

**TUCSON UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT
LEGAL DEPARTMENT**

1010 E. Tenth Street
Tucson, Arizona 85719
Telephone: (520) 225-6040
Robert S. Ross (State Bar No. 023430)
Robert.Ross@tusd1.org
Samuel E. Brown (State Bar No. 027474)
Samuel.Brown@tusd1.org

STEPTOE & JOHNSON LLP

201 East Washington Street, Suite 1600
Phoenix, Arizona 85004-2382
Telephone: (602) 257-5200
Facsimile: (602) 257-5299
P. Bruce Converse (State Bar No. 005868)
bconverse@steptoe.com
Timothy W. Overton (State Bar No. 025669)
toverton@Steptoe.com

*Attorneys for Tucson Unified
School District No. 1*

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

Roy and Josie Fisher, et al.,
Plaintiffs,
v.
Tucson Unified School District No. 1,
et al.,
Defendants.

4:74-cv-00090-DCB
(Lead Case)

Maria Mendoza, et al.,
Plaintiffs,
v.
Tucson Unified School District No. 1,
et al.,
Defendants.

CV 74-204 TUC DCB
(Consolidated Case)

**NOTICE AND REPORT OF COMPLIANCE:
AASSD and MASSD OPERATING PLANS**

1 The Court directed the District to prepare and file a notice and report of
2 compliance with its directive to prepare plans for operating the African American
3 Student Services Department (AASSD) and Mexican American Student Services
4 Department (MASSD) beyond a declaration of unitary status. [ECF 2123 at 121-22,
5 150.] The District has prepared these plans, which are attached hereto as Exhibits A and
6 B. The District respectfully submits that it has complied with the Court's order, and
7 requests that the Court grant partial unitary status in this area of District operations (USP
8 § V.E.7-8).¹

9 RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED this 6th day of December, 2018.

10 **STEP TOE & JOHNSON LLP**

11 By /s/ P. Bruce Converse
12 P. Bruce Converse
13 Timothy W. Overton

14 **TUCSON UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT**
15 **LEGAL DEPARTMENT**
16 Robert S. Ross
17 Samuel E. Brown

18 Attorneys for Tucson Unified School District
19 No. 1
20
21
22
23
24

25 _____
26 ¹ The District submits this notice and report without waiver of its objections that
27 there is no basis in fact or law for continued federal court supervision of the District in
28 this or any other area, including the requirement of preparing the attached plan, given
the findings of Judge Frey in 1978, subsequent rulings of this Court, and the record
herein. The District recognizes that the Court has overruled these objections, and that
they are the subject of a pending appeal.

