

1 Maria Mendoza, et al.,
2 Plaintiffs,
3 United States of America,
4 Plaintiff-Intervenor,
5 v.
6 Tucson United School District No. One, et al.,
7 Defendants.

Case No. CV 74-204 TUC DCB

8
9
10 Mendoza Plaintiffs submit this limited joinder in the TUSD “Motion for
11 Reconsideration/Clarification of November 19, 2015 Order” (“TUSD Motion”) (Doc. No.
12 1872) for the sole purpose of addressing the intended scope of Paragraph E of the
13 November 6, 2015 Stipulation entered into between the Mendoza Plaintiffs and TUSD
14 (Doc. 1865).¹

15
16 Mendoza Plaintiffs concur with the statement on page 5 of the TUSD Motion which
17 states: “In drafting and stipulating to Paragraph E, the District and the Mendoza Plaintiffs
18 intended the creation of District-wide initiatives to increase the number of students
19 attending integrated schools. This provision would not exclude the development of
20 alternative magnet themes, nor would it require such development.”

21
22 Accordingly, Mendoza Plaintiffs join in the request that to effectuate the agreement
23 of the parties to the November 6, 2015 Stipulation, the Court revise its November 19, 2015
24

25
26 ¹ In an excess of caution, Mendoza Plaintiffs also state that they disagree with many of the
27 assertions in the TUSD Motion and, but for the above referenced revision of the Court’s
28 November 19, 2015 Order as it relates to Paragraph E of the November 6, 2015
Stipulation, would oppose the motion were the Court to request briefing on the TUSD
Motion for Reconsideration.

1 Order (at page 10: 16-19) to eliminate the prescriptive language included in that Order at
2 page 10, lines 16 -19.

3
4 Dated: December 4, 2015

5 PROSKAUER ROSE LLP
6 LOIS D. THOMPSON
7 JENNIFER L. ROCHE

8 /s/ Lois D. Thompson
9 *Attorney for Mendoza Plaintiffs*

10 MALDEF
11 JUAN RODRIGUEZ
12 THOMAS A. SAENZ

13 /s/ Juan Rodriguez
14 *Attorney for Mendoza Plaintiffs*
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

