25

26

1 additional strategy which it might employ should monitoring disclose a need for an 2 additional approach. 3 The District respectfully submits that it has complied with the Court's order, and 4 requests that the Court grant partial unitary status in this area of District operations (USP 5 § V.F).¹ 6 Dated this 1st day of July, 2019. 7 Respectfully submitted, 8 /s/P. Bruce Converse 9 P. Bruce Converse Timothy W. Overton 10 **DICKINSON WRIGHT, PLLC** 11 1850 N. Central Avenue, Suite 1400 Phoenix, Arizona 85004-4568 12 Attorneys for Tucson Unified School District No. 1 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 ¹ The District submits this notice and report without waiver of its objections that there is 23 no basis in fact or law for continued federal court supervision of the District in this or any other area, including the requirement of preparing the attached plan, given the findings of 24 Judge Frey in 1978, subsequent rulings of this Court, and the record herein. The District recognizes that the Court has overruled these objections, and that they are the subject of a 25 pending appeal before the 9th Circuit. 26

