


[This page shows the main User Interface for the TCI application.]



USP IX.C.1.a

[This page shows the breakdown of the TCI for the servers in each school.]

[User Interface explanations appear below.]

	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J
1		<b>TUSD</b>		Classroom		<b>Overall</b>				
2		February 1, 2014	Server TCI	TCI	Lab TCI	TCI	Integration			
3		<b>DISTRICT Total</b>	2.76	2.76	2.76	2.76		Hide Report		
4		ANDERSON ES	3.59	3.59	3.59	3.59	Integrated			
5		BAILEY ES	2.43	2.43	2.43	2.43	Integrated			
6		BELL ES	2.64	2.64	2.64	2.64	Neutral			
7		BENNETT ES	2.19	2.19	2.19	2.19	Racially Concentrated			
8		CARTER ES	3.24	3.24	3.24	3.24	Neutral			
9		COLLINS MAGNET ES	3.11	3.11	3.11	3.11	Integrated			
10		COOK ES	3.04	3.04	3.04	3.04	Racially Concentrated			
11		COOPER ES	2.92	2.92	2.92	2.92	Racially Concentrated			
12		COX ES	3.16	3.16	3.16	3.16	Neutral			
13		CRUZ ES	2.54	2.54	2.54	2.54	Integrated			
14		DAVIS ES	3.59	3.59	3.59	3.59	Integrated			
15		DIAZ ES	2.41	2.41	2.41	2.41	Racially Concentrated			
16		EDWARDS ES	3.03	3.03	3.03	3.03	Neutral			
17		FLORES ES	2.40	2.40	2.40	2.40	Integrated			
18		FOSTER ES	2.75	2.75	2.75	2.75	Integrated			
19		GOMEZ ES	2.41	2.41	2.41	2.41	Neutral			
20		GRAY ES	2.54	2.54	2.54	2.54	Neutral			
21		GREEN ES	2.58	2.58	2.58	2.58	Racially Concentrated			
22		HUGHES ES	3.16	3.16	3.16	3.16	Neutral			
23		JAMES ES					Concentrated			
24		KELLY/HOWARD ES					ed			
25		LEE ES					ed			
26		LONG MAGNET ES								

**THIS DATA IS FOR  
DEMONSTRATION PURPOSES ONLY**

Double-clicking in the School Name column will bring up a report for that school.

Double-clicking in the Server, Classroom, or Lab TCI column will bring up the respective supporting page to show how that component of the TCI was calculated.

Double-clicking in the Integration column will bring up the Integration page to show how the integration status was calculated.

Integration information is included to help fulfill USP IX.B.3: "...priority given ... to Racially Concentrated schools that score below the District average on the TCI."

USP IX.C.1.a

[This page shows the details of the TCI for one school; including Servers, Classrooms, and Labs.]

WATSON ES

Technology Conditions Index (TCI)

Effective February 1, 2014 9:15 am

Hide Report

Composite TCI Score

2.5

Integration Status

Integrated

Number of Students

365

Servers

Servers	Count	Rating (0 to 5)
	3	
Models		2.5
Monitors		2.5
Software		2.5
Networking		2.5
Safety		2.5
Ergonomics		2.5
Servers Score		2.5

Classroom

Desktops	Count	Rating (0 to 5)
	120	
Students per Device	3	2.5

THIS DATA IS FOR DEMONSTRATION PURPOSES ONLY

THIS DATA IS FOR  
DEMONSTRATION  
PURPOSES ONLY

USP IX.C.1.a

[This page shows the breakdown of the TCI for the servers in each school.]

[User Interface explanations appear below.]

