

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF ARIZONA**

Roy and Josie Fisher, et al.,
Plaintiffs

CV 74-90 TUC DCB
(Lead Case)

v.
United States of America,
Plaintiff-Intervenor,

ORDER

v.
Anita Lohr, et al.,
Defendants,
and
Sidney L. Sutton, et al.,
Defendants-Intervenors,

CV 74-204 TUC DCB
(Consolidated Case)


Maria Mendoza, et al.
Plaintiffs,
United States of America,
Plaintiff-Intervenor,
v.
Tucson Unified School District No. One, et al.
Defendants.

1 Defendant Tucson Unified School District (“TUSD”) having filed a Joint Motion For
2 Briefing Schedule on Notice and Request for Approval Related to Grade Reconfigurations,
3 the parties and Special Master having agreed, and good cause appearing,

4 IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the motion (Doc. 1868) is granted as follows:

- 5 1. The parties will have 20 days from the filing by TUSD of the NARA to file
6 any Objection made to the Special Master with the Court;
- 7 2. Within 20 days of the filing date of an Objection, TUSD may file a Response
8 with the Court, simultaneously, with its presentation to the Special Master;
- 9 3. The Special Master will have 10 days from the filing of the Response to file a
10 Report and Recommendation (“R&R”) with the Court with a request for an
11 expedited ruling within 30 days;
- 12 4. Within 5 business days of the filing of the R&R any party may file an
13 objection not previously raised, to any aspect of the R&R new to the
14 discussion and adverse to its previously expressed position, supported by the
15 reasons for the objection; and,
- 16 5. Thereafter, the Court shall consider the matter fully briefed and ready for
17 disposition.

18 Dated this 2nd day of December, 2015.

19
20
21
22 
23 David C. Bury
24 United States District Judge
25
26
27
28