1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 110 111	LOIS D. THOMPSON, Cal. Bar No. 093243 lthompson@proskauer.com JENNIFER L. ROCHE, Cal. Bar No. 25453 jroche@proskauer.com PROSKAUER ROSE LLP 2049 Century Park East, 32nd Floor Los Angeles, California 90067-3206 Telephone: (310) 557-2900 Facsimile: (310) 557-2193 JUAN RODRIGUEZ, Cal. Bar No. 282081 jrodriguez@maldef.org THOMAS A. SAENZ, Cal. Bar No. 159430 tsaenz@maldef.org MEXICAN AMERICAN LEGAL DEFENS EDUCATIONAL FUND (MALDEF) 634 S. Spring St. 11th Floor Telephone: (213) 629-2512 ext. 121 Facsimile: (213) 629-0266	8 (Admitted Pro Hac Vice) (Admitted Pro Hac Vice) (Admitted Pro Hac Vice)
	Attorneys for Mendoza Plaintiffs	
12 13	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF ARIZONA	
14	Roy and Josie Fisher, et al.,	Case No. 4:74-CV-00090-DCB
15	Plaintiffs,	
16	,	DECLARATION OF HIAM
17	V.	DECLARATION OF JUAN RODRIGUEZ IN SUPPORT OF REPLY
18	United States of America,	IN SUPPORT OF MENDOZA PLAINTIFFS' MOTION TO STRIKE
19	Plaintiff-Intervenors,	TUCSON UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT'S OBJECTION TO SPECIAL MASTER'S REPORT AND
20	V.	RECOMMENDATIONS RELATING TO
21	Anita Lohr, et al.,	PRINCIPAL AND TEACHER EVALUATONS (ECF 1845) OR, IN THE
22	Defendants,	ALTERNATIVE, TO PROVIDE THE PLAINTIFFS AND THE SPECIAL
	Sidney L. Sutton, et al.,	MASTER A REASONABLE
23 24	Defendant-Intervenors,	OPPORTUNITY TO RESPOND TO THE NEW EVIDENCE OFFERED FOR THE FIRST TIME IN THE OBJECTION AND
25		TO THE DISTRICT'S ATTACK ON THE R&R AS "PUNITIVE"
26		
		Hon. David C. Bury
27		
28		

Plaintiffs,

Tucson United School District No. One, et al.,

Defendants.

Plaintiff-Intervenor,

Maria Mendoza, et al.,

United States of America,

v.

Case No. CV 74-204 TUC DCB

I, Juan Rodriguez, declare under penalty of perjury that the following statements are true:

- 1. I am above the age of 18 and am competent to make this Declaration. I am an attorney of record for the Mendoza Plaintiffs in this action and have personal knowledge regarding the facts stated herein. This declaration is based upon my personal knowledge, information and belief.
- 2. I attended meetings held among the parties and Special Master on October 5 and 6, 2015 in Tucson. Three attorneys of record for TUSD attended the meetings held in Tucson on October 5 and 6, 2015.
- 3. At some point, the District's Objection to the Special Master's R&R Relating to Teacher and Principal Evaluations (ECF 1853) ("TUSD Objection") was referenced at the meeting. Counsel for Mendoza Plaintiffs then notified the District of their intent to file a motion to strike for the specific reason that the District provided new evidence for the first time in its objection relating to principal evaluations under a process that does not allow the Mendoza Plaintiffs an opportunity to respond as a matter of course.

Case 4:74-cv-00090-DCB Document 1861-2 Filed 10/30/15 Page 3 of 3

1	4. At no point during the October 5 and 6, 2015 meetings or before the Mendoza		
2	Plaintiffs filed their Motion to Strike Tucson Unified School District's Objection to		
3	Special Master's R&R Relating to Principal and Teacher Evaluations or, in the Alternative		
4	to Provide the Plaintiffs and the Special Master a Reasonable Opportunity to Respond to		
5	the New Evidence Offered for the First Time in the Objection and to the District's Attack		
7	on the R&R as "Punitive" (Doc. 1855) did the District state that it would stipulate to a		
8	motion for leave to respond to the expert declaration attached to the TUSD Objection.		
9			
10	I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States that the		
11			
12	foregoing is true and correct.		
13			
14			
15			
16			
17	Dated: October 30, 2015 MALDEF JUAN RODRIGUEZ		
18	/s/ Leave De dei even		
19 20	/s/ Juan Rodriguez Attorney for Mendoza Plaintiffs		
$\begin{bmatrix} 20 \\ 21 \end{bmatrix}$			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			