	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L
1		<b>TUSD</b>							<b>Server</b>			
2		February 1, 2014	<b>Models</b>	<b>Monitors</b>	<b>Software</b>	<b>Networking</b>	<b>Safety</b>	<b>Ergonomics</b>	<b>TCI</b>			
3		ANDERSON ES	3.00	3.90	3.00	3.00	3.90	3.00	3.30			
4	Y	BAILEY ES	1.00	3.70	1.00	1.00	3.70	1.00	1.89			
5	E	BELL ES	3.00	3.25	1.00	1.00	3.25	3.00	2.40			
6	L	BENNETT ES	2.00	3.00	1.00	1.00	3.00	2.00	1.99			
7	E	CARTER ES	4.00	2.45	4.00	4.00	2.45	4.00	3.49			
8	M	COLLINS MAGNET ES	3.00	4.00	3.00	3.00	4.00	3.00	3.33			
9	E	COOK ES	3.00	3.60	3.00	3.00	3.60	3.00	3.20			
10	N	COOPER ES	3.00	3.42	3.00	3.00	3.42	3.00	3.14			
11	T	COX ES	3.00	3.00	4.00	4.00	3.00	3.00	3.34			
12	A	CRUZ ES	3.00	3.00	1.00	1.00	3.00	3.00	2.32			
13	R	DAVIS ES	3.00	5.00	3.00	3.00	5.00	3.00	3.66			
14	E	DIAZ ES	1.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	1.00	2.34			
15	N	EDWARDS ES	3.00	3.65	2.00	2.00	3.65	3.00	2.87			
16	T	FLORES ES	2.00	3.00	1.00	1.00	3.00	2.00	1.99			
17	A	FOSTER ES	4.00	3.00	2.00	2.00	3.00	4.00	2.99			
18	R	GOMEZ ES	2.00	3.00	1.00	1.00	3.00	2.00	1.99			
19	E	GRAY ES	3.00	2.75	1.00	1.00	2.75	3.00	2.24			
20	N	GREEN ES	3.00	3.00	2.00	2.00	3.00	3.00	2.66			
21	T	HUGHES ES	3.00	4.00	3.00	3.00	4.00	3.00	3.33			
22	A	JAMES ES						2.00	1.91			
23	R	KELLY/HOWARD ES						3.00	2.49			
24	E	LEE ES						3.00	2.40			
25	N	LONG MAGNET ES	3.00	3.25	3.00	3.00	3.25	3.00	3.08			
26	T	MARTIN MAGNET ES	3.00	5.00	3.00	3.00	5.00	3.00	3.66			
27	A	MORALES ES	3.00	1.75	4.00	4.00	1.75	3.00	2.93			
28	R	MORGAN ES	3.00	3.00	1.00	1.00	3.00	3.00	2.32			

**THIS DATA IS FOR  
DEMONSTRATION PURPOSES ONLY**

Double-clicking in the School Name column will bring up a report for that school.

Double-clicking on any of the numbers in columns C to H will bring up the supporting page for that column of data (Models, Monitors, and so on) to show how the score was calculated.

USP IX.C.1.a

[This page shows the breakdown of the TCI for the classrooms in each school.]

[User Interface explanations appear below.]

