

**TUCSON UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT  
LEGAL DEPARTMENT**

1010 E. Tenth Street  
Tucson, Arizona 85719  
Telephone: (520) 225-6040  
Robert S. Ross (State Bar No. 023430)  
Robert.Ross@tusd1.org  
Samuel E. Brown (State Bar No. 027474)  
Samuel.Brown@tusd1.org

**STEPTOE & JOHNSON LLP**

201 East Washington Street, Suite 1600  
Phoenix, Arizona 85004-2382  
Telephone: (602) 257-5200  
Facsimile: (602) 257-5299  
P. Bruce Converse (State Bar No. 005868)  
bconverse@steptoe.com  
Timothy W. Overton (State Bar No. 025669)  
toverton@Steptoe.com

*Attorneys for Tucson Unified  
School District No. 1*

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

Roy and Josie Fisher, et al.,  
Plaintiffs,  
v.  
Tucson Unified School District No. 1,  
et al.,  
Defendants.

4:74-cv-00090-DCB  
(Lead Case)

Maria Mendoza, et al.,  
Plaintiffs,  
v.  
Tucson Unified School District No. 1,  
et al.,  
Defendants.

CV 74-204 TUC DCB  
(Consolidated Case)

**NOTICE AND REPORT OF COMPLIANCE:  
PROFESSIONAL LEARNING PLAN FOR TEACHER PROFICIENCY IN  
USING TECHNOLOGY**

1 The Court directed the District to prepare and file a notice and report of  
2 compliance with its directive to prepare a professional learning plan for teacher  
3 proficiency in using technology. [ECF 2123 at 140, 151.] The District has prepared and  
4 implemented the professional learning plan, and it is attached hereto as Exhibit A. The  
5 District respectfully submits that it has complied with the Court’s order, and requests  
6 that the Court grant partial unitary status in this area of District operations (USP IX.B).<sup>1</sup>

7 RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED this 6<sup>th</sup> day of December, 2018.

8 **STEP TOE & JOHNSON LLP**

9  
10 By /s/ P. Bruce Converse  
P. Bruce Converse  
Timothy W. Overton

11 **TUCSON UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT**  
12 **LEGAL DEPARTMENT**  
Robert S. Ross  
13 Samuel E. Brown

14 Attorneys for Tucson Unified School District  
15 No. 1  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24

25 \_\_\_\_\_  
26 <sup>1</sup> The District submits this notice and report without waiver of its objections that  
27 there is no basis in fact or law for continued federal court supervision of the District in  
28 this or any other area, including the requirement of preparing the attached plan, given  
the findings of Judge Frey in 1978, subsequent rulings of this Court, and the record  
herein. The District recognizes that the Court has overruled these objections, and that  
they are the subject of a pending appeal before the 9<sup>th</sup> Circuit.