A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M	N	O	P
	<div>TUSD</div>				Printers	Response					Broadband	Wireless		Classroom	
1		Desktops	Laptops	Tablets	Scanners	Whiteboards	Systems	Projectors	Multimedia	Setup	Internet	Networking	Software	TCI	Hide
2	February 1, 2014	9%	9%	9%	8%	8%	8%	8%	8%	8%	8%	8%	9%	100%	Report
3	ANDERSON ES	3.90	3.00	3.00	3.90	3.00	3.00	3.90	4.00	3.00	3.00	3.50	3.00	3.11	
4	BAILEY ES	3.70	1.00	1.00	3.70	1.00	1.00	3.70	3.00	1.00	1.00	2.75	1.00	1.90	
5	BELL ES	3.25	3.00	1.00	3.25	3.00	1.00	3.25	3.00	3.00	3.00	2.75	1.00	2.28	
6	BENNETT ES	3.00	2.00	1.00	3.00	2.00	1.00	3.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.50	1.00	1.87	
7	CARTER ES	2.45	4.00	4.00	2.45	4.00	4.00	2.45	3.00	4.00	4.00	1.50	4.00	3.01	
8	COLLINS MAGNET ES	4.00	3.00	3.00	4.00	3.00	3.00	4.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	2.50	3.00	2.97	
9	COOK ES	3.60	3.00	3.00	3.60	3.00	3.00	3.60	3.00	3.00	3.00	2.25	3.00	2.85	
10	COOPER ES	3.42	3.00	3.00	3.42	3.00	3.00	3.42	3.00	3.00	3.00	2.50	3.00	2.83	
11	COX ES	3.00	3.00	4.00	3.00	3.00	4.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	2.50	4.00	2.98	
12	CRUZ ES	3.00	3.00	1.00	3.00	3.00	1.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	2.25	1.00	2.18	
13	DAVIS ES	5.00	3.00	3.00	5.00	3.00	3.00	5.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.25	3.00	3.28	
14	DIAZ ES	3.00	1.00	3.00	3.00	1.00	3.00	3.00	2.00	1.00	1.00	2.25	3.00	2.12	
15	EDWARDS ES	3.65	3.00	2.00	3.65	3.00	2.00	3.65	3.00	3.00	3.00	2.25	2.00	2.60	
16	FLORES ES	3.00	2.00	1.00	3.00	2.00	1.00	3.00	3.00	2.00	2.00	2.50	1.00	1.95	
17	FOSTER ES	3.00	4.00	2.00	3.00	4.00	2.00	3.00	2.00	4.00	4.00	2.50	2.00	2.63	
18	GOMEZ ES	3.00	2.00	1.00	3.00	2.00	1.00	3.00	3.00	2.00	2.00	2.25	1.00	1.93	
19	GRAY ES	2.75	3.00	1.00	2.75	3.00	1.00	2.75	3.00	3.00	3.00	2.50	1.00	2.14	
20	GREEN ES	3.00	3.00	2.00	3.00	3.00	2.00	3.00	2.00	3.00	3.00	2.50	2.00	2.38	
21	HUGHES ES	4.00	3.00	3.00	4.00	3.00	3.00	4.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	2.50	3.00	2.97	
22	JAMES ES	2.75	2.00	1.00	2.75	2.00	1.00	2.75	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	1.00	1.85	
23	KELLY/HOWARD ES	3.50	3.00	1.00	3.50	3.00	1.00	3.50	3.00	3.00	3.00	2.25	1.00	2.31	
24	LEE ES	3.25	3.00	1.00	3.25	3.00	1.00	3.25	3.00	3.00	3.00	2.50	1.00	2.26	
25	LONG MAGNET ES	3.25	3.00	3.00	3.25	3.00	3.00	3.25	3.00	3.00	3.00	2.50	3.00	2.78	
26	MARTIN MAGNET ES	5.00	3.00	3.00	5.00	3.00	3.00	5.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	2.25	3.00	3.20	
27	MORALES ES	1.75	3.00	4.00	1.75	3.00	4.00	1.75	3.00	3.00	3.00	2.25	4.00	2.65	
28	MORGAN ES	3.00	3.00	1.00	3.00	3.00	1.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	2.50	1.00	2.20	
29	MORRIS ES	3.25	3.00	1.00	3.25	3.00	1.00	3.25	3.00	3.00	3.00	2.25	1.00	2.24	
30	MURPHY ES	3.25	2.00	1.00	3.25	2.00	1.00	3.25	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.75	1.00	1.95	
31	MYERS ES	2.75	3.00	3.00	2.75	3.00	3.00	2.75	3.00	3.00	3.00	2.75	3.00	2.68	
32	NGUYEN ES	3.25	3.00	3.00	3.25	3.00	3.00	3.25	3.00	3.00	3.00	2.50	3.00	2.78	
33	PETERSON ES	3.00	2.00	3.00	3.00	2.00	3.00	3.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.25	3.00	2.37	
34	POWELL ES	3.00	4.00	4.00	3.00	4.00	4.00	3.00	3.00	4.00	4.00	2.25	4.00	3.21	
35	PRICE ES	3.00	1.00	3.00	3.00	1.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	1.00	1.00	2.75	3.00	2.24	
36	RAMIREZ ES	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	2.75	3.00	2.74	
37	REED ES	3.00	1.00	1.00	3.00	1.00	1.00	3.00	3.00	1.00	1.00	2.00	1.00	1.66	
38	REYES ES	3.15	3.00	3.00	3.15	3.00	3.00	3.15	3.00	3.00	3.00	2.00	3.00	2.00	
THIS DATA IS FOR DEMONSTRATION PURPOSES ONLY															

[This page shows the breakdown of the TCI for desktop computers in the classrooms in each school.]

[User Interface explanations appear below.]

	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	
1		<b>TUSD</b>	Students per Device	Models	Monitor(s)	Software	Networking	Safety	Ergonomics	Desktop TCI			
2		February 1, 2014	14%	15%	15%	14%	14%	14%	14%	100%	Hide Report		
3		ANDERSON ES	3.00	3.00	3.90	3.00	3.00	3.90	3.00	2.84			
4	ELEMENTARY	BAILEY ES	1.00	1.00	3.70	1.00	1.00	3.70	1.00	1.64			
5		BELL ES	1.00	3.00	3.25	1.00	1.00	3.25	3.00	2.09			
6		BENNETT ES	1.00	2.00	3.00	1.00	1.00	3.00	2.00	1.73			
7		CARTER ES	4.00	4.00	2.45	4.00	4.00	2.45	4.00	2.99			
8		COLLINS MAGNET ES	3.00	3.00	4.00	3.00	3.00	4.00	3.00	2.87			
9		COOK ES	3.00	3.00	3.60	3.00	3.00	3.60	3.00	2.75			
10		COOPER ES	3.00	3.00	3.42	3.00	3.00	3.42	3.00	2.70			
11		COX ES	4.00	3.00	3.00	4.00	4.00	3.00	3.00	2.86			
12		CRUZ ES	1.00	3.00	3.00	1.00	1.00	3.00	3.00	2.02			
13		DAVIS ES	3.00	3.00	5.00	3.00	3.00	5.00	3.00	3.16			
14		DIAZ ES	3.00	1.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	1.00	2.00			
15		EDWARDS ES	2.00	3.00	3.65	2.00	2.00	3.65	3.00	2.49			
16		FLORES ES	1.00	2.00	3.00	1.00	1.00	3.00	2.00	1.73			
17		FOSTER ES	2.00	4.00	3.00	2.00	2.00	3.00	4.00	2.59			
18		GOMEZ ES	1.00	2.00	3.00	1.00	1.00	3.00	2.00	1.73			
19		GRAY ES	1.00	3.00	2.75	1.00	1.00	2.75	3.00	1.95			
20		GREEN ES	2.00	3.00	3.00	2.00	2.00	3.00	3.00	2.30			
21		HUGHES ES	3.00	3.00	4.00	3.00	3.00	4.00	3.00	2.87			
22		JAMES ES	THIS DATA IS FOR DEMONSTRATION PURPOSES ONLY						2.75	2.00	1.66		
23		KELLY/HOWARD ES							3.50	3.00	2.17		
24		LEE ES							3.25	3.00	2.09		
25		LONG MAGNET ES	3.00	3.00	3.25	3.00	3.00	3.25	3.00	2.65			
26		MARTIN MAGNET ES	3.00	3.00	5.00	3.00	3.00	5.00	3.00	3.16			
27		MORALES ES	4.00	3.00	1.75	4.00	4.00	1.75	3.00	2.50			
28		MORGAN ES	1.00	3.00	3.00	1.00	1.00	3.00	3.00	2.02			

**THIS DATA IS FOR  
DEMONSTRATION PURPOSES ONLY**

Double-clicking in the School Name column will bring up a report for that school.

Double-clicking on any of the numbers in columns C to I will bring up the supporting page for that column of data (Models, Monitors, and so on) to show how the score was calculated.

USP IX.C.1.a

[This page shows the breakdown of the TCI for laptop computers in the classrooms in each school.]

[User Interface explanations appear below.]

	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L
1		<b>TUSD</b>	Students per Device	Models	Monitor(s)	Software	Networking	Safety	Ergonomics	Laptop TCI		
2		February 1, 2014	14%	15%	15%	14%	14%	14%	14%	100%	Hide Report	
3		ANDERSON ES	3.00	3.00	3.90	3.00	3.00	3.90	3.00	2.84		
4	ELEMENTARY	BAILEY ES	1.00	1.00	3.70	1.00	1.00	3.70	1.00	1.64		
5		BELL ES	1.00	3.00	3.25	1.00	1.00	3.25	3.00	2.09		
6		BENNETT ES	1.00	2.00	3.00	1.00	1.00	3.00	2.00	1.73		
7		CARTER ES	4.00	4.00	2.45	4.00	4.00	2.45	4.00	2.99		
8		COLLINS MAGNET ES	3.00	3.00	4.00	3.00	3.00	4.00	3.00	2.87		
9		COOK ES	3.00	3.00	3.60	3.00	3.00	3.60	3.00	2.75		
10		COOPER ES	3.00	3.00	3.42	3.00	3.00	3.42	3.00	2.70		
11		COX ES	4.00	3.00	3.00	4.00	4.00	3.00	3.00	2.86		
12		CRUZ ES	1.00	3.00	3.00	1.00	1.00	3.00	3.00	2.02		
13		DAVIS ES	3.00	3.00	5.00	3.00	3.00	5.00	3.00	3.16		
14		DIAZ ES	3.00	1.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	1.00	2.00		
15		EDWARDS ES	2.00	3.00	3.65	2.00	2.00	3.65	3.00	2.49		
16		FLORES ES	1.00	2.00	3.00	1.00	1.00	3.00	2.00	1.73		
17		FOSTER ES	2.00	4.00	3.00	2.00	2.00	3.00	4.00	2.59		
18		GOMEZ ES	1.00	2.00	3.00	1.00	1.00	3.00	2.00	1.73		
19		GRAY ES	1.00	3.00	2.75	1.00	1.00	2.75	3.00	1.95		
20	GREEN ES	2.00	3.00	3.00	2.00	2.00	3.00	3.00	2.30			
21	HUGHES ES	3.00	3.00	4.00	3.00	3.00	4.00	3.00	2.87			
22	JAMES ES	THIS DATA IS FOR DEMONSTRATION PURPOSES ONLY						.75	2.00	1.66		
23	KELLY/HOWARD ES							.50	3.00	2.17		
24	LEE ES							.25	3.00	2.09		
25	LONG MAGNET ES	3.00	3.00	3.25	3.00	3.00	3.25	3.00	2.65			
26	MARTIN MAGNET ES	3.00	3.00	5.00	3.00	3.00	5.00	3.00	3.16			
27	MORALES ES	4.00	3.00	1.75	4.00	4.00	1.75	3.00	2.50			
28	MORGAN ES	1.00	3.00	3.00	1.00	1.00	3.00	3.00	2.02			

Double-clicking in the School Name column will bring up a report for that school.

Double-clicking on any of the numbers in columns C to I will bring up the supporting page for that column of data (Models, Monitors, and so on) to show how the score was calculated.

USP IX.C.1.a



[This page shows the breakdown of the TCI for tablet computers in the classrooms in each school.]

[User Interface explanations appear below.]

	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L
1		<b>TUSD</b>	Students per Device	Models	Monitor(s)	Software	Networking	Safety	Ergonomics	Tablet TCI		
2		February 1, 2014	14%	15%	15%	14%	14%	14%	14%	100%	Hide Report	
3	ELEMENTARY	ANDERSON ES	3.00	3.00	3.90	3.00	3.00	3.90	3.00	2.84		
4		BAILEY ES	1.00	1.00	3.70	1.00	1.00	3.70	1.00	1.64		
5		BELL ES	1.00	3.00	3.25	1.00	1.00	3.25	3.00	2.09		
6		BENNETT ES	1.00	2.00	3.00	1.00	1.00	3.00	2.00	1.73		
7		CARTER ES	4.00	4.00	2.45	4.00	4.00	2.45	4.00	2.99		
8		COLLINS MAGNET ES	3.00	3.00	4.00	3.00	3.00	4.00	3.00	2.87		
9		COOK ES	3.00	3.00	3.60	3.00	3.00	3.60	3.00	2.75		
10		COOPER ES	3.00	3.00	3.42	3.00	3.00	3.42	3.00	2.70		
11		COX ES	4.00	3.00	3.00	4.00	4.00	3.00	3.00	2.86		
12		CRUZ ES	1.00	3.00	3.00	1.00	1.00	3.00	3.00	2.02		
13		DAVIS ES	3.00	3.00	5.00	3.00	3.00	5.00	3.00	3.16		
14		DIAZ ES	3.00	1.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	1.00	2.00		
15		EDWARDS ES	2.00	3.00	3.65	2.00	2.00	3.65	3.00	2.49		
16		FLORES ES	1.00	2.00	3.00	1.00	1.00	3.00	2.00	1.73		
17		FOSTER ES	2.00	4.00	3.00	2.00	2.00	3.00	4.00	2.59		
18		GOMEZ ES	1.00	2.00	3.00	1.00	1.00	3.00	2.00	1.73		
19		GRAY ES	1.00	3.00	2.75	1.00	1.00	2.75	3.00	1.95		
20		GREEN ES	2.00	3.00	3.00	2.00	2.00	3.00	3.00	2.30		
21		HUGHES ES	3.00	3.00	4.00	3.00	3.00	4.00	3.00	2.87		
22		JAMES ES						.75	2.00	1.66		
23		KELLY/HOWARD ES						.50	3.00	2.17		
24		LEE ES						.25	3.00	2.09		
25		LONG MAGNET ES	3.00	3.00	3.25	3.00	3.00	3.25	3.00	2.65		
26		MARTIN MAGNET ES	3.00	3.00	5.00	3.00	3.00	5.00	3.00	3.16		
27		MORALES ES	4.00	3.00	1.75	4.00	4.00	1.75	3.00	2.50		
28		MORGAN ES	1.00	3.00	3.00	1.00	1.00	3.00	3.00	2.02		

**THIS DATA IS FOR  
DEMONSTRATION PURPOSES ONLY**

Double-clicking in the School Name column will bring up a report for that school.

Double-clicking on any of the numbers in columns C to I will bring up the supporting page for that column of data (Models, Monitors, and so on) to show how the score was calculated.

USP IX.C.1.a



[This page shows the breakdown of the TCI for the labs in each school.]

[User Interface explanations appear below.]

	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M	N	O	P
1		<b>TUSD</b>				Printers		Response								
2		February 1, 2014	Desktops	Laptops	Tablets	Scanners	Whiteboards	Systems	Projectors	Multimedia	Setup	Broadband Internet	Wireless Networking	Software	Lab TCI	Hide Report
3			9%	9%	9%	8%	8%	8%	8%	8%	8%	8%	8%	9%	100%	
4	ELEMENTARY	ANDERSON ES	3.90	3.00	3.00	3.90	3.00	3.00	3.90	4.00	3.00	3.00	3.50	3.00	3.35	
5		BAILEY ES	3.70	1.00	1.00	3.70	1.00	1.00	3.70	3.00	1.00	1.00	2.75	1.00	1.98	
6		BELL ES	3.25	3.00	1.00	3.25	3.00	1.00	3.25	3.00	3.00	3.00	2.75	1.00	2.52	
7		BENNETT ES	3.00	2.00	1.00	3.00	2.00	1.00	3.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.50	1.00	2.03	
8		CARTER ES	2.45	4.00	4.00	2.45	4.00	4.00	2.45	3.00	4.00	4.00	1.50	4.00	3.33	
9		COLLINS MAGNET ES	4.00	3.00	3.00	4.00	3.00	3.00	4.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	2.50	3.00	3.21	
10		COOK ES	3.60	3.00	3.00	3.60	3.00	3.00	3.60	3.00	3.00	3.00	2.25	3.00	3.09	
11		COOPER ES	3.42	3.00	3.00	3.42	3.00	3.00	3.42	3.00	3.00	3.00	2.50	3.00	3.07	
12		COX ES	3.00	3.00	4.00	3.00	3.00	4.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	2.50	4.00	3.22	
13		CRUZ ES	3.00	3.00	1.00	3.00	3.00	1.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	2.25	1.00	2.42	
14		DAVIS ES	5.00	3.00	3.00	5.00	3.00	3.00	5.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.25	3.00	3.52	
15		DIAZ ES	3.00	1.00	3.00	3.00	1.00	3.00	3.00	2.00	1.00	1.00	2.25	3.00	2.20	
16		EDWARDS ES	3.65	3.00	2.00	3.65	3.00	2.00	3.65	3.00	3.00	3.00	2.25	2.00	2.84	
17		FLORES ES	3.00	2.00	1.00	3.00	2.00	1.00	3.00	3.00	2.00	2.00	2.50	1.00	2.11	
18		FOSTER ES	3.00	4.00	2.00	3.00	4.00	2.00	3.00	2.00	4.00	4.00	2.50	2.00	2.95	
19		GOMEZ ES	3.00	2.00	1.00	3.00	2.00	1.00	3.00	3.00	2.00	2.00	2.25	1.00	2.09	
20		GRAY ES	2.75	3.00	1.00	2.75	3.00	1.00	2.75	3.00	3.00	3.00	2.50	1.00	2.38	
21		GREEN ES	3.00	3.00	2.00	3.00	3.00	2.00	3.00	2.00	3.00	3.00	2.50	2.00	2.62	
22		HUGHES ES	4.00	3.00	3.00	4.00	3.00	3.00	4.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	2.50	3.00	3.21	
23		JAMES ES	2.75	2.00	1.00					3.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	1.00	2.01	
24	KELLY/HOWARD ES	3.50	3.00	1.00					3.00	3.00	3.00	2.25	1.00	2.55		
25	LEE ES	3.25	3.00	1.00					3.00	3.00	3.00	2.50	1.00	2.50		
26	LONG MAGNET ES	3.25	3.00	3.00	3.25	3.00	3.00	3.25	3.00	3.00	3.00	2.50	3.00	3.02		
27	MARTIN MAGNET ES	5.00	3.00	3.00	5.00	3.00	3.00	5.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	2.25	3.00	3.44		
28	MORALES ES	1.75	3.00	4.00	1.75	3.00	4.00	1.75	3.00	3.00	3.00	2.25	4.00	2.89		
29	MORGAN ES	3.00	3.00	1.00	3.00	3.00	1.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	2.50	1.00	2.44		
30	MORRIS ES	3.25	3.00	1.00	3.25	3.00	1.00	3.25	3.00	3.00	3.00	2.25	1.00	2.48		
31	MURPHY ES	3.25	2.00	1.00	3.25	2.00	1.00	3.25	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.75	1.00	2.11		
32	MYERS ES	2.75	3.00	3.00	2.75	3.00	3.00	2.75	3.00	3.00	3.00	2.75	3.00	2.92		
33	NGUYEN ES	3.25	3.00	3.00	3.25	3.00	3.00	3.25	3.00	3.00	3.00	2.50	3.00	3.02		
34	PETERSON ES	3.00	2.00	3.00	3.00	2.00	3.00	3.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.25	3.00	2.53		
35	POWELL ES	3.00	4.00	4.00	3.00	4.00	4.00	3.00	3.00	4.00	4.00	2.25	4.00	3.53		
36	PRICE ES	3.00	1.00	3.00	3.00	1.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	1.00	1.00	2.75	3.00	2.32		
37	RAMIREZ ES	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	2.75	3.00	2.98		
THIS DATA IS FOR DEMONSTRATION PURPOSES ONLY																

[This page shows the supporting information for the integration status of each school.]

[User Interface explanations appear below.]

	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M	N	O	P	Q	R				
1		TUSD	White		African American		Hispanic/ Latino		Native American		Asian/Pacific Islander		Multi-Racial		TOTAL							
2		February 1, 2014	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	Integration	Hide Report					
3	ELEMENTARY	ANDERSON ES	105	29%	7	2%	241	66%	9	2%	2	1%	1	0%	365	Integrated						
4		BAILEY ES	106	21%	68	14%	244	49%	20	4%	29	6%	29	6%	496	Integrated						
5		BELL ES	157	40%	29	7%	163	41%	11	3%	6	2%	27	7%	393	Neutral						
6		BENNETT ES	59	14%	23	5%	329	75%	5	1%	8	2%	12	3%	436	Racially Concentrated						
7		CARTER ES	265	55%	37	8%	117	24%	0	0%	14	3%	47	10%	480	Neutral						
8		COLLINS MAGNET ES	98	23%	19	5%	261	62%	15	4%	6	1%	20	5%	419	Integrated						
9		COOK ES	12	4%	11	4%	275	90%	6	2%	1	0%	2	1%	307	Racially Concentrated						
10		COOPER ES	10	3%	17	6%	253	88%	4	1%	0	0%	4	1%	288	Racially Concentrated						
11		COX ES	126	59%	6	3%	52	25%	4	2%	7	3%	17	8%	212	Neutral						
12		CRUZ ES	102	29%	30	9%	192	55%	9	3%	1	0%	18	5%	352	Integrated						
13		DAVIS ES	84	25%	30	9%	182	54%	11	3%	13	4%	17	5%	337	Integrated						
14		DIAZ ES	33	9%	6	2%	300	86%	5	1%	0	0%	4	1%	348	Racially Concentrated						
15		EDWARDS ES	97	47%	5	2%	91	44%	1	0%	3	1%	11	5%	208	Neutral						
16		FLORES ES	166	28%	58	10%	293	50%	12	2%	14	2%	43	7%	586	Integrated						
17		FOSTER ES	133	34%	36	9%	195	49%	7	2%	6	2%	20	5%	397	Integrated						
18		GOMEZ ES	259	68%	8	2%	90	24%	0	0%	5	1%	17	4%	379	Neutral						
19		GRAY ES	230	56%	7	2%	137	33%	0	0%	10	2%	29	7%	413	Neutral						
20		GREEN ES	26	4%	7	1%	644	91%	27	4%	3	0%	4	1%	711	Racially Concentrated						
21		HUGHES ES	199	50%	24	6%	148	37%	6	2%	3	1%	15	4%	395	Neutral						
22		JAMES ES	20	8%	31		THIS DATA IS FOR DEMONSTRATION PURPOSES ONLY										11	4%	261	Racially Concentrated		
23		KELLY/HOWARD ES	92	26%	33									14	4%	358	Integrated					
24		LEE ES	91	30%	20									14	5%	302	Integrated					
25		LONG MAGNET ES	145	41%	10	3%	157	45%	2	1%	22	6%	15	4%	351	Neutral						
26		MARTIN MAGNET ES	6	2%	6	2%	203	58%	134	38%	0	0%	3	1%	352	Neutral						
27		MORALES ES	260	45%	17	3%	237	41%	15	3%	13	2%	38	7%	580	Neutral						
28		MORGAN ES	202	36%	18	3%	281	51%	8	1%	19	3%	27	5%	555	Integrated						
29		MORRIS ES	20	3%	7	1%	574	93%	10	2%	0	0%	9	1%	620	Racially Concentrated						
30		MURPHY ES	24	6%	7	2%	333	86%	21	5%	1	0%	1	0%	387	Racially Concentrated						
31		MYERS ES	15	5%	6	2%	275	85%	18	6%	6	2%	4	1%	324	Racially Concentrated						
32		NGUYEN ES	135	39%	20	6%	166	48%	2	1%	9	3%	13	4%	345	Neutral						
33		PETERSON ES	37	6%	8	1%	512	84%	44	7%	0	0%	6	1%	607	Racially Concentrated						
34		POWELL ES	3	1%	10	4%	224	88%	16	6%	0	0%	1	0%	254	Racially Concentrated						
35		PRICE ES	53	12%	44	10%	288	67%	16	4%	12	3%	16	4%	429	Integrated						
36		RAMIREZ ES	5	2%	4	2%	192	85%	24	11%	0	0%	2	1%	227	Racially Concentrated						
37		REED ES	30	7%	21	5%	332	79%	31	7%	2	0%	2	0%	418	Racially Concentrated						
38		REYES ES	22	6%	15	4%	314	87%	2	1%	4	1%	4	1%	353	Racially Concentrated						

THIS DATA IS FOR  
DEMONSTRATION PURPOSES ONLY

Double-clicking  
in the School  
Name column  
will bring up a  
report for that

USP IX.C.1.a